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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to analyze and design a steel communications tower using the Etabs program, and calculate the lateral loads for this tower according to the British code BS3699 part2 and enter these values after calculating them in the Etabs program to obtain the maximum value of the lateral loads that the tower can bear without being deformed, as well as obtaining the maximum value of the shear force that can be borne by the sections of the tower ,and also obtaining the maximum value of the overturning moments that the tower can bear without collapsing ,and also obtaining the maximum values of displacement and deviation allowed according to British specifications. Based on these obtained values, the safe sections of the tower were designed after making sure that they are within the permissible limits in the British specifications.
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## I. Introduction

Communication towers are along structure made mostly of iron used in high-voltage transmission lines. these towers have square bases.
Communication towers can be classified according to the following types: -
1-the monocoque: is separated columns made of galvanized steel with a length of up to 60 meters.
2 - roof tops and buildings towers: is the tower that is erected on the roofs of buildings and its height is usually from 6 to 9 meters.
3-the ground towers: are the towers that are erected on natural ground, with a height ranging from 15 to 18 meters.
4 - the green towers: it is one of the tallest towers, reaching 120 meters.
5- the wheel site or caw towers: these towers are known as mobile towers that can be moved from one place to another according to the area to be used by the company.
The towers are exposed to lateral loads (live and dead) as in normal buildings, in addition to the lateral loads resulting from the movement of the wind and the force resulting from the lateral loads to an increase in lateral displacement (side sway deflection) due to the increase in thinness in the tall towers.

## II. Methodology

In this research, the Etabs program was used to analyze and design the tower and compare the design results obtained from the program with the design results using British specification BS5950.

Wind loads were calculated manually according to the British code (BS3699) following the steps:

The first step: determine the dynamic augmentation ( Cr )
According to the height of the building (H) and the coefficient of the type of building $(\mathrm{Kb})$ we define the building type parameter.

Table 1-Building-type factor $K_{b}$

| Type of building | $K_{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Welded steel unclad flames | 8 |
| Bolted steel and reinforced concrete unclad frames | 4 |
| Portal sheds and similar light structures with few internal walls | 2 |
| Framed buildings with structural walls around lifts and stairs only <br> (e.g. office buildings of open plan or with partitioning) | 1 |
| Framed buildings with structural walls around lifts and stairs with additional <br> masonry subdivision walls (e.g. apartment buildings), buildings of masonry <br> construction and timber framed housing | 0.5 |

Dynamic increase factor ( Cr )


Figure 1. Dynamic augmentation factor Cr
The second step: - check limits of applicability
We must ensure the validity of using static methods based on the tables in the British code (BS6399-2), or we use mechanical methods.
$\mathrm{Cr}<, 025 \& \mathrm{H}<300 \mathrm{~m}$ (ok)
The third step: - determine the basic hourly mean wind speed ( Vb ).
The fourth step: - determine a site wind speed (Vs)
$\mathrm{Vs}=\mathrm{Vb} * \mathrm{Sa} * \mathrm{Sd}^{*} \mathrm{Ss} * \mathrm{Sp}-$ (1)
$\mathrm{Sa}=$ altitude factor

Sa $1+, 001 \Delta \mathrm{~S}$
$\Delta \mathrm{S}=$ building level relative to sea level
Sd $=$ direction coefficient $=1$
Table 2. value of diraction factor

| Direction $\varphi$ | Direction factor $S_{d}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0^{\circ}$ North | 0.78 |
| $30^{\circ}$ | 0.73 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 0.73 |
| $90^{\circ}$ East | 0.74 |
| $120^{\circ}$ | 0.73 |
| $150^{\circ}$ | 0.80 |
| $180^{\circ}$ South | 0.85 |
| $210^{\circ}$ | 0.93 |
| $240^{\circ}$ | 1.00 |
| $270^{\circ}$ West | 0.99 |
| $300^{\circ}$ | 0.91 |
| $330^{\circ}$ | 0.82 |
| $360^{\circ}$ North | 0.78 |
| NOTE Interpolation may be used within this table. |  |

Fifth step: - calculation of effective speed (Ve)

$$
\mathrm{Ve}=\mathrm{Vs} * \mathrm{Sb}-(2)
$$

Table 3. factor Sb for standard method

| Site in country or up to 2 km into town |  |  |  |  | Site in town, extending 22 km upwind from the site |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Effective height } \\ H_{\mathrm{e}} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | Closest distance to sea upwind km |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effective height } \\ H_{e} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | Closest distance to sea upwind km |  |  |
|  | $\leq 0.1$ | 2 | 10 | z 100 |  | 2 | 10 | $\geq 100$ |
| $\leq 2$ | 1.48 | 1.40 | 1.35 | 1.26 | $\leq 2$ | 1.18 | 1.15 | 1.07 |
| 5 | 1.65 | 1.62 | 1.57 | 1.45 | 5 | 1.50 | 1.45 | 1.36 |
| 10 | 1.78 | 1.78 | 1.73 | 1.62 | 10 | 1.73 | 1.69 | 1.58 |
| 15 | 1.85 | 1.85 | 1.82 | 1.71 | 15 | 1.85 | 1.82 | 1.71 |
| 20 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.89 | 1.77 | 20 | 1.90 | 1.89 | 1.77 |
| 30 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.85 | 30 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.85 |
| 50 | 2.04 | 2.04 | 2.04 | 1.95 | 50 | 2.04 | 2.04 | 1.95 |
| 100 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.07 | 100 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.07 |
| NOTE 1 Interpolation may be used within each table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE 2 The figures in this table have been derived from reference [5]. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE 3 Values assume a diagonal dimension $a=5 \mathrm{~m}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE 4 If $H_{\mathrm{e}}>100 \mathrm{~m}$ use the directional method of Section 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Step seven: - dynamic pressure (Qs)
Qs = ,613 (Ve^2) \{section (2.1.2) Bs6399-2\} - (3)
Eighth step: - pressure coefficients (Cp)
$\mathrm{Cp}=1.8$
Step nine: - size effect factor (Ca)


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Effective height } \\ H_{e} \end{array} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | Site in country: closest distance to sea <br> (km) |  |  |  | Site in town: closest distance to sea <br> (km) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 to <2 | 2 to $<10$ | 10 to <100 | $\geq 100$ | 2 to $<10$ | 10 to < 100 | 2100 |
| $\leq 2$ | A | B | B | B | C | C | C |
| $>2$ to 5 | A | B | B | B | C | C | C |
| $>5$ to 10 | A | A | B | B | A | C | C |
| $>10$ to 15 | A | A | B | B | A | B | B |
| $>15$ to 20 | A | A | B | B | A | B | B |
| $>20$ to 30 | A | A | A | B | A | A | B |
| > 30 to 50 | A | A | A | B | A | A | B |
| >50 | A | A | A | B | A | A | B |

Tenth step: - external surface pressure $(\mathrm{P})$

$$
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e} *} \mathrm{Cp}-(4)
$$

Eleventh step: - net pressure across the surface ( P )

$$
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{p} * \mathrm{~A}-(5)
$$

After obtaining these values, the tower is modeled on the Etabs program and the values of lateral loads and wind loads that were calculated according to British specification are entered, then the tower is analyzed using the program to obtain the required design values according to British specification.


Figure 3. Final model for tower

## III. ReSUlts and Discussion

Through this research, the values of shear and bending forces in the elements axial forces, moments and displacements resulting from the effect of lateral loads were obtained.

The design sectors of the tower elements were obtained, and the value of the reactions pf the base shown as a result of the effect to the maximum and utilization boundary condition and the effect of lateral loads in both directions x , y were obtained. As shown in the following table

Figure 2. Size effect factor Ca
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Table 4. Showing base reactions

| Story |  | Load Case/Combo | FX KN | FYKN | FZ KN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base | 1 | Dead | -2.9618 | -2.3166 | 32.3017 |
| Base | 1 | Live | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base | 1 | windx 1 | -22.9017 | -10.8522 | 156.341 |
| Base | 1 | windx 2 | -11.4228 | -16.8013 | 150.1195 |
| Base | 1 | windy 1 | -22.9017 | -10.8522 | 156.341 |
| Base | 1 | windy 2 | -11.4228 | -16.8013 | 150.1195 |
| Base | 1 | Usl | -3.5542 | -2.7799 | 38.7621 |
| Base | 1 | Sls | -2.9618 | -2.3166 | 32.3017 |
| Base | 1 | UDStIS7 Min | -36.209 | -26.765 | 255.3897 |
| Base | 1 | UDStID1 | -2.9618 | -2.3166 | 32.3017 |
| Base | 1 | UDStID2 | -2.9618 | -2.3166 | 32.3017 |
| Base | 3 | Dead | -2.6949 | 2.2929 | 33.6605 |
| Base | 3 | Live | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base | 3 | windx 1 | -21.5572 | 10.4546 | 159.1065 |
| Base | 3 | windx 2 | 9.7512 | -26.4515 | -150.0066 |
| Base | 3 | windy 1 | -21.5572 | 10.4546 | 159.1065 |
| Base | 3 | windy 2 | 9.7512 | -26.4515 | -150.0066 |
| Base | 3 | Usl | -3.2339 | 2.7515 | 40.3926 |
| Base | 3 | Sls | -2.6949 | 2.2929 | 33.6605 |
| Base | 3 | UDSt1S7 Min | -33.953 | -33.8219 | -162.8845 |
| Base | 3 | UDStID1 | -2.6949 | 2.2929 | 33.6605 |
| Base | 3 | UDStID2 | -2.6949 | 2.2929 | 33.6605 |
| Base | 5 | Dead | 2.9382 | -2.8653 | 33.8729 |
| Base | 5 | Live | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base | 5 | windx 1 | -21.0956 | 10.8881 | -156.2255 |
| Base | 5 | windx 2 | 12.3649 | -21.6231 | 166.121 |
| Base | 5 | windy 1 | -21.0956 | 10.8881 | -156.2255 |
| Base | 5 | windy 2 | 12.3649 | -21.6231 | 166.121 |
| Base | 5 | Usl | 3.5258 | -3.4384 | 40.6475 |
| Base | 5 | Sls | 2.9382 | -2.8653 | 33.8729 |
| Base | 5 | UDStIS8 Max | 33.6472 | 26.2609 | 266.1377 |
| Base | 5 | UDStID1 | 2.9382 | -2.8653 | 33.8729 |
| Base | 5 | UDStID2 | 2.9382 | -2.8653 | 33.8729 |
| Base | 7 | Dead | 2.7186 | 2.889 | 31.5895 |
| Base | 7 | Live | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base | 7 | windx 1 | -21.645 | -10.4906 | -159.0884 |
| Base | 7 | windx 2 | -10.6934 | -23.0431 | -166.0982 |
| Base | 7 | windy 1 | -21.645 | -10.4906 | -159.0884 |
| Base | 7 | windy 2 | -10.6934 | -23.0431 | -166.0982 |
| Base | 7 | Usl | 3.2623 | 3.4668 | 37.9074 |
| Base | 7 | Sls | 2.7186 | 2.889 | 31.5895 |
| Base | 7 | UDStIS8 Max | 34.109 | 36.3049 | 276.7629 |
| Base | 7 | UDStID1 | 2.7186 | 2.889 | 31.5895 |
| Base | 7 | UDStlD2 | 2.7186 | 2.889 | 31.5895 |

also, the floors shear values were obtained and the maximum value, 193389 and the lowest value,- 002353 were obtained. as shown in the following table and figure

Table 5. Showing story strong shear forces

| Story | Elevation m | Location | X-Dir KN | Y-Dir KN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Story15 | 45 | Top | 0.0003 | 0.02 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0003 | 0.02 |
| Story14 | 41.88 | Top | 0.0008 | 0.0558 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0008 | 0.0558 |
| Story13 | 38.13 | Top | 0.0012 | 0.0844 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0012 | 0.0844 |
| Story12 | 35.63 | Top | 0.0022 | 0.1529 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0022 | 0.1549 |
| Story11 | 35 | Top | 0.0017 | 0.1218 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0017 | 0.1081 |
| Story10 | 33.85 | Top | 0.0025 | 0.1722 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.002 | 0.151 |
| Story9 | 31.34 | Top | 0.002 | 0.169 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0035 | 0.1827 |
| Story8 | 28.83 | Top | 0.0031 | 0.1908 |


|  |  | Bottom | 0.0027 | 0.1784 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Story7 | 26.32 | Top | 0.0028 | 0.1897 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0032 | 0.1796 |
| Story6 | 23.82 | Top | 0.0034 | 0.1895 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0025 | 0.1818 |
| Story5 | 21.31 | Top | 0.0026 | 0.1908 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0036 | 0.1831 |
| Story4 | 17.7 | Top | 0.0038 | 0.1923 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.002 | 0.187 |
| Story3 | 12.68 | Top | 0.0022 | 0.1934 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.003 | 0.1761 |
| Story2 | 7.6 | Top | 0.0031 | 0.1785 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0.0009 | 0.171 |
| Story1 | 2.64 | Top | 0.0009 | 0.1711 |
|  |  | Bottom | -0.0024 | 0.1711 |
| Base | 0 | Top | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Bottom | 0 | 0 |



Figure 4. Shown story shear force
the floor displacements were also obtained and the maximum displacement , 659579 mm and the lowest value 0 were obtained.
As shown in the following table and figure
Table 6. Shown story displacement

| Story | Elevation | Location | X-Dir | Y-Dir |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | m |  | Mm | mm |
| Story15 | 45 | Top | 0.055 | 0.112 |
| Story14 | 41.88 | Top | 0.088 | 0.133 |
| Story13 | 38.13 | Top | 0.078 | 0.115 |
| Story12 | 35.63 | Top | 0.057 | 0.092 |
| Story11 | 35 | Top | 0.056 | 0.092 |
| Story10 | 33.85 | Top | 0.079 | 0.111 |
| Story9 | 31.34 | Top | 0.065 | 0.103 |
| Story8 | 28.83 | Top | 0.079 | 0.104 |
| Story7 | 26.32 | Top | 0.079 | 0.122 |
| Story6 | 23.82 | Top | 0.083 | 0.098 |
| Story5 | 21.31 | Top | 0.097 | 0.168 |
| Story4 | 17.7 | Top | 0.168 | 0.174 |
| Story3 | 12.68 | Top | 0.495 | 0.281 |
| Story2 | 7.6 | Top | 0.206 | 0.206 |
| Story1 | 2.64 | Top | 0.12 | 0.086 |
| Base | 0 | Top | 0 | 0 |



Figure 5. Shown story displacement
Also the overturning moment of the floors was obtained, and the maximum value $9,72412 \mathrm{KN} . \mathrm{M}$ was the lowest value 4,672011 KN.M
As shown in the following table and figure
Table 7. Shown floor overturning moment

| Story | Elevation m | Location | X-DirKN.m | Y-DirKN.m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Story15 | 45 | Top | 0 | 0 |
| Story14 | 41.88 | Top | 0.0481 | -0.023 |
| Story13 | 38.13 | Top | 0.2323 | -0.1108 |
| Story12 | 35.63 | Top | 0.4402 | -0.2098 |
| Story11 | 35 | Top | 0.505 | -0.2406 |
| Story10 | 33.85 | Top | 0.6421 | -0.3058 |
| Story9 | 31.34 | Top | 0.9985 | -0.4752 |
| Story8 | 28.83 | Top | 1.4224 | -0.6765 |
| Story7 | 26.32 | Top | 1.9158 | -0.9109 |
| Story6 | 23.82 | Top | 2.4607 | -1.1702 |
| Story5 | 21.31 | Top | 3.0649 | -1.4584 |
| Story4 | 17.7 | Top | 4.0352 | -1.9232 |
| Story3 | 12.68 | Top | 5.5286 | -2.6421 |
| Story2 | 7.6 | Top | 7.1728 | -3.4365 |
| Story1 | 2.64 | Top | 8.836 | -4.242 |
| Base | 0 | Top | 9.7241 | -4.672 |



Figure 6. Shown story overturning moment

The deviations of the floors were also obtained, and they were the maximum value, 000019 mm
As shown in the following table and figure

Table 8. Shown story deviation

| Story | Elevation m | Location | X-Dir | Y-Dir |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Story15 | 45 | Top | 0.000004 | 0.000009 |
| Story14 | 41.88 | Top | 0.000004 | 0.000009 |
| Story13 | 38.13 | Top | 0.000004 | 0.000009 |
| Story12 | 35.63 | Top | 0.000005 | 0.000009 |
| Story11 | 35 | Top | 0.000005 | 0.000011 |
| Story10 | 33.85 | Top | 0.000006 | 0.000013 |
| Story9 | 31.34 | Top | 0.000006 | 0.000013 |
| Story8 | 28.83 | Top | 0.000008 | 0.000019 |
| Story7 | 26.32 | Top | 0.000009 | 0.000019 |
| Story6 | 23.82 | Top | 0.000008 | 0.000017 |
| Story5 | 21.31 | Top | 0.000006 | 0.000013 |
| Story4 | 17.7 | Top | 0.000005 | 0.000009 |
| Story3 | 12.68 | Top | 0.000004 | 0.000007 |
| Story2 | 7.6 | Top | 0.000003 | 0.000005 |
| Story1 | 2.64 | Top | $4.601 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $6.262 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| Base | 0 | Top | 0 | 0 |



Figure 7. Shown story deviation
After obtaining the results of the analysis from the Etabs program, the steel sections of the tower were designed under the influence of wind loads according to the British specifications using the Etabs program.
As shown in the following table 9.
Then the base plate ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) was designed as follows
Area required ( $\mathrm{A}_{\text {req }}$ )
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {req }}=\mathrm{F} /, 6 \mathrm{FCU}=2360.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}-$ (6)
Effective area ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}$ )
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}=(\mathrm{A}+2 \mathrm{c})(\mathrm{t}+2 \mathrm{c}) * 2-(7)$
$\mathrm{c}=51.244 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{c}\left(3 * .6 \mathrm{fcu} / \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{yp})}\right)^{5}-(8)$
from table $9 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{yp}}=274 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}}=20.73 \mathrm{~mm}>\mathrm{t}=8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ok
take $t_{p}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$

Table 9. Shown tower section design

| Story | Design Section | Check deflection? | deflection Type | DL Ratio | SDL+LL Ratio | LL Ratio | Total Ratio | Camber Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Story14 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story13 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story 12 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story 8 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story15 | UKA100X100X12 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story4 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story 3 | UKA200X200X20 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story11 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story10 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story9 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story7 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story6 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story5 | UKA150X150X15 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story2 | UKA200X200X20 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |
| Story1 | UKA150X150X18 | Yes | Ratio | 120 | 120 | 360 | 240 | 240 |

link design: -
bolt connections
strength grade 8.8
$\mathrm{D}=16 \mathrm{~mm}$, aperture $=18 \mathrm{~mm}$, number of bolt $=4$, the distance between the two bolt $=100 \mathrm{~mm}$, terminal distance between the center of the bolt and the edge of the plate $=50 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Then the base plate was verified according to the British specifications as follows
Shear energy: -
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{As} * \mathrm{PS}-(9)$
From table $30 \quad \mathrm{PS}=375 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{As}=\mathrm{At}=157 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}=58875 \mathrm{~N}$
Bolt bearing capacity
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}=4 * \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}-(10)} \quad=235.5 \mathrm{KN}$
Run over energy
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{bb}}=\mathrm{d} * \mathrm{t} * \mathrm{Pbb}-(11)$
$\mathrm{T}=25 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~d}=16 \mathrm{~mm}$
From table 31, $\mathrm{Pbb}=320 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{bb}}=400 \mathrm{KN}$
Bearing capacity of the set bolts
$\mathrm{Fbb}=4^{*} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{bb}} \quad-(12)=1600 \mathrm{KN}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{bs}}=\mathrm{Kbs} * \mathrm{~d} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}} * \mathrm{Pbs}-(13)$
From table 32, $\mathrm{Pbs}=460 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{bs}}=184 \mathrm{KN}<.5 \mathrm{Kbs} * \mathrm{e} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}} * \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{bs}}$

184 < 287 KN OK

## IV. CONClUSION

1- Using the Etabs program, the sections were modeled to obtain the final shape of the tower as shown in figure (3), and then these sections were analyzed according to British specification to obtain the strong, safe design of the sections as shown in table (9).
2- After calculating the shear energy and bearing capacity of the bolt according to the British specification the base plate was designed as shown in Equation (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13).
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