

# Nonlinear Analysis of the HIV/AIDS Control Pandemic Model within a Heterogeneous Population

Omale David, William Atokolo

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Kogi State University Anyigba, Kogi State E-mail: davidubahi @ gmail.com, williamsatokolo @ gmail.com Phone no: 08063718341, 08058484474

Abstract—In this paper, the Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) is used to solve the system of non-linear deterministic models on the control of HIV/AIDS using the therapeutic dose within a heterogeneous population. A homotopy is constructed with an embedding parameter  $\rho \in [0,1]$  which is considered as a small parameter. The HPM deforms a difficult problem into a more simple problem which can be easily solved. The HPM is implemented with appropriate initial condition. The approximate analytical solutions obtained are uniformly valid not only for small parameters but also good for a very large parameters. The method gets solution for non-linear models without any discretization linearization or any restrictive assumptions.

*Keywords*— Homotopy Perturbation Method, Non-Linear, Differential Equation.

### I. HIV THERAPY INTRODUCTION

There is currently no cure or effective HIV Vaccine. Treatment consists of highly active antiretroviral Therapy (HAART) which slows progression to HIV/AIDS. The management of HIV/AIDS normally includes the use of multiple antiretroviral drugs in an attempt to control HIV infection.

There are several classes of antiretroviral agents that act on different stages of the HIV life cycle. The use of multiple drugs that act on different viral targets is known as (HAART), HAART decreases the patient total burden of HIV, maintains the function of the immune system and there after prevent the opportunistic infection that often led to death. [1]

The progression to AID of an HIV Patient is very rare as at present because treatment has been successful in the past few years. An AIDS free generation is indeed within reach according to Natural Institute of Allergy and Infectious disease(NIAID). They noted that in 2010 alone, an estimated 700,000 lives were saved by antiretroviral therapy [2], [3].

The antiretroviral therapy is recommended to be offered to all patients with HIV because of the complexity of selecting the potential side effects and the importance of taking medication regularly to prevent viral resistance, emphasizing the important of involving patient in therapeutic choice and the potential benefits [4] [5].

The first effective therapeutic agent to HIV was the Nucleoside reverse transriptose inhibitor (NRTI), Zidovudine (AZT) approved by US.FDA in 1987 [6].

The homotopy perturbation method (HPM) has been applied to wide class of nonlinear problem in Engineering and Sciences. Some of these problems can usually be reduced to a system of integral equation [7]. Several methods has been proposed to obtain analytical solution to linear and nonlinear problems such as Adomian Decomposition Method (ADM), differential Transformation Method (DTM), and Decomposition method [8]. The homotopy perturbation method (HPM) has some significant advantages over numerical methods. It provides analytical, verifiable rapidly convergent approximation which yield insight into the character and the behavior of the solution. The homotopy perturbation method solve any linear or nonlinear equation in Sciences and Engineering. Homotopy Perturbation Method was used to solve some initial Boundary problems with local conditions [9]. The method, Homotopy Perturbation method was applied to solution of partial differential equation [10] and in [11], the homotopy perturbation method was used to solve some linear and non-linear parabolic method. We in this paper intend to solve systems of non-linear differential models using Homotopy perturbation method.

### II. BASIC IDEA OF HOMOTOPY PERTUBATION METHOD

To illustrate the idea, we consider the following non-linear differential equation.

With the boundary conditions

$$B\left(u,\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right) = 0 \ r\varepsilon\tau - \dots - \dots - \dots - (ii)$$

Where, A= Differential equation

B= Boundary operation

f(r) = Analytical function and

 $\tau$  = The boundary of the domain  $\Omega$ .

An operation A can be divided into two parts L and N where L is the Linear part and N is the nonlinear part. Equation (1) can be written as

By the homotopy technique [12], we construct a homotopy  $v(r, p): \Omega \times [0,1] \rightarrow R$  which satisfies  $p(v, p) = (1-p)[(L(v) - L(u_0) + p + p[A(v) - f(r)] = 0$  $p \in [0,1], r \in \Omega$  or  $p(v, p) = L(v) - L(u_0) + pL(u_0) + pN(v) - f(v) = 0 - - -(iv)$ 

Where  $p \in [0,1]$  is an embedded parameter.  $u_0$  Is the initial approximation of (i) which then satisfies

Omale David and William Atokolo, "Nonlinear analysis of the HIV/AIDS control pandemic model within a heterogeneous population," *International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science*, Volume 3, Issue 4, pp. 43-48, 2018.



$$p(v,0) = L(v) - L(u_0) = 0 - - - - - - (v)$$
  

$$p(v,1) = A(v) - f(v) = 0 - - - - - - (vi)$$
  
We then assume the solution for (iv) as a power series in *p* as  

$$v = v_0 + pv_1 + p^2v_2 + \dots (vii)$$
  
Let *p* = 1, then *u* = lim*v* = *v*\_0 + *v*\_1 + *v*\_2 + ...*as p*  $\rightarrow$  1

### III. APPLICATION TO THE MODEL

Consider the models

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \pi - \delta S + \varepsilon H - \mu S \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \delta S - \varepsilon H - (1 - \varphi)kH - \mu H \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = (1 - \varphi)kH - \phi E - \mu E \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \phi E - \tau c I - \mu I \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{dI_2}{dt} = \tau c I - \mu I_2 \tag{5}$$

Subject to the following initial conditions

 $S(0) > 0, H(0) > 0, E(0) > 0, I(0) > 0, I_2(0) > 0$ 

We factor out the parameters to ease our analysis dS

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \pi + \varepsilon H - (\delta + \mu)S$$
(6)
$$\frac{dH}{dt} = (\delta)S - (\varepsilon + (1 - \varphi)k + \mu)H$$
(7)

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = ((1-\varphi)k)H - (\phi+\mu)E$$
(8)
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \varphi E - (\tau c + \mu)I$$
(9)

$$\frac{dI_2}{dt} = \tau c I - \mu I_2 \tag{10}$$

We let

$$\begin{split} m_1 &= \delta + \mu, m_2 = \delta, m_3 = \varepsilon + (1 - \varphi)K + \mu, m_4 = (1 - \varphi)K \\ m_5 &= \phi + \mu, m_6 = \tau c + \mu \end{split}$$

Therefore our models will now become;

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \pi + \varepsilon H - m_1 S \qquad (11)$$

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = m_2 S - m_3 H \qquad (12)$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = m_4 H - m_5 E \qquad (13)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \phi E - m_6 I \qquad (14)$$

$$\frac{dI_2}{dt} = \tau c I - \mu I_2 \qquad (15)$$

We then assume solution to the models ranging from (11) to (15) as

 $S(t) = s_0 + Ps_1 + P^2s_2 + \dots$ (16)

$$H(t) = h_0 + Ph_1 + P^2h_2 + \dots$$
(17)

$$E(t) = e_0 + Pe_1 + P^2e_2 + \dots$$
(18)

$$I(t) = i_0 + Pi_1 + P^2i_2 + \dots$$
(19)

$$I_2(t) = a_0 + Pa_1 + P^2 a_2 + \dots$$
 (20)

We now apply HPM to (11) to (15) Consider (15)  $\frac{dS}{dS} = \pi + cH - mS$ 

$$\frac{dt}{dt} = \pi + \varepsilon H - m_1 S$$
  
The linear part is

$$\frac{dS}{h} = 0$$

dt And the nonlinear part is

$$\pi + \varepsilon H - m_1 S = 0$$

Applying the HPM, we then have

$$(1-P)\frac{dS}{dt} + p\left\lfloor \frac{dS}{dt} - (\pi + \varepsilon H - m_1 S) \right\rfloor = 0$$
  
This gives

This gives

$$\frac{dS}{dt} - P\frac{dS}{dt} + P\frac{dS}{dt} - P(\pi + \varepsilon H - m_1 S) = 0$$
  
this implies  
$$\frac{dS}{dt} - P\pi - P\varepsilon H + Pm_1 S = 0$$
 (21)

Substituting (16) and (17) into (21), we have

$$(S'_0 + PS'_1 + P^2S'_2 + \dots +) - P\pi - P\varepsilon(h_0 + Ph_1 + P^2h_2 + \dots) +$$

$$Pm_1(s_0 + Ps_1 + P^2s_2 + ...) = 0$$

This gives

$$S'_{0} + PS'_{1} + P^{2}S'_{2} + \dots + -(P\pi + P\varepsilon h_{0} + P\varepsilon Ph_{1} + \varepsilon P^{3}h_{2}) + \dots + Pm_{1}s_{0} + Pm_{1}Ps_{1} + P^{3}m_{1}s_{2} + \dots = 0$$

Collecting the coefficient of the power of P's, we have

$$P^0: S_0' = 0 (22)$$

$$P^{1}: S_{1}' - \pi - \varepsilon h_{0} + m_{1}s_{0} = 0$$
<sup>(23)</sup>

$$P^2: S'_2 - \varepsilon h_1 + m_1 s_1 = 0 \tag{24}$$

From (12) we have

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = m_2 s - m_3 H$$
With the linear part as

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = 0$$

And  $m_2 s - m_3 H = 0$  as the nonlinear part of (12) Applying Homotopy to (12) we have

 $(1-P)\frac{dH}{dt} + p\left[\frac{dH}{dt} - (m_2s - m_3H)\right] = 0$ 



## International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science

ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dH}{dt} + p\frac{dH}{dt} + P\frac{dH}{dt} - P(m_2s - m_3H) = 0$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \frac{dH}{dt} - P(m_2s - m_3H)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \frac{dH}{dt} - Pm_2s + Pm_3H = 0$$
 (25)

Substituting (16) and (17) in (25) we have

$$h'_{0} + Ph'_{1} + P^{2}h'_{2} + \dots + -P(m_{2}(s_{0} + Ps_{1} + P^{2}s_{2} + \dots)) + Pm_{3}(h_{0} + Ph_{1} + P^{2}h_{2} + \dots) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow h'_0 + Ph'_1 + P^2h'_2 + \dots + -m_2Ps_0 - m_2Ps_1 - m_2P^2s_2 + \dots + Pm_3h_0 + m_3P^2h_1 + m_3P^3h_2 + \dots = 0$$

Collecting the coefficient of P's we have

$$P^{0}: h'_{0} = 0$$
(26)  

$$P^{1}: h'_{1} - m_{2}s_{0} + m_{3}h_{0} = 0$$
(27)  

$$P^{2}: h'_{2} - m_{2}s_{1} + m_{3}h_{1} = 0$$
(28)

Applying Homotopy perturbation method to (13) we have;  $\frac{dE}{dt} = m_4 H - m_5 E = 0$ 

With  $\frac{dE}{dt} = 0$  as the linear part and

$$m_{4}H - m_{5}E = 0 \text{ as the nonlinear part, therefore}$$

$$(1-P)\frac{dE}{dt} + p\left[\frac{dE}{dt} - (m_{4}H - m_{5}E)\right] = 0$$

$$(1-P)\frac{dE}{dt} + p\frac{dE}{dt} - Pm_{4}H + Pm_{5}E = 0$$
This gives
$$\frac{dE}{dt} - Pm_{4}H + Pm_{5}E = 0$$
(29)
Substituting (17) and (18) in (29) we have

Substituting (17) and (18) in (29), we have  $\rho' + P\rho' + P^2\rho' + - Pm(h + Dh + D^2h)$ 

$$e_0 + Pe_1 + P^-e_2 + \dots - Pm_4(h_0 + Ph_1 + P^-h_2 + \dots) + Pm_5(e_0 + Pe_1 + P^2e_2 + \dots) = 0$$

Collecting the powers of P's we have

$$P^{0}: e'_{0} = 0$$
(30)  
$$P^{1}: e'_{1} - m_{4}h_{0} + m_{5}e_{0} = 0$$
(31)

$$P^2: e_2' - m_4 h_1 + m_5 e_1 = 0 aga{32}$$

Applying the Homotopy perturbation method to (.14)  $\frac{dI}{dI} = \phi E = m I = 0$ 

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \phi E - m_6 I = 0$$

With the linear part as  $\frac{dI}{dt} = 0$  and  $\phi E - m_6 I$  as the nonlinear

part; therefore This gives

$$(1-P)\frac{dI}{dt} + p\left[\frac{dI}{dt} - (\phi E - m_6 I)\right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dI}{dt} - P\phi E + Pm_6 I = 0 \tag{33}$$

Substituting (18) and (19) in (.33) we have

$$i'_0 + Pi'_1 + P^2i'_2 + \dots + -P\phi(e_0 + Pe_1 + P^2e_2 + \dots) +$$

$$Pm_6(i_0 + Pi_1 + P^2i_2 + \dots) = 0$$

Collecting the powers of P's we have 
$$P^0 \cdot i' = 0$$

$${}^{0}:i_{0}'=0$$
 (34)

$$P^{1}:i_{1}'-\phi e_{0}+m_{6}i_{0}=0$$
(35)

$$P^2: i'_2 - \phi e_1 + m_6 i_1 = 0 \tag{36}$$

Applying the Homotopy perturbation method to (15)

$$\frac{dI_2}{dt} = \tau cI - \mu I_2$$

where  $\frac{dI_2}{dt} = 0$  is the linear part and  $\tau cI - \mu I_2$  as the other part

Therefore

$$(1-P)\frac{dI_2}{dt} + p\left[\frac{dI_2}{dt} - (\tau cI - \mu I_2)\right] = 0$$
  
Which gives

Which gives

$$\frac{dI_2}{dt} - P\tau cI + P\mu I_2 = 0$$
Substituting (19) and (20) in (.37) we have
(37)

$$a'_0 + Pa'_1 + P^2a'_2 + \dots + -P\tau c(i_0 + Pi_1 + P^2i_2 + \dots +) +$$

$$P\mu(a_0 + Pa_1 + P^2a_2 + \dots +) = 0$$

Collecting the coefficient of the power of P's, we have

$$P^0: a_0' = 0 (38)$$

$$P^{1}:a_{1}'-\tau c i_{0}+\mu a_{0}=0$$
(39)

$$P^2: a'_2 - \tau c i_1 + \mu a_1 = 0 \tag{40}$$

From (.22), we have  $S'_{1} = 0$ 

I

F

I

١

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dS_0}{dt} = 0$$
  
integrating we have  

$$S_0 = C_1$$
  
Subject to the initial condition  

$$S_0(0) = S_0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow C_1 = S_0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow C_1 = S_0$$
  

$$\therefore S_0 = S_0$$
 (41)  
From (26)  

$$h'_0 = 0$$
  
integrating  

$$\int h_0 = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow h_0 = C_2$$
 where  $C_2$  is the constant of integration

$$H_0 = C_2$$
 where  $C_2$  is the constant of  $h_0(0) = H_0$   
We have

Omale David and William Atokolo, "Nonlinear analysis of the HIV/AIDS control pandemic model within a heterogeneous population," *International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science*, Volume 3, Issue 4, pp. 43-48, 2018.

International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science

$$\Rightarrow C_2 = H_0$$

$$h_0 = H_0$$
(42)
And from (30)
$$e'_0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int e_0 = 0$$
Integrating, we have
$$e_0 = C_3$$
from  $e_0(0) = E_0$ , we have
$$e_0 = C_3 = E_0$$

$$\therefore e_0 = E_0$$
(43)
From (34) we have
$$i'_0 = 0$$
Integrating,  $i_0 = C_4$ 
Applying the initial condition we have
$$i_0(0) = I_0$$

$$C_4 = I_0$$

$$\Rightarrow i_0 = I_0$$
(44)
And from (38) we have
$$a'_0 = 0$$
Integrating, we have
$$a'_0 = 0$$
Integrat

Substituting (41) and (42) in (46) we have

$$S_1' = \pi + \varepsilon h_0 - m_1 s_0$$
$$\implies \frac{dS_1}{dt} = \pi + \varepsilon h_0 - m_1 s_0$$

Integrating,

$$\int dS_1 = (\pi + \varepsilon h_0 - m_1 s_0) \int dt$$
$$\Rightarrow S_1 = (\pi + \varepsilon h_0 - m_1 s_0)t + C_6$$

Where  $C_6$  is the constant of integration from  $S_1(0) = 0$  we have that  $C_6 = 0$  therefore

$$S_1 = (\pi + \varepsilon h_0 - m_1 s)t$$
From (.27), we have
$$(47)$$

$$h'_{1} - m_{2}s_{0} + m_{3}h_{0} = 0$$
(48)  
Substituting (41) and (47) in (50) we have  
$$\Rightarrow h'_{1} = m_{2}s_{0} - m_{3}H_{0}$$
Integrating we have  
$$h_{1} = (m_{2}s_{0} - m_{3}H_{0})t + C_{7}$$

where  $C_7$  is the integration constant of and  $h_1(0) = 0 \Longrightarrow C_7 = 0$  $h_1 = (m_2 s_0 - m_3 H_0)t$ (49)From (4.5.31), we have  $e_1' = m_4 h_0 - m_5 e_0$ (50)Substituting (42) and (43) in (52) we have  $e_1' = m_4 H_0 - m_5 E_0$ Integrating we have  $e_1 = (m_4 H_0 - m_5 E_0)t + C_8$ Where  $C_8$  is the constant integration of and  $e_1(0) = 0 \Longrightarrow C_8 = 0$  $\Rightarrow e_1 = (m_4 H_0 - m_5 E_0)t$ (51)From (4.5.35) we have (52) $i_1' = \phi e_0 - m_6 i_0$ Substituting (43) and (44) in (52) we have  $i_1' = (\phi E_0 - m_6 I_0)t$ Integrating we have

$$i_1 = (\phi E_0 - m_6 I_0)t + C_9$$

Where 
$$C_9$$
 is the constant of integration  
Applying the initial condition  
 $i_1(0) = 0 \implies C_9 = 0$   
Therefore  
 $i_1 = (\phi E_0 - m_6 I_0)t$  (53)  
And from (39)  
 $a'_1 = \tau c i_0 - \mu a_0$ 

Substituting (44) and (45) in (56), we have

ss 
$$a'_1 = \tau c I_0 - \mu I_{2,0}$$
  
Integrating, we have

$$a_1 = (\tau c I_0 - \mu I_{2,0})t + C_{10}$$

Where  $C_{10}$  is the constant of integration Applying the initial conditions  $a_1(0) = 0$ 

$$\Rightarrow C_{10} = 0$$
  
Therefore  
 $a_1 = (\tau cI_0 - \mu I_{2(0)})t$  (54)  
From (24)  
 $\Rightarrow S_2 = -(-\varepsilon h_1 + m_1 s_1)$  (55)  
Substituting (.49) and (47) in (.58) we have  
 $S_2' = \varepsilon (m_2 S_0 - m_3 H_0)t - m_1 (\pi + \varepsilon H_0 - m_1 S_0)t$   
 $= (\varepsilon m_2 S_0 - \varepsilon m_3 H_0)t - (m_1 \pi + m_1 \varepsilon H_0 - m_1^2 S_0)t$   
 $S_2' = ((\varepsilon m_3 H_0 - \varepsilon m_2 S_0) - m_1 \pi - m_1 \varepsilon H_0 + m_1^2 S_0))t$   
 $S_2' = (\varepsilon (m_3 - m_1) H_0 - (\varepsilon m_2 - m_1^2) S_0 - m_1 \pi)t$   
Integrating we have



# International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science

$$S_2 = (\varepsilon(m_3 - m_1)H_0 - (\varepsilon m_2 - m_1^2)S_0 - m_1\pi)\frac{t^2}{2} + c_{11}$$

where  $C_{11}$  is the constant of integration Applying the initial condition  $S_2(0) = 0 \Longrightarrow C_{11} = 0$ Therefore

$$S_2 = (\varepsilon(m_3 - m_1)H_0 - (\varepsilon_2 - m_1^2)S_0 - m_1\pi)\frac{t^2}{2}$$
  
From (.16), we obtain the solution for (1) as;

 $S(t) = S_0 + PS_1 + P^2S_2 + \dots +$ 

$$\Rightarrow S(t) = S_0 + P(\pi + \varepsilon H_0 - m_1 S_t)t + P^2 \left(\varepsilon(m_3 - m_1)H_0 - (\varepsilon m_2 - m_1 \pi)S_0 - m_1 \pi\right)\frac{t^2}{2} + \dots +$$
  
Setting  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{0}$ 

 $S(t) = S_0$ But setting P = 1, we have  $S(t) = S_0 + (\pi + \varepsilon H_0 - m_1 S_0)t +$ 

$$\left(\varepsilon(m_3 - m_1)H_0 - (\varepsilon m_2 - m_1^2 \pi)S_0 - m_1\pi\right)\frac{t^2}{2} + \dots +$$
<sup>(56)</sup>

Now consider (28)

$$\begin{aligned} h_2' &= m_2 s_1 - m_3 h_1 \end{aligned} (57) \\ \text{Substituting (.47) and (49) in (57) we have} \\ h_2' &= m_2 (\pi + \varepsilon H_0 - m_1 S_0) t - m_3 (m_2 S_0 - m_3 H_0) t \\ &= (m_2 \pi + m_2 \varepsilon H_0 - m_2 m_1 S_0 - m_3 m_2 S_0 + m_3^2 H_0) t \\ h_2' &= (\pi m_2 - m_2 (m_3 + m_1) S_0 + (m_2 \varepsilon - m_3^2) H_0) t \\ &= (m_2 (\pi - (m_3 + m_1) S_0) + (m_2 \varepsilon - m_3^2) H_0) t \\ \text{Integrating, we have} \end{aligned}$$

$$h_2 = \left(m_2(\pi - (m_3 + m_1)S_0) - (m_2\varepsilon - m_3^2)H_0\right)\frac{t^2}{2} + C_{12}$$

Where  $C_{12}$  is the constant of integration

Applying the initial condition  

$$h_2(0) = 0 \implies C_{12} = 0$$
  
*Therefore*
(58)

$$h_2 = (m_2(\pi - (m_3 + m_1)S_0) + (m_2\varepsilon - m_3^2)H_0)\frac{t^2}{2}$$

From (4.5.17), we have

$$H = h_0 + Ph_1 + P^2h_2 + \dots +$$

Substituting (.42), (49) and (58) in (17) we obtain the solution for the model (12) as;

$$H(t) = H_0 + P(m_2S_0 - m_3H_0)t + P^2(m_2(\pi - (m_1 + m_3)S_0) + m_2(m_2\varepsilon - m_3^2)H_0)\frac{t^2}{2} + \dots + \lim_{n \to \infty} H(t) = H_0$$

 $P \rightarrow 0$ But

 $\lim H(t) \Rightarrow$ 

 $P \rightarrow 1$ 

 $H(t) = H_0 + (m_2 S_0 - m_3 H_0)t + (m_2 (\pi - (m_1 + m_3) S_0) + (m_2 \varepsilon - m_3^2)H_0)\frac{t^2}{2} + \dots + (59)$   $I(t) = I_0 + (\phi E_0 - m_6 I_0)t + (\phi (m_4 H_0 - (m_5 + m_6) E_0) + m_6^2 I_0)\frac{t^2}{2} + \dots + (59)$ From (4.5.32) we have s

$$e'_{2} = m_{4}h_{1} - m_{5}e_{1}$$
(60)  
Substituting (.49) and (51) in (.60), we have  

$$e'_{2} = m_{4}(m_{2}S_{0} - m_{3}H_{0})t - m_{5}(m_{4}H_{0} - m_{5}E_{0})t$$

$$e'_{2} = (m_{4}m_{2}S_{0} - m_{4}m_{3}H_{0} - m_{5}m_{4}H_{0} + m^{2}{}_{5}E_{0})t$$

$$e'_{2} = (m_{4}m_{2}S_{0} - m_{4}(m_{3} + m_{5})H_{0} + m^{2}{}_{5}E_{0})t$$
Integrating,  

$$t^{2}$$

$$e_2 = (m_4 m_2 S_0 - m_4 (m_3 + m_5) H_0 + m_5^2 E_0) \frac{t^2}{2} + C_{13}$$

Where  $C_{13}$  is the constant of integration Applying the initial condition

$$e_2(0) = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow C_{13} = 0$$
  
Therefore

$$e_2 = (m_4 m_2 S_0 - m_4 (m_3 + m_5) H_0 + m_5^2 E_0) \frac{t^2}{2}$$
(61)

$$E(t) = E_0 + P(m_4H - m_5E_0)t + (m_4m_2S_0 - m_4(m_3 + m_5)H_0 + m_5^2E_0)\frac{t^2}{2} + \dots$$
  
Setting P = 0, we have  
 $E(t) = E_0 \ trivial \ solution$   
And setting P = 1, we have  
 $E(t) = E_0 + (m_4H - m_5E_0)t + (m_4m_2S_0 - m_4(m_3 + m_5)H_0 + m_5^2E_0)\frac{t^2}{2} + \dots + \dots$  (62)  
From (4.4.36), we have  
 $i'_2 = \phi e_1 - m_6 i_1$  (63)  
Substituting (4.5.51) and (4.5.53) in (4.5.63) we have  
 $i'_2 = \phi(m_4H_0 - m_5E_0)t - m_6(\phi E_0 - m_6I_0)t$ 

$$= (\phi m_4 H_0 - \phi m_5 E_0 - m_6 \phi E_0 + m_6^2 I_0)t$$
  
=  $(\phi m_4 H - \phi (m_5 + m_6) E_0 + m_6^2 I_0)t$   
 $i'_2 = (\phi (m_4 H_0 - \phi (m_5 + m_6) E_0) + m_6^2 I_0)t$ 

Integrating, and applying the initial condition  $i_2(0) = 0$  we have

$$i_2 = (\phi(m_4H_0 - \phi(m_5 + m_6)E_0) + m_6^2I_0)\frac{t^2}{2} + C_{14}$$

where  $C_{14}$  is the constant of integration Substituting (44), (53) and (64) in (19) We obtain

$$I(t) = I_0 + P(\phi E_0 - m_6 I_0)t + P^2(\phi(m_4 H_0 - (m_5 + m_6)E_0) + m_6^2 I_0)\frac{t^2}{2} + \dots$$
  
Setting P = 0, we have  
$$I(t) = I_0$$
  
And setting P = 1, we have  
$$I(t) = I_+(\phi E_- m_L)t + (\phi(m_L H_- (m_L + m_L)E_+) + m_6^2 L_1)\frac{t^2}{2} + \dots$$
 (64)

And finally from (40) we have

47

Omale David and William Atokolo, "Nonlinear analysis of the HIV/AIDS control pandemic model within a heterogeneous population," International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science, Volume 3, Issue 4, pp. 43-48, 2018.



$$a'_{2} = \tau c i_{1} - \mu a_{1}$$
Substituting (.53) and (54) in (.66)
We obtain
$$a_{1} = \tau (\pi E_{1} - \mu) (\mu -$$

$$a_{2}^{1} = \tau c(\varphi E_{0} - m_{6}I_{0})t - \mu(\tau cI_{0} - \mu I_{2,0})t$$

$$= (\tau c \varphi E_0 - \tau_c m_6 I_0 - \mu \tau c I_0 + \mu^2 I_{2,0})t$$
  
Integrating, we have

$$a_2 = (\tau c(\phi E_0 - (m_6 + \mu)I_0) + \mu^2 I_{2,0})\frac{t^2}{2} + C_{15}$$

Where  $C_{15}$  is the constant of integration, applying the initial conditions

$$a_2(0) = 0 \implies C_{15} = 0$$
  
Therefore

$$a_2 = (\tau c(\phi E_0 - (m_6 + \mu)I_0) + \mu^2 I_{2,0})\frac{t^2}{2}$$
(66)

Substituting (45), (54) and (67) in (20) we have

$$I_{2}(t) = I_{2,0} + P(\tau_{c}I_{0} - \mu I_{2,0})t + P^{2} \Big[ \tau c(\phi E_{0} - (m_{6} + \mu I_{0})I_{0}) + \mu^{2}I_{2,0} \Big] \frac{t^{2}}{2}$$

Setting P = 0, we have

 $I_2(t) = I_{2,0}$  and

Setting P = 1, we have

$$I_{2}(t) = I_{2,0} + (\tau c I_{0} - \mu I_{2,0})t + \left(\tau c (\phi E_{0} - (m_{6} + \mu I_{0})I_{0}) + \mu^{2} I_{2,0}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2} + \dots$$
(67)

Therefore equation (56), (59), (62), (65) and (67) are the solutions of our models.(1) to (5).

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the homotopy perturbation method was applied on some systems of non-linear deterministic model on the control of HIV/AIDS pandemic using therapeutic dose in a heterogeneous population. The use of the method gives a very precise results analytically to the models showing that the method is very convenient and efficient, the numerical method leads to inaccurate results when the equation is highly dependend on time.

#### REFERENCES

- R. D. Moore and R. E. Chaism, "Natural history of HIV infection in the era of combination antiretroviral therapy," *AIDS*, vol. 13, issue 14, pp. 1933-1942, 1999.
- [2] A. S. Fanci and G. K. Folkers, "Toward AID free generation," JAMA, vol. 308, issue 4, pp. 343-344, 2012.
- [3] S. G Deeks, S. R. Lewin, and D. V. Havlir, "The end of AIDS.HIV infection as a chronic disease," *The Lancet*, 382(9903), pp. 1525-1533, 2013.
- [4] WHO (2025) Guidelines to HIV
- [5] R. D. Moore and R. E. Chaissm, "Natural history of opportunistic Disease in HIV –infected urban clinical cohort," *Annals of intend Medicine*, vol. 124, issue 7, pp. 633-642, 1996.
- [6] S. M. Hammer, K. E. Squires, and M. D. Hugless, "A controlled trial of two nude aside analogues plus indiavirin person with human immudeficiency virus infection and CD4 cell count of 200 per cube millimeter or less," *N. Eng J. Med*, vol. 337, issue 11, pp. 725-733, 1997.
- [7] Manafian Henj J and Fazh Aghdae M., "Equivalent HPM with ADM and convergence of the HPM to a class of non-linear integral Equations," *Journal of Mathematical Extension*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 33-34, 2103.
- [8] I. L EL-kalla, "Convergence of adomeins method applied to a class of volterra type integro-defferential equationsnint," *J differentialsse. Gappl*, 10 RP 225-234, 2005.
- [9] A. Cheniguel and M. Reghiona, "Homotopy perturbation method for solving some initial boundary value problems with non-local conditions," *Proceeding of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science (WCECS)*, vol. 1, 2013.
- [10] T. M. Syed and A. N. Muhammed, "Homotopy perturbation method for solving partial differential equations z," *Nature for Sch*, vol. 64, issue 3-4, pp. 157-170, 2008.
- [11] R. Taghipour, "Application homotopy perturbation method on some linearandnon linear parabolic equation," IJRRAS, vol. 6, issue 1, pp. 55-59, 2011.
- [12] S. J. Liao, "An approximate solution techniques not depending on small parameter," *Int. J. Non-Linear Mechanic*, vol. 30, issue 3, pp. 371-380, 1995.
- [13] D. Omale, Ph.D these is extract from university of Nigeria Nsukka.sS, 2017.

Omale David and William Atokolo, "Nonlinear analysis of the HIV/AIDS control pandemic model within a heterogeneous population," *International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science*, Volume 3, Issue 4, pp. 43-48, 2018.