

# Reduction of Epoxy on Leads Defects thru Optimization of Epoxy Dispense Parameter

Michael D. Capili

Back-End Manufacturing & Technology, STMicroelectronics, Inc. Calamba City, Laguna, Philippines 4027

Keywords— Epoxy Dispense; Epoxy on leads; Die Attach prorcess.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Die attach, also known as die bonding, is the process of attaching (or bonding) a die (or chip) to a substrate, leadframe or another die. This process can take on many forms and can be applied in many different ways. The common die attach material is Epoxy.

Epoxy Dispensed through dispensing needle or nozzle by controlled volume on the substrate. The location of the dispensing is controlled with vision control system in the die attach equipment as illustrated in Figure 1.



Fig. 1. Epoxy Dispense Process

The excessive flow of epoxy between dispensed patterns can lead to Epoxy tailing as shown in Figure 2, due to un optimized dispense parameters. This can cause different epoxy dispense related problem; such as Epoxy on Lead, Epoxy splatter and Epoxy Bridging. This study is performed to eliminate the cause of epoxy related defects.



Fig. 2. Excessive Epoxy tailing and Epoxy on Leads failure mechanism

# II. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

Epoxy Tailing is the excess amount included during dispense process as shown in Figure 3. And this excess epoxy can splatter to leads, die and pad as shown in Figure 4. Epoxy on leads was induced during glue dispense pattern on leadframe extending from the center to leads.



Fig. 4. Epoxy on Leads at Visual Inspection

## III. EVALUATION ASSEMBLY PROCESS IMPROVEMENT

One of the solution is to optimize the Parameter for the Epoxy Dispense. Below is the Diagram of experimental study using Design of Experiment (DOE) shown in Figure 5.



Fig. 5. Diagram of experimental study

The Input Variables are the Burst Time, Standby Height to Leadframe, Process Speed and Snuffback Time, there are the

Michael D. Capili, "Reduction of Epoxy on Leads Defects thru Optimization of Epoxy Dispense Parameter," *International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science*, Volume 4, Issue 4, pp. 168-169, 2019.



factors that can induce epoxy on leads if not controlled on an optimized setting. Below is the table for Summary of Design of Experiment (DOE) shown in Table I.

TABLE I. Design of the Experiment						
Input Variable	Parameter Range					
Burst Time	20 to 90					
Standby Ht to LF	9 to 10					
Process Speed	35 to 50					
Snuffback Time	-10 to -30					

Based on the Pareto and Normal probability plots in Figure 6, the main significant predictor is Snuffback time



Fig. 6. Pareto and Normal probability plots

Based from Minitab Factorial Regression in Figure 7, the R-sq (adj) of model = 90.98% which means a strong linear trend among factors and the response variable. And the P value = 0.000 the model is significant in predicting the variability of the response variable.

C1101/18	DF:	Adl 55	AEMS	F-Value	Prolue.		
todel	4	180761	45195	38.80	0.000		
Litiear		180761	45195	38.80	0.000		
Burst Time	1	156	156	0.7.8	0.721		
Shandby Ht to U	F. T.	0	- 0	0.00	1000		
Process Speed	1	D.		0.00	1.000		
Situffback Time	1	180625	180625	155.07	0.000		
HOI:	11	12812	1163				
ital.	15	100004					
5 R-92 4,1268 19.38%	7 <b>7</b> 7-1929 90.9	<u>() 7-9</u> 15 1	100% 16:00%				
odel Summa <u>S R-12</u> 4.1288 15.38% oded Coeffici	ny R-1929 90.9	<u>d) 8-3</u> 85 1	0 <u>07955)</u> 16.00%				
odel Summa <u>S R-10</u> 41288 1538% oded Coeffici	ents	<u>corf</u>	st Covi	Thilue	7-Value	VF	
odel Summa <u>5 R-12</u> 41288 1838% oded Coeffici em onstant	ents	0 <u>8</u> 53 85 1 14063	<u>st Cov</u>	1-1944 1648	2-Value 5.000	VP.	
odel Summa <u>5 R-12</u> 4.1288 18.38% oded Coeffici em onstant ust Time	ry 8-158 90.9 ents 1/9ct -6.25	<u>coef</u> 140.63 -3.13	107945 16:00% 55 Covel 8:55 8:53	7-1984 16.48 -0.37	#-Value 0.000 6.721	1.85	
s R-10 8 R-10 4 1288 R3.38% oded Coeffici em onstant ust Time tardby Ht to UF	ry 80.9 ents 1/wd -6.25 -0.00	coef En 1 140.63 -3.13 -0.00	<u>55 Cov</u> 8.55 8.55 8.53	1-1944 16.48 -0.37 -0.00	2-Walue 5.000 5.721 1.000	1.00	
stantine sta	ry 8-1929 90.9 ents 1/Wet -6.25 -0.00 -0.00	c) 8-99 8% 1 140.69 -3.13 -0.00 -0.00	<u>107951</u> 66.00% <u>16 Covi</u> 8.53 8.53 8.53 8.53	7-Value 16.48 -0.37 -0.00 -0.00	₽-Value 5.000 6.721 1.000 1.000	1.00 1.00	
odel Summa <u>5</u> R-II2 A1288 1838% oded Coeffici em onstant ust Time tardby H to UF rocess Speed nuffbox Time	ry 8-109 90.9 ents 1/Wet -6.25 -0.00 -0.00 21250	(j) 8-35 (k) 1 (k) 68 -3.13 -0.00 -0.00 100.25	107961 16:00% 8:55 8:55 8:55 8:55 8:55 8:55	5-1040# 1648 -037 -000 -000 1245	2-Value 5.000 5.721 1.000 1.000 5.010	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	
det Summa <u>S</u> R-H2 (1288 18:38% ded Coeffici m instant rist Time workby H tro UF scess Speed uffback Time	ry 8-3929 90.9 ents 1/Wet -6.25 -0.00 -0.00 212.50	(i) 8-9 E0ef 140,63 -3.13 -0.00 -0.00 101,25	<u>stredi</u> 650% <u>853</u> 853 853 853 853 853	5-Value 1648 -0.37 -0.00 -0.00 1245	₽-Value 0.000 0.721 1.000 1.000 0.010	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	





Regression Test was performed to validate if Snuffback time can induced Epoxy on Leads compare to Fast Snuffback time in Figure 9. There is a strong positive relationship between Snuffback time and EOL PPM as indicated by the correlation value 82% changed in EOL PPM can be explained by the Snuffback time Linear Fit on Snuffback time is - 32.29ms near to -30ms setting.

Linear F	it					
EOL PPU -	CK TIME					
Riguare			0.022857			
Ridguare Adj Root Mean Stguare Error blean of Response Coservations (or Sum Wigh)		re Emor Dê Gum Wigts)	164 1544 350 25			
# Analysis of Variance						
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	f Ratio		
Rodel	1	2880000.0	2680000	105.8387		
Enor C. Total	23	\$20000.0 3500000.0	26957	Prob > F		
	Linear F EOL PFIL - d Summ Roame Roame Roame Roame Roame Searce Bodel Enor	Linear Fit EOL PPUL - 1950 - Summary of Ribuxen Ribuxen Rottlean Base Hand Kespon Cosenations for Cosenations for Source DF Model 1 Ency 21 0, 7444 24	Linear Fit EOL PPM = 1550 - 48°SHUFFBA & Summary of Fit Ribuses Ribuses Att RootMaan Busare Error Mean of Response Coserodons (or Sum Wyts) & Analysis of Variance Secror OF Squares Notel 1 2880000 0 Error 23 \$200000 0 7 data 24 \$200000	Linear Fit EOL PPM = 1550 - 42°DIL/FERCK TWE & Summary of Fit Ribuses 0.822657 Ribuse 8.0 8815155 Robit Mean Square Error 144.1844 Usan of Response 104.1844 Usan of Response 105 Coservations (or Sum Wighs) 25 & Analysis of Variance Searce 0F Squares Hear Square Notel 1.2880000.0 2880000 Error 23.820000.0 2880000 Error 23.820000.0 28957 0.7544 0.248600000		

IV. CONCLUTION & RECOMMENDATIONS

Decrease in value of Snuffback time (-) will result to earlier end of pressure pulse and earlier deceleration on writing pattern. Glue tailing reduce while dispenser is moving upward Increase in value of Snuffback time (+) will results to late end of pressure pulse and late deceleration on writing pattern. Glue tailing exist while dispenser is moving upward.

#### REFERENCES

- Problem solving and data analysis using minitab: A clear and easy guide to six sigma methodology (1st ed.). Khan, R. M. (2013). West Sussex, United Kingdom: Wiley.
- [2] The Lean Six Sigma, Michael A. George, McGrawHill, 2002.
- [3] Diebond Machine Process Capability Study, July, 2010.