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#### Abstract

In this paper, the Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) is used to solve the system of non-linear deterministic models on the control of HIV/AIDS using the therapeutic dose within a heterogeneous population. A homotopy is constructed with an embedding parameter $\rho \in[0,1]$ which is considered as a small parameter. The HPM deforms a difficult problem into a more simple problem which can be easily solved. The HPM is implemented with appropriate initial condition. The approximate analytical solutions obtained are uniformly valid not only for small parameters but also good for a very large parameters. The method gets solution for nonlinear models without any discretization linearization or any restrictive assumptions.


Keywords- Homotopy Perturbation Method, Non-Linear, Differential Equation.

## I. HIV THERAPY INTRODUCTION

There is currently no cure or effective HIV Vaccine. Treatment consists of highly active antiretroviral Therapy (HAART) which slows progression to HIV/AIDS. The management of HIV/AIDS normally includes the use of multiple antiretroviral drugs in an attempt to control HIV infection.

There are several classes of antiretroviral agents that act on different stages of the HIV life cycle. The use of multiple drugs that act on different viral targets is known as (HAART), HAART decreases the patient total burden of HIV, maintains the function of the immune system and there after prevent the opportunistic infection that often led to death. [1]

The progression to AID of an HIV Patient is very rare as at present because treatment has been successful in the past few years. An AIDS free generation is indeed within reach according to Natural Institute of Allergy and Infectious disease(NIAID). They noted that in 2010 alone, an estimated 700,000 lives were saved by antiretroviral therapy [2], [3].

The antiretroviral therapy is recommended to be offered to all patients with HIV because of the complexity of selecting the potential side effects and the importance of taking medication regularly to prevent viral resistance, emphasizing the important of involving patient in therapeutic choice and the potential benefits [4] [5].

The first effective therapeutic agent to HIV was the Nucleoside reverse transriptose inhibitor (NRTI), Zidovudine (AZT) approved by US.FDA in 1987 [6].

The homotopy perturbation method (HPM) has been applied to wide class of nonlinear problem in Engineering and Sciences. Some of these problems can usually be reduced to a
system of integral equation [7]. Several methods has been proposed to obtain analytical solution to linear and nonlinear problems such as Adomian Decomposition Method (ADM), differential Transformation Method (DTM), and Decomposition method [8]. The homotopy perturbation method (HPM) has some significant advantages over numerical methods. It provides analytical, verifiable rapidly convergent approximation which yield insight into the character and the behavior of the solution. The homotopy perturbation method solve any linear or nonlinear equation in Sciences and Engineering. Homotopy Perturbation Method was used to solve some initial Boundary problems with local conditions [9]. The method, Homotopy Perturbation method was applied to solution of partial differential equation [10] and in [11], the homotopy perturbation method was used to solve some linear and non-linear parabolic method. We in this paper intend to solve systems of non-linear differential models using Homotopy perturbation method.

## II. Basic Idea of Homotopy Pertubation Method

To illustrate the idea, we consider the following non-linear differential equation.
$A(u)-f(r)=0 r \varepsilon \Omega-------------(i)$
With the boundary conditions
$B\left(u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)=0 r \varepsilon \tau---------------(i i)$
Where, $A=$ Differential equation
$\mathrm{B}=$ Boundary operation
$f(r)=$ Analytical function and
$\tau=$ The boundary of the domain $\Omega$.
An operation A can be divided into two parts $L$ and $N$ where $L$ is the Linear part and $N$ is the nonlinear part.
Equation (1) can be written as
$L(u)+N(u)-f(r)=0------------(i i i)$
By the homotopy technique [12], we construct a homotopy $v(r, p): \Omega \times[0,1] \rightarrow R \quad$ which satisfies
$p(v, p)=(1-p)\left[\left(L(v)-L\left(u_{0}\right)+p+p[A(v)-f(r)]=0\right.\right.$
$p \in[0,1], r \in \Omega$ or
$p(v, p)=L(v)-L\left(u_{0}\right)+p L\left(u_{0}\right)+p N(v)-f(v)=0----(i v)$
Where $p \in[0,1]$ is an embedded parameter. $u_{0}$ Is the initial approximation of (i) which then satisfies
$p(v, 0)=L(v)-L\left(u_{0}\right)=0----------(v)$
$p(v, 1)=A(v)-f(v)=0-----------(v i)$
We then assume the solution for (iv) as a power series in $p$ as $v=v_{0}+p v_{1}+p^{2} v_{2}+$ $\qquad$ (vii)

Let $p=1$, then $u=\lim v=v_{0}+v_{1}+v_{2}+\ldots$ as $p \rightarrow 1$

## III. Application to the Model

Consider the models
$\frac{d S}{d t}=\pi-\delta S+\varepsilon H-\mu S$
$\frac{d H}{d t}=\delta S-\varepsilon H-(1-\varphi) k H-\mu H$
$\frac{d E}{d t}=(1-\varphi) k H-\phi E-\mu E$
$\frac{d I}{d t}=\phi E-\tau c I-\mu I$
$\frac{d I_{2}}{d t}=\tau c I-\mu I_{2}$
Subject to the following initial conditions
$S(0)>0, H(0)>0, E(0)>0, I(0)>0, I_{2}(0)>0$
We factor out the parameters to ease our analysis
$\frac{d S}{d t}=\pi+\varepsilon H-(\delta+\mu) S$
$\frac{d H}{d t}=(\delta) S-(\varepsilon+(1-\varphi) k+\mu) H$
$\frac{d E}{d t}=((1-\varphi) k) H-(\phi+\mu) E$
$\frac{d I}{d t}=\varphi E-(\tau c+\mu) I$
$\frac{d I_{2}}{d t}=\tau c I-\mu I_{2}$
We let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}=\delta+\mu, m_{2}=\delta, m_{3}=\varepsilon+(1-\varphi) K+\mu, m_{4}=(1-\varphi) K \\
& m_{5}=\phi+\mu, m_{6}=\tau c+\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore our models will now become;
$\frac{d S}{d t}=\pi+\varepsilon H-m_{1} S$
$\frac{d H}{d t}=m_{2} S-m_{3} H$
$\frac{d E}{d t}=m_{4} H-m_{5} E$
$\frac{d I}{d t}=\phi E-m_{6} I$
$\frac{d I_{2}}{d t}=\tau c I-\mu I_{2}$
We then assume solution to the models ranging from (11) to (15) as
$S(t)=s_{0}+P s_{1}+P^{2} s_{2}+\ldots$

We now apply HPM to (11) to (15)
Consider (15)
$\frac{d S}{d t}=\pi+\varepsilon H-m_{1} S$
The linear part is
$\frac{d S}{d t}=0$
And the nonlinear part is
$\pi+\varepsilon H-m_{1} S=0$
Applying the HPM, we then have
$(1-P) \frac{d S}{d t}+p\left[\frac{d S}{d t}-\left(\pi+\varepsilon H-m_{1} S\right)\right]=0$
This gives
$\frac{d S}{d t}-P \frac{d S}{d t}+P \frac{d S}{d t}-P\left(\pi+\varepsilon H-m_{1} S\right)=0$
this implies
$\frac{d S}{d t}-P \pi-P \varepsilon H+P m_{1} S=0$
Substituting (16) and (17) into (21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(S_{0}^{\prime}+P S_{1}^{\prime}+P^{2} S_{2}^{\prime}+\ldots+\right)-P \pi- & P \varepsilon\left(h_{0}+P h_{1}+P^{2} h_{2}+\ldots\right)+ \\
& P m_{1}\left(s_{0}+P s_{1}+P^{2} s_{2}+\ldots\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives
$S_{0}^{\prime}+P S_{1}^{\prime}+P^{2} S_{2}^{\prime}+\ldots+-\left(P \pi+P \varepsilon h_{0}+P \varepsilon P h_{1}+\varepsilon P^{3} h_{2}\right)+\ldots+$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P m_{1} s_{0}+P m_{1} P s_{1}+P^{3} m_{1} s_{2}+\ldots=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting the coefficient of the power of P 's, we have
$P^{0}: S_{0}^{\prime}=0$
$P^{1}: S_{1}^{\prime}-\pi-\varepsilon h_{0}+m_{1} s_{0}=0$
$P^{2}: S_{2}^{\prime}-\varepsilon h_{1}+m_{1} s_{1}=0$
From (12) we have
$\frac{d H}{d t}=m_{2} s-m_{3} H$
With the linear part as
$\frac{d H}{d t}=0$
And $m_{2} s-m_{3} H=0$ as the nonlinear part of (12)
Applying Homotopy to (12) we have
$(1-P) \frac{d H}{d t}+p\left[\frac{d H}{d t}-\left(m_{2} s-m_{3} H\right)\right]=0$
$\Rightarrow \frac{d H}{d t}+p \frac{d H}{d t}+P \frac{d H}{d t}-P\left(m_{2} s-m_{3} H\right)=0$
$\Rightarrow \frac{d H}{d t}-P\left(m_{2} s-m_{3} H\right)$
$\Rightarrow \frac{d H}{d t}-P m_{2} s+P m_{3} H=0$
Substituting (16) and (17) in (25) we have
$h_{0}^{\prime}+P h_{1}^{\prime}+P^{2} h_{2}^{\prime}+\ldots+-P\left(m_{2}\left(s_{0}+P s_{1}+P^{2} s_{2}+\ldots\right)\right)+$

$$
P m_{3}\left(h_{0}+P h_{1}+P^{2} h_{2}+\ldots\right)=0
$$

$\Rightarrow h_{0}^{\prime}+P h_{1}^{\prime}+P^{2} h_{2}^{\prime}+\ldots+-m_{2} P s_{0}-m_{2} P s_{1}-m_{2} P^{2} s_{2}+\ldots$

$$
+P m_{3} h_{0}+m_{3} P^{2} h_{1}+m_{3} P^{3} h_{2}+\ldots=0
$$

Collecting the coefficient of P 's we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}: h_{0}^{\prime}=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P^{1}: h_{1}^{\prime}-m_{2} s_{0}+m_{3} h_{0}=0$
$P^{2}: h_{2}^{\prime}-m_{2} s_{1}+m_{3} h_{1}=0$
Applying Homotopy perturbation method to (13) we have;
$\frac{d E}{d t}=m_{4} H-m_{5} E=0$
With $\frac{d E}{d t}=0$ as the linear part and
$m_{4} H-m_{5} E=0$ as the nonlinear part, therefore
$(1-P) \frac{d E}{d t}+p\left[\frac{d E}{d t}-\left(m_{4} H-m_{5} E\right)\right]=0$
$(1-P) \frac{d E}{d t}+p \frac{d E}{d t}-P m_{4} H+P m_{5} E=0$
This gives
$\frac{d E}{d t}-P m_{4} H+P m_{5} E=0$
Substituting (17) and (18) in (29), we have
$e_{0}^{\prime}+P e_{1}^{\prime}+P^{2} e_{2}^{\prime}+\ldots-P m_{4}\left(h_{0}+P h_{1}+P^{2} h_{2}+\ldots\right)+$

$$
P m_{5}\left(e_{0}+P e_{1}+P^{2} e_{2}+\ldots\right)=0
$$

Collecting the powers of P 's we have
$P^{0}: e_{0}^{\prime}=0$
$P^{1}: e_{1}^{\prime}-m_{4} h_{0}+m_{5} e_{0}=0$
$P^{2}: e_{2}^{\prime}-m_{4} h_{1}+m_{5} e_{1}=0$
Applying the Homotopy perturbation method to (.14)
$\frac{d I}{d t}=\phi E-m_{6} I=0$
With the linear part as $\frac{d I}{d t}=0$ and $\phi E-m_{6} I$ as the nonlinear part; therefore
This gives
$(1-P) \frac{d I}{d t}+p\left[\frac{d I}{d t}-\left(\phi E-m_{6} I\right)\right]=0$
$\Rightarrow \frac{d I}{d t}-P \phi E+P m_{6} I=0$
Substituting (18) and (19) in (.33) we have

$$
i_{0}^{\prime}+P i_{1}^{\prime}+P^{2} i_{2}^{\prime}+\ldots+-P \phi\left(e_{0}+P e_{1}+P^{2} e_{2}+\ldots\right)+
$$

$$
P m_{6}\left(i_{0}+P i_{1}+P^{2} i_{2}+\ldots\right)=0
$$

Collecting the powers of P 's we have
$P^{0}: i_{0}^{\prime}=0$
$P^{1}: i_{1}^{\prime}-\phi e_{0}+m_{6} i_{0}=0$
$P^{2}: i_{2}^{\prime}-\phi e_{1}+m_{6} i_{1}=0$
Applying the Homotopy perturbation method to (15)
$\frac{d I_{2}}{d t}=\tau c I-\mu I_{2}$
where $\frac{d I_{2}}{d t}=0$ is the linear part and $\tau c I-\mu I_{2}$ as the other part
Therefore
$(1-P) \frac{d I_{2}}{d t}+p\left[\frac{d I_{2}}{d t}-\left(\tau c I-\mu I_{2}\right)\right]=0$
Which gives
$\frac{d I_{2}}{d t}-P \tau c I+P \mu I_{2}=0$
Substituting (19) and (20) in (.37) we have
$a_{0}^{\prime}+P a_{1}^{\prime}+P^{2} a_{2}^{\prime}+\ldots+-P \tau c\left(i_{0}+P i_{1}+P^{2} i_{2}+\ldots+\right)+$

$$
P \mu\left(a_{0}+P a_{1}+P^{2} a_{2}+\ldots+\right)=0
$$

Collecting the coefficient of the power of P 's, we have
$P^{0}: a_{0}^{\prime}=0$
$P^{1}: a_{1}^{\prime}-\tau c i_{0}+\mu a_{0}=0$
$P^{2}: a_{2}^{\prime}-\tau c i_{1}+\mu a_{1}=0$
From (.22), we have
$S_{0}^{\prime}=0$
$\Rightarrow \frac{d S_{0}}{d t}=0$
Integrating we have
$S_{0}=C_{1}$
Subject to the initial condition
$S_{0}(0)=S_{0}$
$\Rightarrow C_{1}=S_{0}$
$\therefore S_{0}=S_{0}$
From (26)
$h_{0}^{\prime}=0$
Integrating
$\int h_{0}=0$
$\Rightarrow h_{0}=C_{2}$ where $C_{2}$ is the constant of integration
$h_{0}(0)=H_{0}$
We have
$\Rightarrow C_{2}=H_{0}$
$h_{0}=H_{0}$
And from (30)
$e_{0}^{\prime}=0$
$\Rightarrow \int e_{0}=0$
Integrating, we have
$e_{0}=C_{3}$
from $e_{0}(0)=E_{0}$, we have
$e_{0}=C_{3}=E_{0}$
$\therefore e_{0}=E_{0}$
From (34) we have
$i_{0}^{\prime}=0$
Integrating, $i_{0}=C_{4}$
Applying the initial condition we have
$i_{0}(0)=I_{0}$
$C_{4}=I_{0}$
$\Rightarrow i_{0}=I_{0}$
And from (38) we have
$a_{0}^{\prime}=0$
Integrating, we have
$a_{0}=C_{5}$
but $a_{0}(0)=I_{2}(0)$
$\Rightarrow C_{5}=I_{2}(0)$
$\Rightarrow a_{0}=I_{2}(0)$
From (23), we have
$S_{1}^{\prime}=\pi-\varepsilon h_{0}+m_{1} s_{0}$
Substituting (41) and (42) in (46) we have
$S_{1}^{\prime}=\pi+\varepsilon h_{0}-m_{1} s_{0}$
$\Rightarrow \frac{d S_{1}}{d t}=\pi+\varepsilon h_{0}-m_{1} s_{0}$
Integrating,
$\int d S_{1}=\left(\pi+\varepsilon h_{0}-m_{1} s_{0}\right) \int d t$
$\Rightarrow S_{1}=\left(\pi+\varepsilon h_{0}-m_{1} s_{0}\right) t+C_{6}$
Where $C_{6}$ is the constant of integration from $S_{1}(0)=0$ we have that $C_{6}=0$ therefore
$S_{1}=\left(\pi+\varepsilon h_{0}-m_{1} s\right) t$
From (.27), we have
$h_{1}^{\prime}-m_{2} s_{0}+m_{3} h_{0}=0$
Substituting (41) and (47) in (50) we have
$\Rightarrow h_{1}^{\prime}=m_{2} s_{0}-m_{3} H_{0}$
Integrating we have
$h_{1}=\left(m_{2} s_{0}-m_{3} H_{0}\right) t+C_{7}$
where $C_{7}$ is the constant of integration and $h_{1}(0)=0 \Rightarrow C_{7}=0$
$h_{1}=\left(m_{2} s_{0}-m_{3} H_{0}\right) t$
From (4.5.31), we have
$e_{1}^{\prime}=m_{4} h_{0}-m_{5} e_{0}$
Substituting (42) and (43) in (52) we have
$e_{1}^{\prime}=m_{4} H_{0}-m_{5} E_{0}$
Integrating we have
$e_{1}=\left(m_{4} H_{0}-m_{5} E_{0}\right) t+C_{8}$
Where $C_{8}$ is the constant of integration and $e_{1}(0)=0 \Rightarrow C_{8}=0$
$\Rightarrow e_{1}=\left(m_{4} H_{0}-m_{5} E_{0}\right) t$
From (4.5.35) we have
$i_{1}^{\prime}=\phi e_{0}-m_{6} i_{0}$
Substituting (43) and (44) in (52) we have
$i_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\phi E_{0}-m_{6} I_{0}\right) t$
Integrating we have
$i_{1}=\left(\phi E_{0}-m_{6} I_{0}\right) t+C_{9}$
Where $C_{9}$ is the constant of integration
Applying the initial condition
$i_{1}(0)=0 \quad \Rightarrow C_{9}=0$
Therefore
$i_{1}=\left(\phi E_{0}-m_{6} I_{0}\right) t$
And from (39)
$a_{1}^{\prime}=\tau c i_{0}-\mu a_{0}$
Substituting (44) and (45) in (56), we have
ss $a_{1}^{\prime}=\tau c I_{0}-\mu I_{2,0}$
Integrating, we have
$a_{1}=\left(\tau c I_{0}-\mu I_{2,0}\right) t+C_{10}$
Where $C_{10}$ is the constant of integration
Applying the initial conditions
$a_{1}(0)=0$
$\Rightarrow C_{10}=0$
Therefore
$a_{1}=\left(\tau c I_{0}-\mu I_{2(0)}\right) t$
From (24)
$\Rightarrow S_{2}=-\left(-\varepsilon h_{1}+m_{1} s_{1}\right)$
Substituting (.49) and (47) in (.58) we have
$S_{2}^{\prime}=\varepsilon\left(m_{2} S_{0}-m_{3} H_{0}\right) t-m_{1}\left(\pi+\varepsilon H_{0}-m_{1} S_{0}\right) t$
$=\left(\varepsilon m_{2} S_{0}-\varepsilon m_{3} H_{0}\right) t-\left(m_{1} \pi+m_{1} \varepsilon H_{0}-m_{1}^{2} S_{0}\right) t$
$\left.S_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\left(\varepsilon m_{3} H_{0}-\varepsilon m_{2} S_{0}\right)-m_{1} \pi-m_{1} \pi-m_{1} \varepsilon H_{0}+m_{1}^{2} S_{0}\right)\right) t$
$S_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\varepsilon\left(m_{3}-m_{1}\right) H_{0}-\left(\varepsilon m_{2-} m_{1}^{2}\right) S_{0}-m_{1} \pi\right) t$
Integrating we have
$S_{2}=\left(\varepsilon\left(m_{3}-m_{1}\right) H_{0}-\left(\varepsilon m_{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right) S_{0}-m_{1} \pi\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+c_{11}$
where $C_{11}$ is the constant of integration
Applying the initial condition
$S_{2}(0)=0 \Rightarrow C_{11}=0$
Therefore
$S_{2}=\left(\varepsilon\left(m_{3}-m_{1}\right) H_{0}-\left(\varepsilon_{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right) S_{0}-m_{1} \pi\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}$
From (.16), we obtain the solution for (1) as;
$S(t)=S_{0}+P S_{1}+P^{2} S_{2}+\ldots+$
$\Rightarrow S(t)=S_{0}+P\left(\pi+\varepsilon H_{0}-m_{1} S_{t}\right) t+P^{2}\left(\varepsilon\left(m_{3}-m_{1}\right) H_{0}-\left(\varepsilon m_{2}-m_{1} \pi\right) S_{0}-m_{1} \pi\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\ldots+$
Setting $\mathrm{P}=0$
$S(t)=S_{0}$
But setting $\mathrm{P}=1$, we have
$S(t)=S_{0}+\left(\pi+\varepsilon H_{0}-m_{1} S_{0}\right) t+$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varepsilon\left(m_{3}-m_{1}\right) H_{0}-\left(\varepsilon m_{2}-m_{1}^{2} \pi\right) S_{0}-m_{1} \pi\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\ldots+ \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider (28)
$h_{2}^{\prime}=m_{2} s_{1}-m_{3} h_{1}$
Substituting (.47) and (49) in (57) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{2}^{\prime} & =m_{2}\left(\pi+\varepsilon H_{0}-m_{1} S_{0}\right) t-m_{3}\left(m_{2} S_{0}-m_{3} H_{0}\right) t  \tag{57}\\
& =\left(m_{2} \pi+m_{2} \varepsilon H_{0}-m_{2} m_{1} S_{0}-m_{3} m_{2} S_{0}+m_{3}^{2} H_{0}\right) t \\
h_{2}^{\prime} & =\left(\pi m_{2}-m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{1}\right) S_{0}+\left(m_{2} \varepsilon-m_{3}^{2}\right) H_{0}\right) t \\
& =\left(m_{2}\left(\pi-\left(m_{3}+m_{1}\right) S_{0}\right)+\left(m_{2} \varepsilon-m_{3}^{2}\right) H_{0}\right) t
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating, we have
$h_{2}=\left(m_{2}\left(\pi-\left(m_{3}+m_{1}\right) S_{0}\right)-\left(m_{2} \varepsilon-m_{3}^{2}\right) H_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+C_{12}$
Where $C_{12}$ is the constant of integration
Applying the initial condition
$h_{2}(0)=0 \quad \Rightarrow C_{12}=0$
Therefore
$h_{2}=\left(m_{2}\left(\pi-\left(m_{3}+m_{1}\right) S_{0}\right)+\left(m_{2} \varepsilon-m_{3}^{2}\right) H_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}$
From (4.5.17), we have
$H=h_{0}+P h_{1}+P^{2} h_{2}+\ldots+$
Substituting (.42), (49) and (58) in (17) we obtain the solution for the model (12) as;
$H(t)=H_{0}+P\left(m_{2} S_{0}-m_{3} H_{0}\right) t+P^{2}\left(m_{2}\left(\pi-\left(m_{1}+m_{3}\right) S_{0}\right)+m_{2}\left(m_{2} \varepsilon-m_{3}^{2}\right) H_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\ldots+$
$\lim _{P \rightarrow 0} H(t)=H_{0}$
${ }^{P \rightarrow 0}$
But
$\lim H(t) \Rightarrow$
$P \rightarrow 1$
$H(t)=H_{0}+\left(m_{2} S_{0}-m_{3} H_{0}\right) t+\left(m_{2}\left(\pi-\left(m_{1}+m_{3}\right) S_{0}\right)+\left(m_{2} \varepsilon-m_{3}^{2}\right) H_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{\lambda}+\ldots+$
From (4.5.32) we have s
$e_{2}^{\prime}=m_{4} h_{1}-m_{5} e_{1}$
Substituting (.49) and (51) in (.60), we have
$e_{2}^{\prime}=m_{4}\left(m_{2} S_{0}-m_{3} H_{0}\right) t-m_{5}\left(m_{4} H_{0}-m_{5} E_{0}\right) t$
$e_{2}^{\prime}=\left(m_{4} m_{2} S_{0}-m_{4} m_{3} H_{0}-m_{5} m_{4} H_{0}+m^{2}{ }_{5} E_{0}\right) t$
$e_{2}^{\prime}=\left(m_{4} m_{2} S_{0}-m_{4}\left(m_{3}+m_{5}\right) H_{0}+m^{2}{ }_{5} E_{0}\right) t$
Integrating,
$e_{2}=\left(m_{4} m_{2} S_{0}-m_{4}\left(m_{3}+m_{5}\right) H_{0}+m^{2}{ }_{5} E_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+C_{13}$
Where $C_{13}$ is the constant of integration
Applying the initial condition

$$
e_{2}(0)=0 \quad \Rightarrow C_{13}=0
$$

Therefore
$e_{2}=\left(m_{4} m_{2} S_{0}-m_{4}\left(m_{3}+m_{5}\right) H_{0}+m_{5}^{2} E_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}$
Substituting (43),(51) and (61) in (18) we obtain
$E(t)=E_{0}+P\left(m_{4} H-m_{5} E_{0}\right) t+\left(m_{4} m_{2} S_{0}-m_{4}\left(m_{3}+m_{5}\right) H_{0}+m_{5}^{2} E_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\ldots$
Setting $\mathrm{P}=0$, we have

## $E(t)=E_{0}$ trivial solution

And setting $\mathrm{P}=1$, we have
$E(t)=E_{0}+\left(m_{4} H-m_{5} E_{0}\right) t+\left(m_{4} m_{2} S_{0}-m_{4}\left(m_{3}+m_{5}\right) H_{0}+m^{2}{ }_{5} E_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\ldots+\ldots$
From (4.4.36), we have
$i_{2}^{\prime}=\phi e_{1}-m_{6} i_{1}$
Substituting (4.5.51) and (4.5.53) in (4.5.63) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{2}^{\prime} & =\phi\left(m_{4} H_{0}-m_{5} E_{0}\right) t-m_{6}\left(\phi E_{0}-m_{6} I_{0}\right) t \\
& =\left(\phi m_{4} H_{0}-\phi m_{5} E_{0}-m_{6} \phi E_{0}+m_{6}^{2} I_{0}\right) t \\
& =\left(\phi m_{4} H-\phi\left(m_{5}+m_{6}\right) E_{0}+m_{6}^{2} I_{0}\right) t \\
i_{2}^{\prime} & =\left(\phi\left(m_{4} H_{0}-\phi\left(m_{5}+m_{6}\right) E_{0}\right)+m_{6}^{2} I_{0}\right) t
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating, and applying the initial condition $i_{2}(0)=0$ we have
$i_{2}=\left(\phi\left(m_{4} H_{0}-\phi\left(m_{5}+m_{6}\right) E_{0}\right)+m_{6}^{2} I_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+C_{14}$
where $C_{14}$ is the constant of integration
Substituting (44), (53) and (64) in (19)
We obtain
$I(t)=I_{0}+P\left(\phi E_{0}-m_{6} I_{0}\right) t+P^{2}\left(\phi\left(m_{4} H_{0}-\left(m_{5}+m_{6}\right) E_{0}\right)+m^{2}{ }_{6} I_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\ldots$
Setting $\mathrm{P}=0$, we have
$I(t)=I_{0}$
And setting $\mathrm{P}=1$, we have
$I(t)=I_{0}+\left(\phi E_{0}-m_{6} I_{0}\right) t+\left(\phi\left(m_{4} H_{0}-\left(m_{5}+m_{6}\right) E_{0}\right)+m^{2}{ }_{6} I_{0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\ldots$
And finally from (40) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{\prime}=\tau c i_{1}-\mu a_{1} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (.53) and (54) in (.66)
We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2}^{1} & =\tau c\left(\varphi E_{0}-m_{6} I_{0}\right) t-\mu\left(\tau c I_{0}-\mu I_{2,0}\right) t \\
& =\left(\tau c \varphi E_{0}-\tau_{c} m_{6} I_{0}-\mu \tau c I_{0}+\mu_{7}^{2} I_{2,0}\right) t
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating, we have
$a_{2}=\left(\tau c\left(\phi E_{0}-\left(m_{6}+\mu\right) I_{0}\right)+\mu^{2} I_{2,0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+C_{15}$
Where $C_{15}$ is the constant of integration, applying the initial conditions
$a_{2}(0)=0 \quad \Rightarrow C_{15}=0$
Therefore
$a_{2}=\left(\tau c\left(\phi E_{0}-\left(m_{6}+\mu\right) I_{0}\right)+\mu^{2} I_{2,0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}$
Substituting (45), (54) and (67) in (20) we have
$I_{2}(t)=I_{2,0}+P\left(\tau_{c} I_{0}-\mu I_{2,0}\right) t+P^{2}\left[\tau c\left(\phi E_{0}-\left(m_{6}+\mu I_{0}\right) I_{0}\right)+\mu^{2} I_{2,0}\right] \frac{t^{2}}{2}$
Setting $\mathrm{P}=0$, we have
$I_{2}(t)=I_{2,0}$ and
Setting $\mathrm{P}=1$, we have
$I_{2}(t)=I_{2,0}+\left(\tau c I_{0}-\mu I_{2,0}\right) t+\left(\tau c\left(\phi E_{0}-\left(m_{6}+\mu I_{0}\right) I_{0}\right)+\mu^{2} I_{2,0} \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\ldots\right.$.
Therefore equation (56), (59), (62), (65) and (67) are the solutions of our models.(1) to (5).

## IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the homotopy perturbation method was applied on some systems of non-linear deterministic model on the control of HIV/AIDS pandemic using therapeutic dose in a heterogeneous population. The use of the method gives a very precise results analytically to the models showing that the
method is very convenient and efficient, the numerical method leads to inaccurate results when the equation is highly dependend on time.
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