

ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons .

Innovative Science Research and **P**rograming **C**entre

Document No-62



Markos - Georgallides

The Geometrical solution, of the Regular n-Polygons and the Unsolved Ancient Greek Special Problems.

Markos Georgallides

¹Larnaca (Expelled from Famagusta town occupied by the Barbaric Turks Aug-1974), Cyprus Civil-Structural Engineer (NATUA), Athens

* Markos Georgallides : Email address : georgallides.marcos@cytanet.com.cy :

To cite this article:

Markos Georgallides : The ancient - Greek Special Problems, The Regular n-Polygons

Abstract: The Special Problems of E-geometry [47] consist the, Mould Quantization, of Euclidean Geometry in it, to become \rightarrow Monad, through mould of Space –Anti-space in itself, which is the Material Dipole in monad Structure \rightarrow Linearly, through mould of Parallel Theorem [44-45], which are the equal distances between points of parallel and line \rightarrow In Plane, through mould of Squaring the circle [46], where two equal and perpendicular monads consist a Plane acquiring the common Plane - meter, π , \rightarrow and in Space (volume), through mould of the Duplication of the Cube [46], where any two Unequal perpendicular monads acquire the common Space-meter $\sqrt[3]{2}$, to be twice each other. [44-47] The Unification of Space and Energy becomes through [STPL] Geometrical Mould Mechanism, the minimum Energy-Quanta, In monads \rightarrow Particles, Anti-particles, Bosons, Gravity – Force, Gravity - Field, Photons, Dark Matter, and Dark-Energy, consisting the Material Dipoles in inner monad Structures [39-41]. Euclid's elements consist of assuming a small set of intuitively appealing axioms, proving many other propositions. Because nobody until [9] succeeded to prove the parallel postulate by means of pure geometric logic, many self consistent non - Euclidean geometries have been discovered, based on Definitions, Axioms or Postulates, in order that non of them contradicts any of the other postulates. It was proved in [39] that the only Space-Energy geometry is Euclidean, agreeing with the Physical reality, on AB Segment which is Electromagnetic field of the Quantized on \overline{AB} Energy Space Vector, on the contrary to the General relativity of Space-time which is based on the rays of the non-Euclidean geometries. Euclidean geometry elucidated the definitions of geometrycontent, i.e. { [for Point, Segment, Straight Line, Plane, Volume, Space [S], Anti-space [AS], Sub-space [SS], Cave, The Space - Anti-Space Mechanism of the Six-Triple-Points -Line, that produces and transfers Points of Spaces , Anti-Spaces and Sub-Spaces in Gravity field [MFMF] , Particles]} and describes the Space-Energy vacuum beyond Plank's length level [Gravity's Length 3,969.10⁻62 m], reaching the absolute Point \equiv $L_v = \rho i \left(\frac{N\pi}{2}\right) b = 10^{-N} = -\infty = 0 \text{ m}$, which is nothing and the Absolute Primary Neutral space PNS .[43-46]. In Mechanics, the Gravity-cave Energy Volume quantity [wr] is doubled and is Quantized in Planck's-cave Space quantity $(h/2\pi)$ = The Spin = 2.[wr] $^{3} \rightarrow$ i.e. Energy Space quantity ,wr , is Quantized , *doubled* , and becomes the Space quantity h/π following Euclidean Space-mould of Duplication of the cube, in Sphere volume V=(4 $\pi/3$).[wr]³ following the Squaring of the circle, π , and in Sub-Space-Sphere volume $\sqrt[3]{2}$, and the Trisecting of the angle.



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

Keywords: The Unsolved ancient - Greek Problems, The Nature of the Special E-Problems.

The solution of All Odd - Regular - Polygons .

A. .	Preliminaries .				
	Definition of Quantization.	:	Page		3
В	The Problems .				
1	THE SQUARING OF THE CIRCLE	:	Page		4
	1.1. The Process of the Squaring	:	Page		5.
2	THE DUPLICATION OF THE CUBE	:	Page		14
	2.1. The Process of the Duplication	:	Page		15
3	THE TRISECTION OF ANY ANGLE	:	Page		24
	3.1. The Process of the Trisection	:	Page		30
4	THE PARALLEL THEOREM	:	Page		33
	4.4. The Process of the Parallel	:	Page		34
	4.6. Conclusions	:	Page		37
5	THE REGULAR POLYGONE IN THE CIRCLE	:	Page		42
	5.1. The Algebraic Solution	:	Page		43
	5.2. The Geometrical Solution	:	Page		50
	a The Even and Odd Polygons	:	Page		50
	b The Theory of Means	:	Page		52
6	MARKOS - METHOD FOR REGULAR - POLYGONES	5:	Page		53
	6.1. The Geometrical Construction	:	Page	•••••	. 56
	6.2. The Construction of All Polygons .	:	Page		58
	6.3. The Geometrical Method (in Greek , in English).	:	Page	6	1-74
	6.4. The Pentagon-Heptagon- Ninegone	:	Page	66-[69-7	9]-70
	6.5. The Endekagone - Dekatriagone	:	Page	71	- 72
	6.6. The Geometrical Proof	:	Page		80

Preface :

This article is the completion of the prior [44] and [45-47]. With pure Geometrical logic is presented the Algebraic and Geometric Solution, and the Construction of all the n-Regular Polygons of this very interested problem. A new method for *the Alternate Interior angles*, The Geometrical - Inversion, is now presented as this issues of the Right - Angles.

The short procession in Mechanics, presupposes a pure geometric knowledge on coupler points. The concept of, *The Relation*, Mould, *of Angles and Lengths*, is even today the main problem in science, Mechanics and Physics. Although the Mould existed in the Theory of Logarithm and in the Theory of Means this New Geometrical -Method is the Master key of Geometry and in Algebra and consequently to the Relation between Geometry and Nature, for their in between applications. The Programming of the Methods is very simple and very interesting for Computer-Programmers. In the next article [63] is prepared the Unification of Energy-monads, *Black Holes*, with Geometry-Monads, *Black Matter*, through the Material – Geometry – monads and Geometrical - Inversion.

Markos Georgallides, "The Geometrical solution, of the Regular n-Polygons and the Unsolved Ancient Greek Special Problems," *International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science*, Volume 2, Issue 3, pp. 120-203, 2017



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons .

1.. Definition of Quantization.

Quantization is the concept (*the Process*) that any, **Physical Quantity** \rightarrow **[PQ]** of the objective reality

(Matter, *Energy or Both*) is mapping the Continuous Analogous, *the points*, to only certain Discrete

values. Quantization of Energy is done in Space-tanks, on the material points, tiny volumes and on points consisting the Equilibrium, all the Opposite Twin, of Space Anti-space. [61]

In Geometry [PQ] are the Points, the nothing, only, transformed into Segments, Lines, Surfaces, Volumes and to any other Coordinate System such as (x,y,z), (i,j,k) and which are all quantized.

Quantization of E-geometry is the way of Points to become as \rightarrow (Segments, Anti-segments = Monads = Anti-monads), (Segments, Parallel-segments = Equal monads), (Equal Segments and Perpendicularsegments = Plane Vectors), (Un-equal Segments twice – Perpendicular -segments = The Space Vectors = Quaternion).[46]

In Philosophy [PQ] are the concepts of Matter and of Spirit or Materialism and Idealism.

a).. Anaximander, claimed that non of the elements could be, Arche and proposed, apeiron, an infinitive substance from which all things are born and to which all will return.

b).. Archimedes , is very clear regarding the definitions, that they say nothing as to whether the things defined exist or not, but they only require to be understood. Existence is only postulated in the case where [PQ] are the Points to Segments (magnitudes = quantization process). In geometry we assume Point, Segment, Line, Surface and Volume, without proving their existence, and the existence of everything else has to be proved.

The Euclid's similar figures correspond to Eudoxus' theory of proportion.

c).. Zenon, claimed that, Belief in the existence of many things rather than, only one thing, leads to absurd conclusions and for, Point and its constituents will be without magnitude. Considering Points in space are a distinct place even if there are an infinity of points, defines the Presented in [44] idea of Material Point.

d).. Materialism or and Physicalism , is a form of philosophical monism and holds that matter (*without defining what this substance is*) is the fundamental substance in nature and that all phenomena, *including* mental phenomes and consciousness, are identical with material interactions by incorporating notions of Physics such as spacetime, physical energies and forces, dark matter and so on.

e).. Idealism, such as those of Hegel, ipso facto, is an argument against materialism (the mindindependent properties can in turn be reduced to the subjective percepts) as such the existence of matter can only be assumed from the apparent (perceived) stability of perceptions with no evidence in direct experience.

Matter and Energy are necessary to explain the physical world but incapable of explaining mind and so results, dualism. The Reason determined in itself and its relation to the world creates the very old question as, what is the ultimate purpose of the world ?.

f).. Hegel's conceive for mind, *Idea*, defines that, mind is *Arche* and it is retuned to [PQ] the subjective percepts, while Materialism holds just the opposite.

In Physics [PQ] are The, Electrical charge, Energy, Light, Angular momentum, Matter which are all quantized on the microscopic level. They do not seem quantized in the macroscopic scale because the size of the steps between each possible value is so small .

a).. De Broglie found that , light and matter at subatomic level display characteristics of both waves and particles which move at specific speeds called Energy-levels.

b).. Max Planck found that, Energy and frequency of the Electromagnetic radiation is quantized as relation E = h.f.

In Mechanics , *Kinematics* describes the motion while , *Dynamics* causes the motion. **c).. Bohr model** for Electrons in free-Atoms is the Scaled Energy levels , for Standing-Waves is the constancy of Angular momentum, for Centripetal-Force in electron orbit, is the constancy of Electric Potential, for the Electron orbit radii, is the Energy level structure with the Associated electron wavelengths.

d).. Hesiod Hypothesis [PQ] is *Chaos*, i.e. the Primary Point from which is quantized to Primary Anti-



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

Point. [From Chaos came forth Erebus, *the Space Anti-space*, and Black Night, *The [STPL] Mechanism*, but of Night were born Aether, *The rest Gravity dipole Field connected by the Gravity Force*, and Day, *Particles Anti-particles*, whom she conceived and Bare, *The Equilibrium of Particles Anti-particles*, in Spaces Anti-spaces, from union in love with Erebus]. [43-46]

e).. Markos model for Physical Quantity \rightarrow [PQ] is the Energy - Monad produced from Chaos ,which is the Zero - point $0 = \emptyset = \{\bigoplus + \bigoplus\}$ = The Material-point = *The Quantum* = The Positive Space and the Negative Anti-Space, between Opposites = The equilibrium of opposite directions $\rightarrow \leftarrow$. [58-61]

The Special Greek Problems.

1.. The Squaring of the Circle.

The Plane Procedure Method . [45-46]

The property of Resemblance Ratio to be equal to 2 on a Square, is transferred simultaneously by the equality of the two areas, when square is equal to the circle, where that square is twice of the inscribed.

This property becomes from the linear expansion in three spaces of the inscribed (O, OG_e) to the circumscribed (O, OM) circle, in a circle (O, OA) as in . F.1-(1).

1.. The Extrema method of Squaring the circle F.1



(1)

(2)

(3)

- **F.1** → *The steps for Squaring any circle* [O,OA] or (E,EA = EC = EO) *on diameter* CA *through the The Expanding of the Inscribed circle* O,OG_e→ *to the circle* O,OA *and to the circumscribed* O,OM and the *Four Polar O, A, C, P, Procedure method* :
 - In (1) is Expanding Inscribed circle $O,OG_e \rightarrow to \ circle \ O, OA$ and to circumscribed O,OM.
 - In (2) The Inscribed square CBAO is Expanding to square CMNH and to circumscribed CAC`P
 - In (3) The Inscribed square CBAO and its Idol CB`PO, Rotate through the pole C, Expand through Pole O on OB line, and Translate through pole P on PN chord. Extrema Edge point B_e of circle O,OB_e Rotate to A_e point, forming extrema square CMNH = NH² = π .EA².

123



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons .

The Plane Procedure method :

It is consisted of two equal and perpendicular vectors CA, CP, *the Mechanism*, where CA = CP and CA \perp CP, *such*, *so that the Work produced is zero and this because each area is zero*, with the three conjugate Poles A, C, P related to central O, with the three Pole-lines CA, CP, AP and the three perpendicular Anti -Pole-lines OB, OB', OC, and is *Converting the Rectilinear motion in* (1), *on the Mechanism*, *to Four - Polar Expanding rotational motion*.

The formulated Five Conjugate circles with diameters $\rightarrow CA = OB$, $CP = OB^{\circ}$, $EB_e = OB$, $PC = OB^{\circ}$, $P_0G_1 = P_0G_1^{\circ} = CA$ and also the circumscribed circle on them \leftarrow define *A System of infinite Changable Squares from* \rightarrow the Inscribed CBAO to \rightarrow CMNH and to \rightarrow the Circumscribed CAC P, *through the Four - Poles of rotation*.

The Geometrical construction : F.2

- **1.** Let E be the center , and CA is the diameter of any circle (E, EA = EC).
- **2..** Draw CP = CA perpendicular at point C and also the equal diameter circle ($P^{,}, P^{C} = P^{O}$).
- 3.. From mid-point O of hypotynuse AP as center, Draw the circle (O, OA = OP = OC) and complete squares, OCBA, OCB`P.
 On perpendicular diameters OB, OB` and from points B, B` draw the circles, (B, BE = Be), (B`, B`P`) intersecting (O, OA) = (O, OP) circle at double points [G,G₁], [G`, G`₁] respectively, and OB, OB` produced at points B_e, B'_e, respectively.
- 4.. Draw on the symmetrical to OC axis , lines GG_1 and GG_1 intersecting OC axis at point P_0 .
- **5.** Draw the edge circle (O, OB_e) intersecting CA produced at point Ae and draw PA_e line intersecting the circles , (O, OA), (P`, P`P) at points N H, respectively.
- 6.. Draw line NA produced intersecting the circle (E, EA) at point M and draw Segments CM, CH and complete quatrilateral CMNH, calling it the *Space = the System*. Draw line CM` and line M`P produced intersecting circle (O,OA) at point N` and line AN` intersecting circle (E, EA) at point H`, and complete quatrilateral CM`N`H`, calling it *The Anti-space = Idol = Anti System*. P₁
- 7.. Draw the circle (P_1, P_1E) of diameter PE intersecting OA at point I_g , and (E,EA) circle at point I_b
- A.. Show that quadrilaterals CMNH, CM^{H} are Squares .
- **B.** Show that it is an Extrema Mechanism , on Four Poles where , The Two dimensional Space (the Plane) is Quantized to a System of infinite Squares \rightarrow CBAO \rightarrow CMNH \rightarrow CAC'P, and to CMNH square of side CM = HN, where holds CM² = CH² = π . EA² = π . EO²
- C.. Show that , in circle (E , EA = EC = EO = EB) the Inscribed square CBAO , the square CMNH which is equal to the circle , and the Circumscribed square CAC`P , Obey , Rotation of Squares through pole P , Translation of circle (E , EO) on OB Diagonal ,and Expansion in CA Segment.



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

ISSN (Online): 2455-9024



F.2 \rightarrow The steps for Squaring the circle (E, EA = EC) on diameter CA through Plane Procedure Mechanism

- 1.. Draw on any Orthogonal System $OA \perp OC$, the circle (O, OA = OC) such that intersects the system at points P, C respectively.
- **2..** Draw (E, EA = EC) circle on CA hypotynousa, intersecting OE line at point B, and from point B draw the circle (B, $BE = BB_e$) and draw on CP hypotynousa circle (P`, P`C = P`P)
- **3.** Draw circle (O, OB_e) intersecting CA line produced at points at point A_e, and Draw A_eP intersecting (O, OA) circle at point N, and (P`, P`P) circle at point H.
- 4.. Draw NA produced at point M on (E, EA) circle, and join chord MC on circle.
- 5.. Square CMNH is equal to the circle (E, EA) and issues $\rightarrow \pi$. CE² = CM. CH



F.2-A \rightarrow *A Presentation of the Quadrature Method on Dr. Geo-Machine Macro - constructions*. *The Inscribed Square* CBAO, *with Pole-line* AOP, *rotates through Pole* P, *to the* \rightarrow *Circle - Square* CMNH *with Pole-line* NHP, *and to the* \rightarrow *Circumscribed Square* CAC^P, *with Pole - line* C^PP \equiv C^P , *of the circle* E, EO = EC.

The limiting Position of circle (E, EB) to (B, BE = BB_e) defines B_e point, and OB_e=OA_e radius, such that CMNH Square be equal to $\pi \cdot OA^2$. The Initial relation Position CE² = EB.EO = EO² = $\frac{(CA)^2}{4}$ becomes $\rightarrow \frac{(CN)^2}{4} = \pi \cdot \frac{(CA)^2}{4}$,

for all Squares $C\,M_zN_zH_z\,$ on circles of Expanding radius OG_e to OB, to $OB_e\,$ and to OZ. This has a Special-reason for square CE^2 to become equal to number π .



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons .

Analysis :

- In (1) F.2, Radius EA = EC and the unique circle (E, EA) of Segment AC, where AC, CA is The monad the Anti-monad.
- In (2) F.2, Since circles (E, EA), (P`, P`P) are symmetrical to OC axis (line) then are equal (*conjugate*) and since they are Perpendicular so, \rightarrow No work is executed for any motion \leftarrow .
- In (3) Points A, C, P and O are the constant *Poles* of Rotation, and OB,OB[`], OC C A, CP, AP the Six, *Pole* and *Anti Pole*, lines, of sliding points Z, Z[`], and A_Z, A[`]_Z, while CA, CP are the constant Pole lines { PA,PA_e,PA_Z, PC[`]}, of Rotation through pole P.
- In (4) Circles (E, EO), (P`, P`O) on diameters OB, OB` follow, My Theorem of the three circles on any Diameters on a circle, where the pair of points G, G₁ and G`, G`₁ consist a Fix and Constant system of lines GG₁ and G`G`₁.
 When Points Z, Z` coincide with the Fix points B, B` and thus forming the inscribed Square CBAO or CZAO, (*this is because point Z is at point A*).
 The PA, *Pole-line*, rotates through pole P where G_e, B_e, are the Edge points of the sliding poles on this Rectilinear Rotating System.
- In (5) When point Point Z≡B, Z`≡B` on lines OB,OB`, then points A_z, A`_z, are the Sliding points while CA, CP, are the constant Pole lines { PA, PA_z, PA_e, PC` }, of Rotation through pole P. Sliding points Z, Z`, A_z, A`_z, are forming Squares CMNH, CM`N`H`, and this as in Proof [A-B] below, where PN, AN` are the Pole-lines rotating through poles P, A, and diamesus HM passes through O. The circles (E, EO), (P`, P`O) on diameters OB, OB`, blue color, follow also, my Theorem of the Diameters on a circle which follows.
- In (6), Sliding poles Z, Z` being at Edge point $G_e \equiv Z$ formulates CBAO Inscribed square, at Edge point B_e , $B_e \equiv Z$ formulates CMNH equal square to that of circle and, at Edge point B^{∞} , formulates CAC`P square, which is the Circumscribed square.
- In (7), are holding \rightarrow CBAO the Inscribed square, CMNH, The equal to the (E, EO = P`O) Circle - square, and CAC`P the Circumscribed square.



 $F.3. \rightarrow$ Markos Theorem , on any OB diameter on a circle .

Theorem: [F.1-(2)], F.3

On each diameter **OEB** of any circle (**E**, **EB**) we draw, 1.. the circumscribed circle (O, OA = OE $\sqrt{2}$) at the edge point **O** as center, 2.. the inscribed circle (E, OE/ $\sqrt{2}$ = OA/2 = EG) at the mid-point **E** as center, 3.. the circle (B, BE = B, B_e) = (E, EO) at the edge point B as center,

Then the three circles *pass through the common points* G, G_1 , *and the symmetrical to* OB *point* G_1 *forming an axis perpendicular to* OB, which has the Properties of the circles, where *the tangent from point* B to the circle (O, OA = OC) is constant and equal to 2.EB², and has to do with, *Resemblance Ratio equal to 2*. Circle is squared on this Geometric Procedure by Rotation, Expansion and Translation.

The Common-Proofs [A-B-C]:

In F.1-(2), F.2-(5),

Angle < CHP = 90° because is inscribed on the diameter CP of the circle (P', P'P).

The supplementary angle < CHN =180 – 90 = 90°. Angle < PNA = PNM = 90° because is inscribed on the diameter AP of the circle (O, OA) and Angle < CMA = 90° because is inscribed on the diameter CA of the circle (E, EA = EC).

The upper three angles of the quadrilateral CHMN are of a sum of 90+90+90 = 270, and from the total of 360° , the angle $< MCH = 360 - 270 = 90^{\circ}$, *Therefore shape CMNH is rightangled* and exists CM \perp CH.

Since also $CM \perp CH$ and $CA \perp CP$ therefore angle < MCA = HCP.

The rightangled triangles CAM, CPH are equal because have hypotynousa CA = CP and also angles $< CMA = CHP = 90^{\circ}$, < MCA = HCP, therefore side CH = CM, and Because CH = CM, the rechtangle CMNH is Square. The same for Square $CM^{N}H^{-}$. (o.e. δ),(q.e.d).

This is the General proof of the squares on this Mechanism without any assumptions. From the equal triangles COH, CBM angle < CHO = CHM = 45° because lie on CO chord, and so points H,O,M lie on line HM *i.e.*

On CA line, Any segment $PA \rightarrow PA_z \rightarrow PA_e \rightarrow PC^{\circ} = CA$, drawn from Pole, P, beginning from A to ∞ , is intersecting the circumscribed (O,OA) circle, and the circle (P^{\circumscribed} = P^{\circumscribed}) at the points N, H, and Formulates Squares CBAO, CMNH, $CM_zN_zH_z$, CAC^{\circumscribed}, which are, The Inscribed, In-between, Circumscribed Squares, of circle (O,OE) = (E, EO = EB) = (P, P^{\circumscribed}). Since angles < CA_zP, HCP have their sides $CA_z^{\perp}CP$, $A_zP^{\perp}CH_z$ perpendicular each other, then are equal so angle < $PA_zC = PCH_z$, and so point A_z , is common to circle O,OZ, Pole-line CA, and Pole-axis PN, where the perpendicular to CM.

Since PE is diameter on $(P_1, P_1 P)$ circle, therefore triangle E. $I_g.P$ is right-angled and segment, EI_g , perpendicular to OA and equal to $OE/\sqrt{2} = OA/2$, the radius of the Inscribed circle. Since also point, I_g , lies on PA, therefore moves on $(P_1, P_1 P)$ circle and point A on CA Pole-line, and so point B is on the same circle as A_z , while point B moves on circle E, EB.

B. Proof (1): **F**.2-(5), F.2-A

(1) Any Point Z, which moves on diameter OB produced, Beginning from Edge-point G_e of the first circle, Passing from center B of the second circle, Passing from Edge-point B_e of the third circle, and Ending to infinite ∞ , \rightarrow *Creates on the three circles* (O,OA), (E,EO), (B,BE), with their centers on the diameter OB, the *Changeable moving Squares*

a)The Inscribed	CBAO,	when point	$Z \equiv G_e$	and center point O,
b)The In-between	CM _z N _z H _z	when point	$Z \equiv B$	and center point E,
c)The Extrema	CMNH,	when point	$Z \equiv B_e$	and center point B,
d)The Circumscribed	CAC`P.	when point	$Z \equiv B_{\infty}$	and center point ∞ ,

(2). Through the four constant Poles A,C,P – O of the *Plane Procedure Mechanism*, Squares *Rotate* through P, the Sides and Diamesus Slide on OB as Squares, Anti-Squares .Point Z moving from Edge points G_e (*forming Inscribed square* CBAO), to in-between points $G_e - B_e$ (*forming squares* $CM_zN_zH_z$), to Extrema point B_e (*forming square* CMNH *equal to the circle*), and to $B_e - \infty$. (3). Point I_g , belongs to the Inscribed circle (E,EO) and is Rotating, *expanding*, Inscribed Edge point on (P_1 , P_1 P) circle to I_g , I_b , I_e and to \rightarrow P point. The other two, *Sliding*, Edge moving points



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

B, A slide on OB, CA, Pole-lines respectively. In Initial square COAB and rightangled triangle COB the side CE squared is CE² = EB.EO = $[\sqrt{2CB/2}]$. $[\sqrt{2CB/2}] = CB^2/2$. In Edge square CMNH and rightangled triangle CHM the side CN/2 squared is $CE_e^2 = E_e M$. $E_e H_{-} = [\sqrt{2CM/2}] \cdot [\sqrt{2CM/2}] = CM^2/2$. In Infinite square CAC`P and rightangled triangle CPA the side $CC^{2} = CO$ squared is $CO^{2} = OA.OP =$ $\sqrt{2CA/2}$ = CA²/2. From above relations and since CE=OE, CE_e = (HM/2), CO=CC²/2 then,

OE $^{2}=CB^{2}/2=2.CE^{2}$ / 2=[2/2] . $CE^{2}\ =k$. $CE^{2}\$, where $\ k=[2/2]=1$

 $CE_e^2 = CM^2/2 = k.(CB^2/2)$ where $k = CM^2/CB^2 = CM^2/2CE^2$

 $CO^2 = CA^2/2 = 2$. [$CB^2/2$] = 2. $CE^2 = k$. CE^2 , where k = [2/2/2] = 2

A - Proof (2): F.2-(5), F.2-A

Since BC \perp CO, the tangent from point B to the circle (O, OA) is equal to : BC² = BO² - OC² = (2. EB)² - (EB $\cdot \sqrt{2}$)² = 2. EB² = (2.EB).EB = (2.BG).BG and since 2.BG = BG₁ then $BC^2 = BG \cdot BG_1$, where point G₁ lies on the circumscribed circle, and this means that BG

produced intersects circle (O, OA) at a point G_1 twice as much as BG. Since E is the mid-point of BO and also G midpoint of BG₁, so EG is the diamesus of the two sides BO, BG₁ of the triangle BOG₁ and equal to 1/2 of radius $OG_1 = OC$, the base, and since the radius of the inscribed circle is half ($\frac{1}{2}$) of the circumscribed radius then the circle (E, EB / $\sqrt{2}$ = OA/2) passes through point G. Because BC is perpendicular to the radius OC of the circumscribed circle, so BC is tangent and equal to BC² = 2. EB², i.e. the above relation.

Proofs F.(2): (5-6):

Following again prior A-B common proof,

Angle $\langle CHP = 90^{\circ}$ because is inscribed on the diameter CP of the circle (P',P'P). The supplementary angle < CHN = $180 - 90 = 90^{\circ}$. Angle < PNA = PNM = 90° because is inscribed on the diameter AP of the circle (O, OA) and Angle $< CMA = 90^{\circ}$ because is inscribed on the diameter CA of the circle (E, EA = EC). The upper three angles of the quadrilateral CHMN are of a sum of 90+90+90 = 270, and from the total of 360° , the angle $< MCH = 360 - 270 = 90^{\circ}$, therefore shape CMNH is rightangled and exists $CM \perp CH$.

Since also $CM \perp CH$ and $CA \perp CP$ therefore angle < MCA = HCP.

The rightangled triangles CAM, CPH are equal because have hypotynous CA = CP and also angles $\langle CMA = CHP = 90^{\circ}, \langle MCA = HCP \text{ and side } CH = CM \text{ therefore, rechtangle } CMNH \text{ is}$ Square on CA,CP Mechanism, through the three constant Poles C,A,P of rotation. The same for square $CM^{N}H^{T}$. From the equal triangles COH, CBM angle < CHO = CHM = 45° then points H,O,M lie on line HM .i.e. Diagonal HM of squares CMNH on Mechanism passes through central Pole O.

The two equal and perpendicular vectors CA, CP, which is the Plane Mechanism, of these Changable Squares through the two constant Poles C, P of rotation, is converting the Circular motion to Four - Polar Rotational motion, and as linear motion through points O, A.

Transferring the above property to [F.2–(5)] then when point Z moves on OB line \rightarrow Point A_Z

moves on CA and \rightarrow PA _Z Segment rotates through point P , defining on circle (P_1 , $P_1 P = P_1 E$) ,

the Idol, [the points I_z on circles O,OA = The Circumscribed P`P`O = The Circle], and points H,N such that shapes \rightarrow CHNM are all Squares between the Inscribed and Circumscribed circle . i.e.

Archimedes trial, The Central – Expansion of the Inscribed to the Circumscribed circle,

is altered to the equivalent as , Polar and Axial motion on this Plane Mechanism .

129

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons The areas of above circles are \rightarrow

Area of	Inscribed	$=\frac{1}{2}$	$\pi.OE^{2} = \frac{1}{2}$	$\pi \cdot \frac{CB^2}{2}$	=	$\pi \cdot \frac{\operatorname{CB}^2}{4} = \left[\frac{k\pi}{4}\right] \cdot \operatorname{CB}^2$	2
Area of	Circle	= 1	$\pi.OE^{2} = 1$	$\pi \cdot \frac{\mathrm{CM}^2}{2}$	=	$k\pi. \frac{CB^2}{4} = \left[\frac{k\pi}{4}\right].CB$	} 2
Area of	Circumscribed	= 2	$\pi.OE^{2} = 2$	$\pi \cdot \frac{CA^2}{2}$	= 2	$k\pi.\frac{CB^2}{4} = \left[\frac{k\pi}{2}\right].CE$	32

and those of corresponding squares, then one square of *Plane Mechanism* is equal to the circle, but which one ??.

\rightarrow That square which is formed in Extrema Case of The Plane Mechanism :

The radius of the inscribed circle is AB/2 and equal to the perpendicular distance between center E and OA, so any circle of EP diameter passes through the edge-point (I_g), and point (I_b) is the Edge common point of the two circles $.G_e$,

The Common Edge –Point of the three circles is (I_e) belongs to the Edge point Be of circle ($B,BE = BB_e$), so exists,

Case	:		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
Point	Ζ	at \rightarrow	Ge	В	B _e	Β∞
Point	Α	at \rightarrow	А	A(I)	A _e	A_{∞}
Point	Ig	at \rightarrow	Ig	$I_{Z} = I_{b}$	I _e	Р
			Ţ	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow
Square		C	BAO,	$CM_iN_iH_i$, CMNH ,	CAC`P

i.e. Square CMNH of case [3] is equal to the circle, and CM² = CH² = π . EA² = π . EO²

On the three Circles (E,EO), (P_1, P_1, P) , (O, OZ) and Lines OB, CA exists \rightarrow F.2 - (5)

a)..Circle ($O,OZ = OG_e$) is Expanding to $\rightarrow (O,OZ = OB_e)$ Circumscribed circle, for the Inscribed CBAO square,

b).. Point A , to \rightarrow (A - A_Z) is The Expanding Pole-line A - A_Z for the In-between CM_ZN_ZH_Z square ,

c)... Circle (P_1, P_1I_g) is Expanding to $\rightarrow (P_1, P_1I_b)$ Inscribed circle (E, E.I_g) to I_b and I_e point.

d).. Circle (O,OB \rightarrow O B_{∞}, Pole-lines (A –A A_e \rightarrow A_{∞}) and (P –P I_e = PP \rightarrow P), for CAC`P square, Point N on (O,OA), belongs to Circumscribed circle Point I_e, on circle with diameter, PE, belongs to the Inscribed circle (E, EI_g = EG) Point H, on (P`,P`O), belongs to the Circle.

i.e. It was found a Mechanism where the Linearly Expanding Squares \rightarrow CBAO – CMNH – CAC`P, and circles \rightarrow (P₁, P₁E) – (B, BE) – (O,OA), which are between the Inscribed and Circumscribed ones, are Polarly – Expanded as Four – Polar Squares. The problem is in two dimensions determining an edge square between the inscribed and the circumscribed circle. A quick measure for radius r = 2694 m gives side of square 4775 m and $\pi = 3,1416048 \rightarrow 11/10/2015$

The Segments CM = CM, is the Plane Procedure Quantization of radius EC = EO = CP in Euclidean Geometry, through this Mould, the Mechanism. The Plane Procedure Method is called so, because it is in two dimensions $\rightarrow CA \perp CP$, as this happens also in, Cube mould, for the three dimensions of the spaces, which is a Geometrical machine for constructing Squares and Anti-Squares and that one equal to the circle. This is the Plane Quantization of, E - Geometry, i.e. The Area of square CMNH is equal to that of one of the five conjugate circles, or $CM^2 = \pi \cdot CE^2$, and System with number π tobe a constant. Remarks:



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

Since Monads $AC = ds = 0 \rightarrow \infty$ are simultaneously (*actual infinity*) and (potential infinity) in Complex number form, *this defines that the infinity exists also between all points which are not coinciding*, and **ds** comprises any two edge points with imaginary part, for where this property differs between the infinite points between edges. This property of monads shows the link between Space and Energy which Energy is *between* the points and Space *on* points. In plane and on solids, energy is spread as the Electromagnetic field in surface. The position and the distance of points, can be calculated between the points and so to *perform independent Operations* (Divergence, Gradient, Curl, Laplacian) on points.

This is the Vector relation of Monads, ds = CA, (or, as Complex Numbers in their general form w = a + b. i = discrete and continuous), and which is the Dual Nature of Segments = monads in Plane, tobe discrete and continuous). Their monad – meter in Plane, and in two dimensions is CM, the analogous length, in the above Mechanism of the Squaring the circle with monad the diameter of the circle. Monad is ds = CA = OB, the diameter of the circle (E,EA) with CBAO Square, on the Expanding by Transportation and Rotation Mechanism which is \rightarrow {Circumscribed circle (O,OA) – Inscribed circle (E,EG = EIg) - Circle (B, BE) } \leftarrow In extended moving System \rightarrow {OB Pole-line – CA Pole-line – Circle (P₁, P₁B = P₁. I_g) }, and is quantized to CMNH square.

The Plane Ratio square of Segments -CE, CM - is constant and Linear, and for any Segment CN/2 on circle in Square CMNH exists another one CE such that ,

 \rightarrow EC²/(CN/2)² = k = constant \leftarrow

i.e. the Square Analogy of the Heights in any rectangle triangle COB is linear to Extrema Semisegments (CN/2) or to (CA/2), or the mapping of the continuous analog segment CE to the discrete segment (CN/2).

The Physical notion of Quadrature :

The exact Numeric Magnitude of number π , may be found only by numeric calculations.[44] All magnitudes exist on the *Plane Formation Mechanism of the first dimentional unit* AB *s* as geometrical elements consisting , *the Steady Formulation*, (The Plane System of the Isosceles Right-angle triangle ACP with the three Circles on the sides) and *the moving and Changeable Formulation of the twin*, *System-Image*, (This Plane Perpendicular System of Squares , Antisquares is such that , *the Work produced in a between closed area to be equal to zero*). Starting from this logic of correlation upon Unit , we can control *Resemblance Ratio* and construct all Regular Polygons on the unit Circle as this is shown in the case of squares . On this **System** of these three circles F.3 (The Plane Procedure Mechanism which is a Constant System) is created also, a *continues* and , a *not continues* Symmetrical Formation , the changeable System of the Regular Polygons , and the **Image** (Changeable System of Regular anti-Polygons) the **Idol**, as much this in **Space** and also in **Time**, and was proved that in this Constant System , *the Rectilinear motion of the Changeable Formation is Transformed into a twin and Symmetrically axial - centrifugal Pole rotation (this is the motion on System*).

The conservation of the Total Impulse and Momentum, as well as the conservation of the Total Energy in this Constant System with all properties included, exists in this Empty Space of the undimensional point Units of mechanism.

All the forgoing referred can be shown (maybe presented) with a Ruler and a Compass, or can be seen, live, on any Personal Computer. The method is presented on Dr.Geo machine.

The theorem of *Hermit-Lindeman* that number, pi, is not algebraic, is based on the theory of Constructible numbers and number fields (*on number analysis*) and not on the *< Euclidean Geometrical origin-Logic on unit elements basis >*

The mathematical reasoning (*the Method*) is based on the restrictions imposed to seek the solution < i.e. *with a ruler and a compass* >.

By extending Euclid logic of Units on the Unit circle *to unknown and now proved Geometrical unit elements*, thus the settled age-old question for the unsolved problems is now approached and continuously standing solved. All Mathematical interpretation and the relative Philosophical reflections based on the theory of the non-solvability must properly revised.

Application in Physics :

From math theory of Elasticity, Cauchy equations of Stresses in three dimensions are,

 $\frac{\partial \sigma x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau y x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau z x}{\partial z} + X = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \tau x y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau x y}{\partial z} + Y = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \tau x z}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau y z}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma z}{\partial z} + Z = 0 \quad \text{where are },$

 $\sigma x, \sigma y, \sigma z = Principal stresses in x, y, z axis, \tau xy, \tau xz, \tau yz = shear-stresses in xy, xz, yz Plane,$ X,Y,Z = The components of external forces and of*Strain* $, <math>\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$ where $u = u(y,z) \rightarrow$ are Deformation components, the displacements, in y, z axis.

v = c x z = the Rotation on z, axis

w = -c x y Anti-rotation in y axis.

Applying above equations on an orthogonal section of a solid, then exist the differential equations of equilibrium, and for the boundary conditions is found that, the Stress function is satisfying equations,

and the boundary conditions on solid's surface, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dz - \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} dy + y dy + z dz = 0$ (2) where, γxy , γxz , γyz = the slip components where is, $\gamma xy = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$.

Equations show that the resultant shear-stress at the boundary is directed along the tangent to the boundary and that, the Stress function u = u(yz) must be constant along the boundary of the cross section. i.e. each cross section on **x**, axis is rotated as a disk in its plane, from which points follow relation u = u(yz) and since stress function are constant, then from equation (2) y.dy + z.dz = 0 or $y^2 + z^2 = constant$, meaning that, a Cross-section under Stress stays Plane only in circle circumference, or a Plane Space, under Energy Stress, remains Flat only when the Plane becomes a circle, i.e. follows the Plane Mould which is the squaring of the circle.

The same is seen in Laplace's equation $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \equiv \nabla^2 u = 0$ which is termed a harmonic function.

Placing $\nabla^2 u = 0$ in both parts of the equation of the circle, becomes Identity and $\nabla^2 u.(y^2+z^2) = \nabla^2 u.(c)$,

or any Monad = Quaternion, consisted of the real part the Plane Space, and under Energy Stress the imaginary part, remains in Flat only when the Plane becomes a circle, i.e. the Energy-Space discrete continuum follows extrema E-geometry Mould, π , which is the squaring of the circle.

If Potential Energy is zero then vector $\bar{\tau}$ is on the surface indicating the conjugate function. [49].

In Electricity, when an electric current flows through a conductor, then a transverse circular

Electromagnetic field is produced around itself following the vector – cross - product Plane mould $,\pi$.

Because , the nth - degree - equations are the vertices of the n-polygon in circle so , π , is their mould .

2.. The Duplication of the Cube,



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry Or the Problem of the two Mean Proportionals, The Delian Problem. The Extrema method for the Duplication of the cube ? [44-45]

This problem is in three dimensions as this first was set by Archytas proposed by determining a certain point as the intersection of three surfaces, *a right cone*, *a cylinder*, *a tore or anchoring with inner diameter nil*. Because of the three master - meters where there is holding the Ratio of two or three geometrical magnitudes, is such that they have a linear relation (continuous analogy) in all Spaces, the solution of this problem, *as well as that of squaring the circle*, is linearly transformed.

The solution is based on the known two locus of a linear motion of a point. The geometrical construction Step - By - Step in F-4:

The Presentation of the method on Dr-Geo machine for macro constructions in F.4 - A.



F.4.. → The Mechanical Extrema Constant Poles Z, K, P of rotation in any circumcircle of triangle ZKoB

- 1.. Draw on any Orthogonal System $K_oZ \perp K_oB$, Segment $K_oZ = 2$. K_oB and on BZ as hypotynousa the circle (O, OB = OZ).
- **2..** Draw on K_0Z produced $K_0A_0 = K_0B$ and form the square $BC_0D_0A_0$, .
- 3. Draw the circles (K_o, K_oZ), (B, BZ) which are intersected at points Z, A_e, and D_oC_o produced at point Z`, and D_oA_o produced at point P.
- **4.** Draw on ZP as diameter the circle (K, KZ = KP) intersecting $K_o D_o$ produced at point D and join DZ, DP intersecting the circle (O,OZ) and line $K_o A_o$ produced at point A.
- 5.. On Rectangle BCDA, the Cube of Segment K_0D is twice the Cube of Segment KoA and, exists $K_0D^3 = 2$. K_0A^3



F4-A. \rightarrow A Presentation of the Dublication Method on Dr.Geo - Machine Macro - constructions

 $B C_0 D_0 A_0$, Is the initial Basic Quadrilateral *square*, on $K_0 Z$, $K_0 B$ Extrema - lines mechanism. BCDA is the In-between Quadrilateral, on (K,KZ) Extrema-circle, and on $K_0 Z - K_0 B$ Extrema lines of common poles Z, P, mechanism. *The Initial Quadrilateral* $BC_0 D_0 A_0$, *with Pole-lines* $D_0 A_0 P - D_0 C_0 Z^{,}$, *rotates through Pole* P *and the moveable Pole* $Z^{,}$ on $Z^{,}Z$ *arc*, *to the* \rightarrow *Extreme Quadrilateral* BCDA *through Pole-lines* DAP - DCZ *with point* D_0 , *sliding on* $BK_0 D_0$ *Pole-line*. *The Final Position of the Rotation – Translation is Quadrilateral* BCDA *where* $K_0 D^3 = 2$. $K_0 A^3$

2.1. The Processus of The Duplication of Cube : F.4, F4 - A



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons .

1..Draw Line segment $K_o Z$ tobe perpendicular to its half segment $K_o B$ or as $K_o Z = 2$. $K_o B \perp K_o B$ and the circle (O, BZ / 2) of diameter BZ. Line -segment ZK_o produced to $K_o A_o = K_o B$ (*or and* $K_o X_o \neq K_o B$) is forming the Isosceles right-angled triangle $A_o K_o B$.

2.. Draw segments BC_o , A_oD_o equal to BA_o and be perpendicular to A_oB such that points C_o , D_o meet the circle (K_o , K_oB) in points C_o , D_o , respectively, and thus forming the inscribed square $BC_oD_oA_o$. Draw circle (K_o , K_oZ) intersecting line D_oC_o produced at point Z° and draw the circle (B, BZ) intersecting diameter $Z^{\circ}B$, produced at point P (*the constant Pole*).

3.. Draw line ZP intersecting ($O,\,OZ$) circle at point $\,K$, and draw the circle (K, KZ) intersecting line $\,BD_o\,$ produced at point $\,D$. Draw line $\,DZ$ intersecting (O, OZ) circle at point $\,C$ and Complete Rectangle CBAD on the diamesus BD .

Show that this is an Extrema Mechanism on where,

The Three dimensional Space KoA \rightarrow is Quantized to K₀D as \rightarrow K₀D³ = 2. K₀A³. Analysis :

In (1) - F.4, $K_oZ = 2$, K_oB and $K_oA_o = K_oB$, $K_oB \perp K_oZ$ and $K_oZ / K_oB = 2$.

In (2) Circle (B, BZ) with radius twice of circle (O, OZ) is *the extrema* case where circles with radius KZ = KP are formulated and are the locus of all moving circles on arc BK as in F4-(2), F.5

In (3) Inscribed square $B C_o D_o A_o$, passes through middle point of $K_o Z$ so $C_o K_o = C_o Z$ and since angle $< Z C_o O = 90^{\circ}$, then segment $O C_o // B K_o$ and $B K_o = 2.0 C_o$.

Since radius OB of circle (O,OB = OZ) is $\frac{1}{2}$ of radius OZ of circle (B,BZ =2.BO) then, **D**, is is *Extrema* case where circle (O,OZ) is the *locus of the centers* of all circles (K₀, K₀Z), (B, BZ) moving on arc, K₀B, as this was proved in F.5.

All circles *centered on this locus* are common to circle (K_0, K_0Z) and (B, BZ) separately.

The only case of being together is the common point of these circles which is their common point P, where then \rightarrow centered circle exists on the Extrema edge, ZP diameter.

In (4), F4-(4) Initial square $A_oBC_oD_o$, *Expands and Rotates* through point B, while segment D_oC_o limits to DC, where *extrema point* Z` moves to Z. Simultaneously, the circle of radius K_oZ moves to circle of radius BZ on the locus of $\frac{1}{2}$ chord K_oB . Since angle $< Z D_oA_o P$ is always 90° so, exists on the diameter ZP of circle (B, BZ`) and is the limit point of chord D_oA_o of the rotated square $BC_oD_oA_o$, and not surpassing the common point Z.

Rectangle $BA_oD_oC_o$ in angle $< PD_oZ$ ` is expanded to Rectangle BADC in angle < PDZ by existing on the two limit circles (B, BZ`= BP) and (K_o, K_oZ) and point D_o by sliding to D.

On arc K₀B of these limits is *centered circle on* **ZP** *diameter*, i.e. *Extrema* happens to \rightarrow

the common Pole of rotation through a constant circle centered on K_0B arc, and since point Do is the intersection of circle (K_0 , $K_0B = K_0D_0$) which limit to D, therefore the intersection of the common circle (K, KZ = KP) and line K_0D_0 denotes that extrema point, where the expanding line $D_0C_0Z^{\circ}$ with leverarm D_0A_0P is rotating through Pole P, and limits to line DCZ, and Point P is the common Pole of all circles on arc, K_0B , for the Expanding and simultaneously Rotating Rectangles.

In (5) rectangle BCDA formulates the two right-angled perpendicular triangles

ADZ, ADB which solve the problem.



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons .

Segments K_0D , $K_0A_0 = K_0B$ are the two Quantized magnitudes in Space (volume) such that Euclidean Geometry Quantization becomes through the Mould of Doubling of the Cube. [This is the Space Quantization of E-Geometry i.e. The cube of Segment K_0D is the double magnitude of K_0A cube, or monad $K_0D^3 = 2$ times the monad K_0A^3]. About Poles in [5].

The Proof : F.4. (3)-(4)-(5).

1.. Since $K_oZ = 2$. K_oB then $(K_oZ / K_oB) = 2$, and since angle $< ZK_oB = 90^\circ$ then BZ is the diameter of circle (O,OZ) and angle $< ZK_oB = 90^\circ$ on diameter ZB

2.. Since angle $< ZK_oA_o = 180^\circ$ and angle $< ZK_oB = 90^\circ$ therefore angle $< BK_oA_o = 90^\circ$ also.

3.. Since $BK_o \perp ZK_o$ then K_o is the midpoint of chord on circle (K_o, K_oB) which passes through Rectangle (*square*) $BA_oD_oC_o$. Since angle $\langle ZDP = 90^\circ$ (*because exists on diameter ZP*) and since also angle $\langle BCZ = 90^\circ$ (*because exists on diameter ZB*) therefore triangle BCD is right-angled and BD is the diameter.

Since Expanding Rectangles $BA_oD_oC_o$, BADC rotate through Pole , **P**, then points A_o , A lie on circles with BD_o , BD diameter, therefore point D is common to BD_o line and (K, KZ = KP) circle, and BCDA is Rectangle . F.4-(2) i.e. Rectangle BCDA possess $AK_o \perp BD$ and DCZ a line passing through point Z.

4.. From right angle triangles ADZ, ADB we have,

On triangle \triangle ADZ \rightarrow KD² = KA . KZ ... (a) On triangle \triangle ADB \rightarrow KA² = KD . KB ... (b)

and by division (a) / (b) then \rightarrow

 $\begin{array}{l} KD^{2} = KA.KZ & KD^{2} & KA.KZ & KD^{3} & KZ \\ ------ |=|-----| & or & |-----|=|-----| & = 2 \\ KA^{2} = KD.KB & KA^{2} & KD.KB & KA^{3} & KB \end{array}$ (o.ε.δ),(q.e.d)

i.e. $\rightarrow K_0 D^3 = 2 \cdot K_0 A^3$, which is the Duplication of the Cube.

In terms of Mechanics, Spaces Mould happen through, Mould of Doubling the Cube, where for any monad $ds = K_0A$ analogous to K_0A_0 , the Volume or The cube of segment K_0D is double the volume of K_0A cube, or monad $KD^3 = 2$. K_0A^3 . This is one of the basic Geometrical Euclidean Geometry Moulds, which create the METERS of monads \rightarrow where Linear is the Segment MA_1 , Plane is the square CMNH equal to the circle and in Space, is volume $K_0D^3 = KD^3$ in all Spaces, Anti-spaces and Sub-spaces of monads = Segments \leftarrow i.e

The Expanding square $BA_0D_0C_0$ is Quantized to BADC Rectangle by Translation to point Z', and by Rotation, through point P (the Pole of rotation) to point Z.

The Constructing relation between segments $K_0 X$, $K_0 A$ is $\rightarrow (K_0 X)^2 = (K_0 A)^2 \cdot (XX_1 / AD)$ such that $X X_1 / / AD$, as in Fig.6 (4), F7.(3). All comments are left to the readers, 30 / 8 / 2015.



Markos Georgallides, "The Geometrical solution, of the Regular n-Polygons and the Unsolved Ancient Greek Special Problems," *International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science*, Volume 2, Issue 3, pp. 120-203, 2017



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

F.5. \rightarrow For any point A on , and P Out-On-In circle [O, OA] and O'P = O'O, exists O'M = OA / 2 .[16]

2.2 The Quantization of E-Geometry, { Points, Segments, Lines, Planes, and the Volumes }, to its moulds F-6.

Quantization of E-geometry is the Way of Points to become as $a \rightarrow ($ Segments , Anti-segments = Monads = Anti-monads), (Segments, Parallel-segments = Equal monads), (Equal Segments and Perpendicular - segments = Plane Vectors), (Non-equal Segments and twice-Perpendicular-segments = The Space Vectors = Quaternion), by defining the mould of quantization.

The three Ways of quantization are \rightarrow *for Monads* = The Material points, the Mould is the *Cycloidal Curl* Electromagnetic field, *for Lines* the Mould is that of *Parallel Theorem with the least constant distance*, *for Plane* the Mould is the *Squaring of the circle*, π , and, *for Space* is the Mould of the *Duplication of cube* $\sqrt[3]{2}$. All methods in, F-6 below.

In [61] The Glue-Bond pair of opposites $[\bigcirc \bigoplus]$, creates rotation with angular velocity w = v/r, and velocity $v = w.r = \frac{2\pi}{T} = 2\pi r.f = [\frac{\sigma}{2}].(1+\sqrt{5})$, frequency $f = \frac{(1+\sqrt{5}]).\sigma}{4\pi r}$, Period $T = \frac{4\pi r}{\sigma(1+\sqrt{5})}$ where $\pm \sigma$ are the two Centripetal F_p and Centrifugal F_f forces.

Odd and Even number of opposites, on a Regular Polygon, defines the Quality of Energy-monad.



F.6. → Quantization for Point E, for Linear ds = MA₁, for Plane, π, Space (volume) $\sqrt[3]{2}$. Moulds for E-geometry Quantization are, of monad EA to Anti-monad EC – of AB line to Parallel line MM⁻ of AE Radius to the CM side of Square of KA Segment to KD Cube Segment.

The numeric METERS of Quantization of any material monad ds = AB are $as \rightarrow In$ any point A, happens through Mould in itself (The material point as $a \rightarrow \pm$ dipole) in [43] In monad ds = AC, happens through Mould in itself for two points (The material dipole in inner monad Structure as the Electromagnetic Cycloidal field which equilibrium in dipole by the Anti-Cycloidal field as in [43]).

For monad ds = EA the quantized and Anti-monad is $dq = EC = \pm EA$

137



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons .

Remark 1: The two opposite signs of monads EA, EC represent the two Symmetrical equilibrium monads of Space-Antispace, the Geometrical dipole AC on points A,C which consist space AC as in F6-(1)

Linearly, happens through Mould of Parallel Theorem, where for any point M not on $ds = \pm AB$, the Segment $MA_1 = Segment M^B_1 = Constant$. F6 - (1-2)

Remark 2: The two opposite signs of monads represent the two Symmetrical monads in the Geometrical machine of the equal and Parallel monads $[MM^{//AB} \text{ where } MA_1 \perp AB ,$ $M^B_1 \perp AB$ and $MA_1 = M^B_1]$ which are \rightarrow The Monad $MA_1 - Antimonad M^B_1 , or \rightarrow$ The Inner monad MA1 Structure – The Inner Anti monad structure $M^B_1 = -MA_1 = Idle$, and { The Space = line AB, Anti-space = the Parallel line MM^{*} = constant }.

The Parallel Axiom is no-more Axiom because this has been proved as a Theorem [9-32-38-44].

Plainly, happens through Mould of Squaring of the circle, where for any monad ds = CA = CP, the Area of square CMNH is equal to that of one of the five conjugate circles and $\pi = constant$, or as $CM^2 = \pi \cdot CE^2$.

On monad ds = EA = EC, the Area $= \pi . EC^2$ and the quantized Anti-monad $dq = CM^2 = \pm \pi . EC^2$ and this because are perpendicular and produce Zero Work . F6-(3) Remark 3 :

The two opposite signs represent the two Symmetrical squares in Geometrical machine of the equal and perpendicular monads as, $[CA \perp CP, and CA = CP]$, which are \rightarrow The Square CMNH – Antisquare CM'N'H', or \rightarrow The Space – Idol = Anti-Space.

In Mechanics this propety of monads is very useful in Work area, where two perpendicular vectors produce Zero Work. {Space = square CMNH, Anti-space = Anti-square CM`N`H`}.

In three dimensional Space, happens through Mould Doubling of the Cube, where for any monad ds = KA, the Volume or, The cube of a segment KD is the double the volume of KA cube, or monad KD³ = 2.KA³.

On monad ds = KA the Volume = KA^3 and the quantized Anti-monad, $dq = KD^3 = \pm 2$. KA³. F6-(4)

Remark 4 :

The two opposite signs represent the two Symmetrical Volumes in Geometrical machine of triangles

 $[\Delta ADZ \perp \Delta ADB]$, which are \rightarrow The cube of a segment KD is the double the volume of KA cube – The Anti-cube of a segment K`D` is the double the Anti-volume of K`A` cube, Monad ds = KA, the Volume = KA³ and the quantized Anti-monad $dq = KD^3 = \pm 2$. KA³.

{The Space = the cube KA³, The Anti - Space = the Anti - Cube KD³}.

In Mechanics this property of Material monads is very useful in the Interactions of the Electromagnetic Systems where Work of two perpendicular vectors is Zero.

{ Space = Volume of KA, Anti-space = Anti – Volume of KD, and this in applied to Dark-matter, Dark - Energy in Physics }. [43]

Radiation of Energy is enclosed in a cavity of the tiny energy volume λ , (which is the cycloidal wavelength of monad) with perfect and absolute reflecting boundaries where this cavity may become infinite in every direction and thus getting in maxima cases (the edge limits) the properties of radiation in free space.



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry



 $F.7. \rightarrow$ The Thales , Euclid , Markos Mould , for the Linear – Plane - Space , Extrema Ratio Meters

The electromagnetic vibrations in this volume is analogous to vibrations of an Elastic body (Photoelastic stresses in an elastic material [18]) in this tiny volume, and thus Fringes are a superposition of these standing (*stationary*) vibrations.[41]

Above are analytically shown, the Moulds (The three basic Geometrical Machines) of Euclidean Geometry which create the METERS of monads i.e.

Linearly is the Segment MA_1 , In Plane the square CMNH, and in Space is volume KD^3 in all Spaces, Anti-spaces and Sub-spaces. This is the Euclidean Geometry Quantization in points to its constituents, i.e. the

- 1.. METER of Point A is the Material Point A, the,
- 2.. METER of line is the discrete Segment ds = AB = monad = constant, the
- 3.. METER of Plane is that of circle, number π , on Segment = monad, which is the Square equal to the area of the circle, and the
- 4.. METER of Volume is that of Cube $\sqrt[3]{2}$, of any Segment = monad, which is the Double Cube of Segment and Thus is the measuring of the Spaces, Anti-spaces and Sub-spaces in this cosmos.
- 5.. In Physics , *METER* of Mass is the Reaction of Matter , anything material , against Motion , the contrast Inertia of matter against kinetic effects , and it is a number only without any other Physical meaning . [39-40] The meter of mass during a Parallel -Translation is a constant magnitude for every Body , while

for Moment of Inertia during a Rotational - motion is not, except it is referred to the same axis of the Body. markos 11/9/2015.



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons .

2.3 The Three Master - Meters in One,

for E-geometry Quantization , F-7

Master - meter is the linear relation of the Ratio , (*continuous analogy*) of geometrical magnitudes , of all Spaces and Anti-spaces in any monad .This is so because of the , extrema - ratio - meters .

Saying **master-meters**, we mean That the Ratio of two or three geometrical magnitudes, is such that they have a linear relation (*continuous analogy*) in all Spaces, *in one in two in three dimensions*, as this happens to the Compatible Coordinate Systems as these are the Rectangular [x,y,z], [i,j,k], the Cylindrical and Spherical -Polar. The position and the distance of points can be then calculated between the points, and thus to *perform independent Operations* (Divergence, Gradient, Curl, Laplacian) on points only. This property issues on material points and monads.

This is permitted because, Space is quaternion and is composed of Stationary quantities, the position $\overline{r}(t)$ and the kinematic quantities, the velocity $\rightarrow \overline{v} = dr/dt$ and acceleration $\rightarrow \overline{a} = d\overline{v}/dt = d^2r/dt^2$. Kinematic quantities are also the tiny Energy volume caves (*cycloid is length*, λ , *the* Space *of velocity* \overline{v} , *and* \overline{a} *consist in gravity's field the infinite* Energy *dipole Tanks in where energy* is conserved). In this way all operations on edge points are possible and applicable.

Remarks :

In F7-(1), The Linear Ratio , *for Vectors*, begins from the same Common point K_0 , of the two concurring and Non-equal, Concentrical and Co-parallel Direction monads $K_0X - K_0A$ and becomes K_0X_1 - K_0D .

In F7-(2), The Linear Ratio , *for Plane* , begins from the same Common point K_0 , of the two Non-equal , Concentrical and Co-perpendicular Direction monads.

Proof :

Segment $K_0A\perp K_0X$ because triangle AK_0X is rightangled triangle and $K_0Z\perp AX$. Radius $OK_0 = OA = OX$. Since DA, X_1X are also perpendicular to AX, therefore $K_0Z / / X_1X / / DA$. According to Thales theorem ratio $(ZA/ZX) = (K_0D/K_0X_1)$ and since tangent $DA = DK_0$ and $X_1K_0 = X_1X$ then $AZ / ZX = DA / XX_1$. From Pythagorean theorem (Lemma 6) $\rightarrow K_0A^2 / K_0X^2 = (AZ/ZX) = (DA/XX_1) = (K_0D / K_0X_1)$ i.e.

The ratio of the two squares K_0A^2 , K_0X^2 are proportional to line segments K_0D , K_0X_1). (o. ϵ . δ).

In F7-(3), The Linear Ratio, for Volume, begins from the same Common point K_0 , of the two

Non-equal, Concentrical and Co-perpendicular Direction monads.

In (1) \rightarrow Segment K₀A \perp K₀D, Ratio K₀X / K₀A = K₀X₁ / K₀D, and Linearly (*in one dimension*) the Ratio of K₀A / K₀X = AD / XX₁, i.e. in Thales linear mould [XX₁ // AD],

Linear Ratio of Segments XX_1 , AD is, constant and Linear, and it is the Master key Analogy of the two Segments, monads.

In (2) \rightarrow Segment $K_0 A \perp K_0 X$, $O K_0 = OA = OX$ and since $O X_1$, OD are diameters of the two circles then $K_0 D = AD$, $K_0 X_1 = X X_1$, and Linearly (*in one dimension*) the Ratio of $K_0 A / K_0 X$

= AD / X X₁, in Plane (*in two dimensions*) the Ratio [K_0A]²/ [K_0X]² = AD / X X₁, i.e. in Euclid's Plane mould [$K_0A \perp K_0X$],

The Plane Ratio square of Segments $-K_0A$, K_0X - is constant and Linear, and for any Segment K_0X on circle (O,OK_0) exists another one K_0A such that,



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

 \rightarrow K₀ A²/ K₀ X² = AD/XX₁ = K₀ D/ K₀X₁ \leftarrow

i.e. the Square Analogy of the sides in any rectangle triangle $A K_0 X$ is linear

to Extrema Semi-segments AD, XX_1 or to K_0D , K_0X_1 monads, or

the mapping of the continuous analog segment $K_0 X$ to the discrete segment $K_0 A$.

In (3) \rightarrow Segment K₀B \perp K₀X, O K₀ = OB = OZ and since X X₁ // AD, then K₀A / K₀D = K₀X / K₀X₁ = AD / X X₁, and Linearly (*in one dimension*) the Ratio of K₀A / K₀X = AD / X X₁ and in Space (*Volume*) (*in three dimensions*) the Ratio [K₀A]³ / [K₀D]³ = [K₀X / K₀X₁]³ = $\frac{1}{2}$.

i.e. in Euclid's Plane mould [K₀A // K₀X, K₀D // K₀X₁], Volume Ratio of volume Segments

 $- K_0 A$, $K_0 D$ -, is constant and Linear, and for any Segment $K_0 X$ exists another one $K_0 X_1$

such that $\rightarrow (K_0X_1)^3/(K_0X)^3 = 2 \leftarrow i.e.$ the Duplication of the cube.

In F-7 , The *three* dimensional Space [$K_0 A \perp K_0 D \perp K_0 X...$], where $X X_1 // AD$, The *two* dimensional Space [$K_0 A \perp K_0 X$], where $X X_1 // AD$, The *one* dimensional Space [$X X_1 // AD$], where $X X_1 // AD$, is constant and Linearly Quantized in each dimension.

i.e. All dimensions of Monads coexist linearly in Segments – monads and separately (they are the units of the three dimensional axis x,y,z - i,j,k -) and consequently in all Volumes, Planes, Lines, Segments, and Points of Euclidean geometry, which are all the one point only and which is nothing. For more in [49-51]. 25/9/2015

At the beginning of the article it was referred to Geometers scarcity from which instigated to republish this article and to locate the weakness of prooving these Axioms which created the Non - Euclid geometries and which deviated GR in Space-time confinement. Now is more referred,

- **a).** There is not any Paradoxes of the infinite because is clearly defined what is a Point and what is a Segment .
- **b).** *The Algebra of constructible numbers and number Fields is an Absurd theory* based on groundless Axioms as the fields are , and with directed non-Euclid orientations which must be properly revised .
- c). The Algebra of Transcental numbers has been devised to postpone the Pure geometrical thought,

which is the base of all sciences, by changing the base - field of the geometrical solutions to Algebra as base. The Pythagorians discovered the existence of the incommensurable of the diagonal of a square in relation to its side without giving up the base of it, which is the geometrical logic.

d). All theories concerning *the Unsolvability of the Special Greek problems are based on Cantor's shady proof*, < *that the totality of All algebraic numbers is denumerable* > and not edifyed on the geometrical basic logic which is the foundations of all Algebra.

The problem of Doubling the cube F.4-A, as that of the Trisection of any angle F.11-A, is a Kinematic Mechanical problem with moveable Poles, and could not be seen differently, while Quadrature F.2-A with constant Poles of rotation and the proposed Geometrical solutions are all clearly exposed to the critic of the readers.

All trials for Squaring the circle are shown in [44] and the set questions will be answerd on the Changeable System of the two Expanding squares *Translation* [T] *and Rotation* [R]. The solution of Squaring the circle using the Plane Procedure method is now presented in F.1,2, and consists an, *Overthrow*, to all existing theories in Geometry, Physics and Philosophy.

e). Geometry is the base of all sciences and it is the reflective logic from the objective reality and which is nature .

The Physical notion of Duplication :

This problem follows, The three dimensional dialectic logic of ancient Greek, Avaξίμανδρος, [«τό μή Ον, Ον γίγνεσθαι » The Non-existent Exists when is done, 'The Non - existent becomes and never is], where the geometrical magnitudes, have a linear relation (the continuous analogy on Segments) in all Spaces as, in one in two in three dimensions, as this happens to the Compatible Coordinate Systems.

The Structure of Euclidean geometry is such [8] that it is a Compact Logic where Non - Existent

is found everywhere, and Existence, monads, is found and is done everywhere.

In Euclidean geometry points do not exist, but their position and correlation is doing geometry. The universe cannot be created, because it is continuously becoming and never is. [9]

According to Euclidean geometry and since the position of points (*empty Space*) creates the geometry and Spaces, Zenon Paradox is the first concept of Quantization. [15]

In terms of Mechanics, Spaces Mould happen through ,Mould of Doubling the Cube, where for any monad ds = KoA and analogous to KoD, the Volume or The cube of segment KoD is the double the volume of KoA cube, or monad KoD³ = 2.KoA³. This is one of the basic Geometrical Euclidean Geometry Moulds, which create the METERS of monads which \rightarrow Linear is the Segment ds = MA1, **Plane** is , π , the square CMNH equal to the circle, and in **Space** is $\sqrt[3]{2}$ volume KoD³, in all Spaces, Anti-spaces and Sub-spaces of monads \leftarrow i.e. The Expanding square BAoDoCo is Quantized to BADC Rectangle by Translation to point Z³, and by Rotation through point P, (the Pole of rotation). The Constructing relation between any segments KoX, KoA is \rightarrow

 $(KoX)^{3} = (KoA)^{3} . (XX1 / AD)$ as in F.7

Application in Physics :

The Electromagnetic waves are able to transmit Energy through a vacuum (empty space) by storing their energy vector in an Standing Transverse Electromagnetic dipole wave, and so considered completely particle like, and in the transverse interference pattern to be considered as completely wave, so the Same Quantity of Energy is as,

Energy $I_d = \frac{\rho \pi^2 c^3}{2\lambda^2} [\epsilon E^2 + \mu H^2]$ *in volume* $V = [\frac{4(w^2 r^2)^3}{3\pi}]$ having mass \rightarrow *Particle Energy* $I_d = (\frac{\rho.c}{2}).(wA_o)^2$ *in Interference pattern* as \rightarrow *Wave*

This is the Wave-Particle duality unifying the classical Electromagnetic field and the quantum particle of light .Angular momentum of particles is $\rightarrow \text{Spin} = \frac{E}{w} = [\pm \overline{v}.s^2] / w = (r.s^2) = w^2 r^3 = [wr]^3$ and ,

as Spin = $\frac{h}{\pi} = 2.[wr]^3$, or Energy Space quantity wr, is doubled and becomes the Space quantity $\frac{h}{\pi}$ The above relation of Spin shows the deep relation between Mechanics and E-geometry, where in the tiny Gravity-cave of $r = 10^{-62}$ m, the Energy -Volume-quantity [wr] in cave, is doubled and is Quantized in Planck's - cave Space quantity as, $(\frac{h}{\pi}) = \text{Spin} = 2.[wr]^3$ in $r = 10^{-35}$ m *i.e.* Energy Space quantity, wr, is Quantized, and becomes the New Space quantity , $h/\pi = 2.[wr]^3$, doubled, following the Euclidean Space-mould of Duplication of the cube by changing frequency, in tiny Sphere volume $V = (4\pi/3).[wr/2]^3$. Also, Since $w = E / [h/2\pi] = m.c^2/[h/2\pi] = 2\pi.mc^2/h = 2r.s^2$ $= 2.r^3.w^2$, then mass $\mathbf{m} = \frac{(wr)^3}{c^2} = \frac{2}{c^2}(wr)^3$, is Doubled as above with Space-mould and , is what is called conversion factor mass , m, and it is an index of the energy changes. All Energy magnitudes from , $0 \to \infty$, deposit in the same Space , resonance , by changing frequency



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

3.. The Trisection of Any Angle.

Because of the three *master-meters*, where is holding the Ratio of two or three geometrical magnitudes, is such that they have a linear relation (*a continuous analogy*) in all Spaces, the solution of this problem, as well as of those before, is linearly transformed. The present method is a Plane method, *i.e. straight lines and circles*, as the others and is not required the use of conics or some other equivalent. Archimedes and Pappus proposals are both instinctively right.



F.8. \rightarrow (1) Archimedes , (2) Pappus Method

The Present method :

It is based on the Extrema geometrical analysis of the mechanical motion of shapes related to a system of poles of rotation .

The classical solutions by means of conics, or reduction to a , $v\epsilon v \sigma v \varsigma$, is a part of Extrema method. This method changes the *Idle* between the edge cases and *Rotates* it through constant points, *The Poles*, Fig.11.

The basic triangle AOD_1 is such that angle $OD_1A=30^\circ$ and rotating through pole O.

The three edge positions are,

a). Angle AOB = 90° when $OD_1 \equiv -OE$ and then point D_1 is at point E on OB axis,

b). Angle AOB = 0 - 90° when $OD_1 = OE$ and then point D_1 is perpendicular to OB axis,

c). Angle AOB = 0 when $OA \equiv O$ and then point D_1 is perpendicular to OB axis.

This moving geometrical mechanism acquires common circles and constant common poles of rotation which are defined with initial ones .

This geometrical motion happens between the Extrema cases referred above ..

The steps of the basic Rotating Triangle AOD_1 between the extrema cases $AOB=180^\circ$, AOB=0



F.9. \rightarrow The proposed Contemporary Trisection method.

We extend Archimedes method as follows :

a. F9.-(2). Given an angle < AOB = AOC = 90°

- 1.. Draw circle (A, AO = OA) with its center at the vertex A intersecting circle (O, OA = AO) at the points A_1 , A_2 respectively.
- **2**.. Produce line AA₁ at C so that $A_1C = A_1A = AO$ and draw AD // OB.
- 3.. Draw CD perpendicular to AD and complete rectangle AOCD.
- **4**.. Point F is such that OF = 2 . OA

b. F9.(3-4). Given an angle $< AOB < 90^{\circ}$

- 1.. Draw AD parallel to OB.
- 2.. Draw circle (A, AO = OA) with its center at the vertex A intersecting circle (O, OA = AO) at the points A_1 , A_2 .
- 3.. Produce line AA_1 at D_1 so that $A_1 D_1 = A_1 A = OA$.
- 4.. Point F is such that $OF = 2.OA = 2.OA_0$
- 5.. Draw CD perpendicular to AD and complete rectangle A'OCD.
- 6.. Draw $A_0 E$ Parallel to A'C at point E (or sliding E on OC).
- 7.. Draw $A_o E'$ parallel to OB and complete rectangle $A_o OE E_1$.
- 8.. In F10 (1-2-3), Draw AF intersecting circle (O,OA) at point F_1 and insert after F_1 and on AF segment $F_1 F_2$ equal to $OA \rightarrow F_1 F_2 = OA$.
- 9.. Draw AE intersecting circle (O, OA) at point E_1 and insert after E_1 on AE segment E_1E_2 equal to OA $\rightarrow E_1E_2 = OA = F_1F_2$.

To show that :

- **a**). For all angles equal to 90° Points C and E are at a constant distance $OC = OA \cdot \sqrt{3}$ and $OE = OA_0 \cdot \sqrt{3}$, from vertices O, and also A'C // A_0E .
- b). The geometrical locus of points C, E is the perpendicular CD, EE_1 line on OB.
- c). All equal circles with their center at the vertices O, A and radius OA = AO have the same geometrical locus $EE_1 \perp OE$ for all points A on AD, or All radius of equal circles drawn at the points of intersection with its Centers at the vertices O, A and radius OA = AO lie on CD, EE_1 perpendicular lines.
- d). Angle $< D_1 OA$ is always equal to 90° and angle AOB is created by rotation of the right-angled triangle AOD₁ through vertex O.



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

- e). Angle < AOB is created in two ways, by constructing circle (O, $OA = OA_0$) and by sliding, of point A₁ on line A₁ D Parallel to OB from point A₁, to A.
- **f**). Angle < AOB is created in two ways, either by constructing circle (O, OA = OA₀) and by sliding, of point A' on line A' D Parallel to OB from point A', to A, or on OA circle.
- **g**). The rotation of lines AE, AF (*minimum and maximum edge positions*) on circle (O,OA = OA₀) from point E to point F which lines intersect circle (O,OA) at the edge points E_1 , F_1 respectively, **fixes a point** G on line EF and a point G_1 common to line AG and to the circle (O,OA) such that $GG_1 = OA$.

Proof:

a)...F.9.(1 - 2 - 4)

Let OA be one-dimensional Unit perpendicular to OB such that angle $< AOB = AOC = 90^{\circ}$ Draw the equal circles (O,OA), (A, AO) and let points A₁, A₂ be the points of intersection. Produce AA₁ to C on OB axis such that A₁C = AA₁.

Since triangle AOA₁ has all sides equal to OA $(AA_1 = AO = OA_1)$ then it is an equilateral triangle and angle $< A_1AO = 60^{\circ}$

Since Angle < CAO = $60 \circ$ and AC = 2. OA then triangle ACO is right-angled and since angle < AOC = $90\circ$, so the angle ACO = $30\circ$.

Complete rectangle AOCD, and angle < ADO $= 180 - 90 - 60 = 30 \circ = ACO = 90 \circ / 3 = 30 \circ$ From Pythagoras theorem AC² = AO² + OC² or OC² $= 4.OA^2 - OA^2 = 3.OA^2$ and

 $OC = OA \cdot \sqrt{3}.$

For $OA = OA_0$ then $A_0E = 2$. OA_0 and $OE = OA_0 \cdot \sqrt{3}$.

Since $OC / OE = OA / OA_0 \rightarrow$ then line CA' is parallel to EA_0 .

b).. F.9.(3-4)

Triangle OAA₁ is isosceles, therefore angle $< A_1 AO = 60^\circ$. Since $A_1D_1 = A_1O$, triangle D_1A_1O is isosceles and since angle $< OA_1A = 60^\circ$, therefore angle $< OD_1A = 30^\circ \text{ or }$, Since $A_1A = A_1D_1$ and angle $< A_1AO = 60^\circ$ then triangle AOD₁ is also right-angle triangle and angles $< D_1OA = 90^\circ$, $< OD_1A = 30^\circ$.

Since circle of diameter $D_1 A$ passes through point O and also through the foot of the perpendicular from point D_1 to AD, and since also ODA = ODA' = 30, then this foot point coincides with point D, therefore the locus of point C is the perpendicular CD_1 on OC. For $A A_1 > A_1 D_1$, then D_1 is on the perpendicular $D_1 E$ on OC.

Since the Parallel from point A_1 to OA passes through the middle of OD_1 , and in case where is $AOB = AOC = 90 \circ$ through the middle of AD, then the circle with diameter D_1A passes through point D which is the base point of the perpendicular, i.e.

The geometrical locus of points C, or E, is CD and EE_1 , the perpendiculars on OB. c).. F.9.(3-4)

Since $A_1A = A_1D_1$ and angle $\langle A_1AO = 60^\circ$ then triangle AOD_1 is a right - angle triangle and angle $\langle D_1OA = 90^\circ$. Since angle $\langle AD_1O$ is always equal to 30° and angle $\langle D_1OA = 90^\circ$, therefore angle $\langle AOB$ is created by the rotation of the right - angled triangle A-O-D₁ through vertex O.



F.10. \rightarrow The three cases of the Sliding segment $OA = F_1F_2 = E_1 E_2$ between a line OB and a circle (O,OA) between the Maxima - Edge cases F_1F , E_1E or between F, E points.

On AF, AE lines of F.10 exists :

 $\begin{array}{ll} F \ F_1 > OA & GG_1 = OA \ , \ A_1 E = OA_0 & E \ E_1 \ < \ OA \\ F_2 \ F_1 = OA & A_1 E = OA_0 \ , \ EA_1 = OA & E_1 \ E_2 = \ OA \\ \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{d} \end{array} \\ \textbf{d} \ .. \ F.9\mbox{-}(4)\ - \ (\ F.10\ - \ F.11) \end{array}$

Let point **G** be sliding on OB between points **E** and **F** where lines AE, AG, AF intersect circle (O, OA) at the points E_1, G_1, F_1 respectively where then exists $FF_1 > OA$, $GG_1 = OA$, $EE_1 < OA$. *Points E*, *F* are the limiting points of rotation of lines AE, AF (because then for angle < AOB = 90 ° \rightarrow A₁ C = A₁ A = OA, A₁A₀ = A₁E = OA₀ and for angle < AOB = 0° \rightarrow OF = 2.OA). Exists also $E_1 E_2 = OA$, $F_2 F_1 = OA$ and point G1 common to circle (O, OA) and on line AG such that $GG_1 = OA$.

AE Oscillating to AF passes through AG so that $GG_1 = OA$ and point G on sector EF. When point G_1 of line AG is moving (rotated) on circle (E_2 , $E_2E_1 = OA$) and Point G_1 of G_1G is stretched on circle (O, OA), then $G_1G \neq OA$.

A position of point G_1 is such that , when $G G_1 = OA$ point G lies on line EF.

When point G_1 of line AG is moving (rotated) on circle (F_2 , F_2 $F_1 = OA$) and point G_1 of G_1G is stretched on circle (O, OA) then length $G_1G \neq OA$.

A position of point G_1 is such that, when $G_1 = OA$ point G lies on line EF without stretching. For both opposite motions there is only one position where point G lies on line OB and is not needed point G_1 of GA to be stretched on circle (O, OA).

This position happens at the common point, P, of the two circles which is their point of intersection . At this point, P, exists only rotation and is not needed G_1 of GA to be stretched on circle (O, OA) so that point G to lie on line EF.

This means that point P lies on the circle $(G, GG_1 = OA)$, or GP = OA.

Point G_1 in angle < BOA is verged through two different and opposite motions, i.e.

1. From point A' to point A₀ where *is done a parallel translation* of CA' to the new position EA_0 , *this is for all angles equal to 90* °, and from this position to the new position EA by rotating EA_0 to the new position EA having always the distance $E_1E_2 = OA$.

This motion is taking place on a circle of center E_1 and radius $E_1 E_2$.

2.. From point F, where OF = 2. OA, is done a parallel translation of A'F to FA_0 , and from this position to the new position FA by rotating FA_0 to FA having always the distance $F_1 F_2 = OA$



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

The two motions coexist, *limit*, again on a point **P** which is the point of intersection of the circles (E_2 , $E_2 E_1 = OA$) and (F_2 , $F_2 F_1 = OA$).

f) ...(F.9 .3 - 4) - (F.10 - 3)

Remarks – Conclusions :

1. Point E_1 is common of line AE and circle (O, OA) and point E_2 is on line AE such that $E_1E_2 = OA$ and exists $E E_1 < E_2E_1$. Length $E_1E_2 = OA$ is stretched *moves* on EA so that point E_2 is on EF. Circle (E, E $E_1 < E_2E_1 = OA$) cuts circle (E_2 , $E_2E_1 = OA$) at point E_1 . There is a point G_1 on circle (O, OA) such that $G_1G = OA$, *where point G is on EF*, *and is not needed* G_1G *to be stretched* on GA where then, circle (G, G $G_1 = OA$) cuts circle (E_2 , $E_2E_1 = OA$) at a point P.

2.. Point F_1 is common of line AF and circle (O,OA) and point F_2 is on line AF such that $F_1 F_2 = OA$ and exists $F F_1 > F_2 F_1$. Segment $F_1 F_2 = OA$ is stretched, moves on FA so that point F_2 is on FE. Circle (F, $F F_1 > F_2 F_1 = OA$) cuts circle (F_2 , $F_2 F_1 = OA$) at point F_1 . There is a point G_1 on circle (O,OA) such that $G_1G = OA$, where point G is on FE, and is not needed G_1G to be stretched on OB where then circle (G, $G_1 = OA$) cuts circle (F_2 , $F_2 F_1 = OA$) at a point P.

- 3.. When point G is at such position on EF that $GG_1 = OA$, then point G must be at A COMMON, to the three lines EE_1 , GG_1 , FF_1 , and also to the three circles $(E_2, E_2E_1 = OA)$, $(G, GG_1 = OA)$, $(F_2, F_2F_1 = OA)$ This is possible at the common point, P, of Intersection of circle $(E_2, E_2E_1 = OA)$ and $(F_2, F_2F_1 = OA)$ and since GG_1 is equal to OA without GG_1 be stretched on GA, then also GP = OA.
- 4.. In additional , for point G_1 :
- **a.** Point G_1 , from point E_1 , moving on circle (E_2 , $E_2 E_1 = OA$) formulates Segment A E_1E such that $E_1E = G_1 G < OA$, for G moving on line GA. There is a point on circle (E_2 , $E_2 E_1 = OA$) such that $G G_1 = OA$.
- **b.** Point G_1 , from point F_1 , moving on circle (F_2 , $F_2F_1 = OA$) formulates AF_1F such that $F_1F = GG_1 > OA$, for G moving on line GA.

There is a point on circle $(F_2, F_2F_1 = OA)$ such that $GG_1 = OA$.

- **c.** Since for both Opposite motions there is a point on the two circles that makes $GG_1 = OA$ then point say P, is common to the two circles.
- **d.** Since for both motions at point P exists $GG_1 = OA$ then circle (G, $GG_1 = OA$) passes through point P, and since point P is common to the three circles, then fixing point P as the common to the two circles (E_2 , $E_2 E_1 = OA$), (F_2 , $F_2 F_1 = OA$), then point G is found as the point of intersection of circle (P, PG = OA) and line EF. This means that the common point P of the three circles is constant to point P of the three circles and is constant to this motion.
- e.. Since , happens also the motion of a constant Segment on a line and a circle , then it is Extrema Method of the moving Segment as stated . The method may be used for part or Blocked figures either sliding or rotating . In our case , the Initial triangle forming 1/3 angle is formulating in all cases the common pole ,P, of the three circles .

From all above the geometrical trisection of any angle is as follows,



International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science





F. 11 \rightarrow The extrema Geometrical method of the Trisection of any angle < AOB

- In F.11- (1) Basic triangle AO $D_1 = OAE$ defines point E such that angle $\langle AEO = 30 \circ = AOB/3 \rangle$.
- In F.11- (2) Basic triangle AO D_1 defines D_1 point such that angle A $D_1O = 30 = AOB/3$.
- In F.11- (3) Basic triangle AO D_1 defines E' point such that angle AE'O = 30 °, and it is the Extrema Case for angles AOB = 0 °, B'OB = 180 °

In F.11- (4) The two Edge cases (1),(3) issue for any angle AOB= φ° where $F_1F_2 = OA < F_1F$, $E_1E_2 = OA < E_1E$

- In F.11- (5) The two circles with centers F_1 , E_1 correspond to Edge cases (1),(3) issuing for any angle AOB = $\varphi =$
- In F.11- (6) The three circles $[F_2, F_2F_1 = OA]$, $[E_2, E_2E_1 = OA]$, $[G, GG_1 = OA = GP]$ corresponding to Edge cases (1), (3) define the common axis P P' of all movable poles and point, P, of this rotational system, such that $GG_1 = OA$ is stretched on (O,OA) circle and OB line, of any angle $AOB = \varphi \Box$.



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons .



F.11-A. \rightarrow Presentation of the Trisection Method on Dr. Geo - Machine Macro –constructions.

In F.11- A From Initial position of triangle AOB, where angle < AOB = 90° and Segment A₁C = OA, to the Final position of triangle, where angle < AOB = BOB = 0° and AOB = B'OB = 180°, through the Extrema position between edge - cases of triangle ZOD where AOB = φ ° and GG₁ = GP = OA.

3.1. The steps of Trisection of any angle $\langle AOB = 90 \circ \rightarrow 0 \circ F.11$ -[1-6]

- 1.. Draw circles (O, OA = OB), (A, AO), intersected at $A_1 \equiv Z_1$ point.
- 2.. Draw $OA_0 \perp OB$ where point A_0 is on the circle (O,OA) and on a general circle (Z, D-E = 2. OA). The circle (O,OD-E) intersects line OB at the Edge point E.
- 3.. Fix Edge point F on line OB such that \rightarrow OF = 2. OA
- 4.. Draw lines AF, AE intersecting circle (O,OA) at points F₁, E₁ respectively.
- 5.. On lines $F_1 A$, $E_1 A$ fix points F_2 , E_2 such that $F_1 F_2 = OA$ and $E_1 E_2 = OA$.
- 6.. Draw circles (F_2 , $F_2 F_1 = OA$), (E_2 , $E_2 E_1 = OA$) and fix point P as their common point of intersection.
- 7.. Draw circle (P, PG = OA) intersecting line OB at point G and draw line GA intersecting circle (O, OA) at point G_1 , *Then Segment* $GG_1 = OA$, *and angle* < AOB = 3. AGB. Proof :
- 1. Since point P is common to circles $(F_2, F_2 F_1 = OA)$, $(E_2, E_2 E_1 = OA)$, then $PG = PF_2 = PE_2 = OA$ and line AG between AE, AF intersects circle (O,OA) at the point G_1 such that $GG_1 = OA$. (F10.1 -2) (F.11-5)
- 2. Since point G_1 is on the circle (O, OA) and since $G G_1 = OA$ then triangle $G G_1O$ is isosceles and angle $\langle AGO = G_1OG$.
- 3. The external angle of triangle $\Delta = GG_1O$ is $\langle AG_1O = AGO + G_1OG = 2$. AGO
- 4. The external angle of triangle GOA is angle < AOB = AGO + OAG = 3.AGO.

Therefore angle $\langle AGB = (1/3) . (AOB) (0.\epsilon.\delta.)$

A General Analysis :

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons

Since angle < D_1OA is always equal to 90° then angle AOB is created by rotation of the right-angled triangle AOD₁ through vertex O. The circle (A, AO = A₁O) and triangle AOD₁ consists the geometrical Mechanism which creates the maxima at positions from , AOE, to A₀OE and to BOF` triangles, on (O, OE = $\sqrt{3}$.OA), (O, OF = 2.OA) circles. F.11- (5)

In (1) Angle AOB = 90°, AE = 2.OA = OF, and point A₁ common to circles (O, OA), (A, AO) define point E on OB line such that $A_1E = OA$. This happens for the extrema angle AOB = 90°. In (2) Angle is, 0 < AOB < 90°, $AD_1 = 2.OA$ and point A₁ common to circles (O,OA), (A,AO) defines point D₁ on (O,OE = $\sqrt{3.OA}$) circle such that $A_1D_1 = OA$ and on (O, OF = 2.OA) circle at any point D_f.

In (3) Angle $\langle AOB = 0 \text{ or } B \circ OB = 180^{\circ}$, $AE = 2.OA = BB \circ and point A_1 \text{ common to} (O, OA)$, (A, AO) circles define point E on OA_0 line such that $E \equiv E \circ$, where then point $D \equiv F \circ$. This happens for the extrema angle $\langle AOB = 0 \text{ or } 90^{\circ}$.

In (4-5) where angle is , $0 < AOB < 90^{\circ}$, and Segments $F_1 F_2 = E_1 E_2 = OA$ the equal circles

(F_2 , $F_2 F_1 = OA$), (E_2 , $E_2 E_1 = OA$) define the common point P.

Since this geometrical formulation exists on Extrema edge angles 0 and 90° , then this point is constant to this formulation , and this point as center of a radius OA circle defines the extrema geometrical locus on it. All Poles are movable except the common Pole line PP` representing the Extrema case of this changeable system .

In (6) Since angle AOB is , $0 \rightarrow 90^{\circ}$, and point P is constant , *and this because extrema circle* (P, PG = OA) *where* G *on* OB *line* , then is defining (G, GG₁) circle on GA segment such that point G₁ , *tobe the common point of segment* AG *and to circles* (O, OA) , (G, GG₁).

The Physical notion of the Trisection :

This problem follows the two dimensional logic, where , the geometrical magnitudes and their unique circle, have a linear relation (continuous analogy) in all Spaces as, in one in two in three dimensions, and as this happens to Compatible Coordinate Systems, happens also in Circle-arcs.

The Compact-Logic-Space-Layer exists in Units, (*The case of 90 \circ angle*), where then we may find a new machine that produces the 1/3 of angles as in F.11. [11]

Since angles can be produced from any monad OB, and this because monad can formulate a circle of radius OB, and any point A on circle can then formulate angle < AOB, therefore the logic of continuous analogy of monads in all spaces issues also and on OA radius equal to OB.

Application in Physics :

According to math theory of Elasticity, the total work on free edges where there is no shear becomes from Principal stresses only and work is $W = \frac{\sigma^2}{2E} + \frac{\tau^2}{2G}$ and the analogous Energy in monads

 $W = \frac{1}{2} [\epsilon E^2 + \mu H^2]$ spread as the *First Harmonic* and equal to outer Spin $\overline{S} = E / W = 2\pi r.c$.

Equation of Planck's Energy $E = h.f = (h/\lambda).c$ is equal to the Isochromatic pattern fringe-order in monad as $\rightarrow \sigma 1-\sigma 2=(a/d).N=(a/d)nf1=(8\pi r^2/3).n.f1$. where n = the order of isochromatic , *a number*, f1= the frequency of Fundamental-Harmonic.

Since total Energy in cave $(wr)^2$ is dependent on frequency only, and stored in the Fundamental and the first Six Harmonics, so the summations bands of these Seven Isochromatic Quantized interference fringe-order-patterns, is total energy E in the same cave $(wr)^2$ as,

$$E = Spin.w = \overline{S}.w = (h/2\pi).2\pi f = \left[\frac{8\pi r^2 f 1}{3}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right] = \left[\frac{4\pi r^2 f 1}{3}\right] n \cdot (n+1) \quad \dots \dots (a)$$



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

When stress ($\sigma 1-\sigma 2$) go up then , $\mathbf{n} = order$ fringe defining Energy goes up also ,and the colors cycle through a more or less repeating pattern and the Intensity of the colors diminishes . Since phase $\varphi = \mathbf{k} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w} \mathbf{t} = Spatial$ and *Time Oscillation* dependence ,

For n = 1, *Energy in the First Harmonic* is, $E = 2\pi r.c = [\frac{4\pi r^2}{3}].f1.[1]$, and

for n = 2 Energy in the First and Second Isochromatic Harmonic is, $E = \left[\frac{4\pi r^2}{2}\right]$.f1.[3] in threes,

and φ is trisected with Energy-Bunched variation f2, i.e.

Energy stored in a homogeneous *resonance*, is spread in the First of Seven-Harmonics beginning from the Fundamental and after the filling with frequency f1, follows the Second-Harmonic.

In Second-Harmonic energy as frequency is doubled and this because of sufficient keeping homogeneously in Spatial dependence Quantity $kx = (2\pi/\lambda).x$ which is in threes, meaning that, \rightarrow *Dipole – energy* is Spatially-trisected in Space -Quantity Quanta the Spin = $h/2\pi$ as the angle ϕ , of phase $\phi=kx-wt=(2\pi/\lambda).x$, and Bisected by the Energy-Quantity Quanta as in an RLC circuit. [49].

The Physical notion of the Regular Polygons :

According to Archimedes, *Geometric means*, speaking of numbers, *whether solid or square*, observes that, Between Plane One - mean suffices, but to connect two solids Two – means are necessary. This denotes that between two square numbers there is one mean proportional number and between two cubes there are two means proportional numbers.

It was proved that Odd numbers become from any two consequent Even numbers, so the sum of two irrationals may be either rational or irrational.

The *Cattle – Problem* of Archimedes may be further analysed reaching to equations of any degree. It was shown in pages 43 - 49 that , all n-Regular Polygons End to equations of n-degree Segment , by finding a suitable value of the Segment , x , That is we have in the general case to solve one or two equations of the form :

 $A \, . \, R^{\,0} . \, x^{\,n} \, - \, B \, . \, R^{\,2} . \, x^{\,n-2} \, + \, C \, . \, R^{\,n-6} . \, x^{\,3} \, - \, D \, . \, R^{\,n-4} . \, x^{\,2} \, + \, E \, . \, R^{\,n-2} . \, x^{1} \, - \, F \, . \, R^{\,n} . \, x^{0} \, = \, 0$

The Presented Geometrical method is the solution of the above equation in the general case .

4. The Parallel Postulate, is not an Axiom, is a Theorem.

The Parallel Postulate. F.13

General : Axiom or Postulate is a statement checked if it is true and is ascertained with logic (the experiences of nature as objective reality).

Theorem or Proposition is a non-main statement requiring a proof based on earlier determined logical properties.

Definition is an initial notion without any sensible definition given to other notions.

Definitions, Propositions or Postulates created Euclid geometry using the geometrical logic which is that of nature, the logic of the objective reality.

Using the same elements it is possible to create many other geometries but the true uniting element is the before refereed.

4.1. The First Definitions (Dn) = (D), of Terms in Geometry but the true uniting,

- D1: A point is that which has no part (Position).
- D2: A line is a breathless length (for straight line, the whole is equal to the parts).
- D3: The extremities of lines are points (equation).
- D4: A straight line lies equally with respect to the points on itself (identity).
- D : A midpoint C divides a segment \overrightarrow{AB} (of a straight line) in two. $\overrightarrow{CA} = \overrightarrow{CB}$ any point C divides all straight lines through this in two.
- D : A straight line AB divides all planes through this in two.
- D: A plane ABC divides all spaces through this

in two.

- **4.2.** Common Notions (Cn) = (CN)
 - Cn1: Things which equal the same thing also equal one another.
 - Cn2: If equals are added to equals, then the wholes are equal.
 - Cn3: If equals are subtracted from equals, then the remainders are equal.

Cn4: Things which coincide with one another, equal one another.

Cn5: The whole is greater than the part.

4.3. The Five Postulates $(\mathbf{Pn}) = (\mathbf{P})$ for Construction

- P1.. To draw a straight line from any point A to any other point B.
- P2.. To produce a finite straight line AB continuously in a straight line.
- P3.. To describe a circle with any center and distance. P1, P2 are unique.
- P4.. That, all right angles are equal to each other.
- P5.. That, if a straight line falling on two straight lines make the interior angles on the same side less than two right angles, if produced indefinitely, meet on that side on which are the angles less than the two right angles, or (for three points on a plane). Three points consist a Plane.
- P5a. The same is plane's postulate which states that, from any point M, not on a straight line AB, only one line MM' can be drawn parallel to AB.

Since a straight line passes through two points only and because point M is the third, then the parallel postulate it is valid on a plane (three points only).

AB is a straight line through points \hat{A} , \hat{B} , $\hat{A}\hat{B}$ is also the measurable line segment of line AB, and M any other point. When MA+MB > AB, then point M is not on line AB. (differently if MA+MB = AB, then this answers the question of why any line contains at least two points),

i.e. for any point M on line AB where is holding

MA+MB = AB, meaning that line segments MA,MB coincide on AB, is thus proved from the other axioms and so D2 is not an axiom. \rightarrow

To prove that, one and only one line MM' can be drawn parallel to AB.



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry



F.12. \rightarrow In three points (in a Plane).

4.4. The Process in order to prove the above Axiom is necessary to show : F.13,

- **a.** The parallel to AB is the locus of all points at a constant distance h from the line AB, and for point M is MA₁,
- **b.** The locus of all these points is a straight line.



F.13. \rightarrow The Parallel Method

Step 1

Draw the circle (M, MA) be joined meeting line AB in C. Since MA = MC, point M is on midperpendicular of AC. Let A₁ be the midpoint of AC, (it is A₁A+A₁C = AC because A₁ is on the straight line AC). Triangles MAA₁, MCA₁ are equal because the three sides are equal, therefore angle < MA₁A = MA₁C (CN1) and since the sum of the two angles < MA₁A+MA₁C = 180° (CN2, 6D) then angle < MA₁A = MA₁C = 90°.(P4) so, MA₁ is the minimum fixed distance **h** of point M to AC.

Step 2

Let B_1 be the midpoint of CB, (it is $B_1C+B_1B = CB$ because B_1 is on the straight line CB) and Draw $B_1M' = h$ equal to A_1M on the mid-perpendicular from point B_1 to CB. Draw the circle (M', M'B = M'C) intersecting the circle (M, MA = MC) at point D.(P3)

Since M'C = M'B, point M' lies on mid- perpendicular of CB. (CN1)

Since M'C = M'D, point M' lies on mid-perpendicular of CD. (CN1) Since MC = MD, point M lies on mid-perpendicular of CD. (CN1) Because points M and M' lie on the same mid-perpendicular (This mid - perpendicular is drawn from point C' to CD and it is the midpoint of CD) and because only one line MM' passes through points M, M 'then line MM' coincides with this mid-perpendicular (CN4).

Step 3

Draw the perpendicular of CD at point C'. (P3, P1)

- a..Because $MA_1 \perp AC$ and also $MC' \perp CD$ then angle $< A_1MC' = A_1CC'$. (Cn 2,Cn3,E.I.15) Because $M'B_1 \perp CB$ and also $M'C' \perp CD$ then angle $< B_1M'C' = B_1CC'$. (Cn2, Cn3, E.I.15)
- b..The sum of angles $A_1CC' + B_1CC' = 180^\circ = A_1MC' + B_1M'C'$. (6.D), and since Point C' lies on straight line MM', therefore the sum of angles in shape $A_1B_1M'M$ are $< MA_1B_1 + A_1B_1M' + [B_1M'M + M'MA_1] = 90^\circ + 90^\circ + 180^\circ = 360^\circ$ (Cn2), i.e. The sum of angles in a Quadrilateral is 360° and in Rectangle all equal to 90° . (m)
- c..The right-angled triangles MA_1B_1 , $M'B_1A_1$ are equal because $A_1M = B_1M'$ and A_1B_1 common, therefore side $A_1M' = B_1M$ (Cn1). Triangles $A_1MM', B_1M'M$ are equal because have the three sides equal each other, therefore angle $< A_1MM' = B_1M'M$, and since their sum is 180° as before (6D), so angle $< A_1MM' = B_1M'M = 90^\circ$ (Cn2).
- d.. Since angle $< A_1MM' = A_1CC'$ and also angle $< B_1M'M = B_1CC'$ (P4), therefore the three quadrilaterals $A_1CC'M$, $B_1CC'M'$, $A_1B_1M'M$ are Rectangles (CN3). From the above three rectangles and because all points (M, M' and C') equidistant from AB, this means that C'C is also the minimum equal distance of point C' to line AB or , $h = MA_1 = M'B_1 = CD / 2 = C'C$ (Cn1) Namely , line MM' is perpendicular to segment CD at point C' and this line coincides with the mid-perpendicular of CD at this point C' and points M, M', C' are on line MM'. Point C' equidistant ,h, from line AB , as it is for points M, M', so the locus of the three points is the straight line MM', so the two demands are satisfied , ($h = C'C = MA_1 = M'B_1$ and also C'C \perp AB , MA₁ \perp AB, M'B₁ \perp AB) . (o.ɛ.\delta.) –(q.e.d)
- e.. The right-angle triangles A_1CM , MCC' are equal because side $MA_1 = C'C$ and MC common so angle $< A_1CM = C'MC$, and the Sum of angles $C'MC + MCB_1 = A_1CM + MCB_1 = 180^{\circ}$

F.13-A. \rightarrow Presentation of the Parallel Method on Dr. Geo - Machine Macro – Constructions .

- a.. The three Points A, B, M consist a Plane and so this Proved Theorem exist only in plane .
- b.. Points A, B consist a Line and this because exists postulate P1.
- c.. Point M is not on A B line and this because when segment MA+MB > AB then point M is not on line AB according to *Markos* definition.
- d.. When Point M is on AB line, and this because segment MA+ MB = AB then point M being on line AB is an Extrema case, and then formulates infinite Parallel lines coinciding with AB line in the Infinite (∞) Planes. All for the extrema Geometry cases in [44-46].





The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

4.5 The Succession of Proofs :

- 1.. Draw the circle (M , MA) be joined meeting line AB in C and let A₁, B₁ be the midpoint of CA, CB.
- 2.. On mid-perpendicular B1M' find point M' such that $M'B1 = MA_1$, and draw the circle (M', M'B = M'C) intersecting the circle (M, MA = MC) at point D.
- 3.. Draw mid-perpendicular of CD at point C'.
- 4..To show that line MM' is a straight line passing through point C 'and it is such that $MA_1 = M'B_1 = C'C = h$, i.e. a constant distance , h , from line AB or , also The Sum of angles $C'MC + MCB_1 = A_1CM + MCB_1 = 180$ °

Proofed Succession

- 1.. The mid-perpendicular of CD passes through points M , M '.
- **2..** Angle $< A_1MC' = A_1MM' = A_1CC'$, Angle $< B_1M'C' = B_1M'M = B_1CC' < A_1MC' = A_1CC'$ because their sides are perpendicular among them i.e. $MA_1 \perp CA$, $MC' \perp CC'$.
- **a.** In case $< A_1MM' + A_1CC' = 180^\circ$ and $B_1M'M + B_1CC' = 180^\circ$ then $< A_1MM' = 180^\circ A_1CC'$, $B_1M'M = 180^\circ - B_1CC'$, and by summation $< A_1MM' + B_1M'M = 360^\circ - A_1CC' - B_1CC'$ or Sum of angles $< A_1MM' + B_1M'M = 360 - (A_1CC' + B_1CC') = 360 - 180^\circ = 180^\circ$
- **3.** The sum of angles $A_1MM' + B_1M'M = 180^\circ$ because the equal sum of angles $A_1CC' + B_1CC' = 180^\circ$, so the sum of angles in quadrilateral MA₁B₁M' is equal to 360°.
- **4..** The right-angled triangles MA_1B_1 , $M'B_1A_1$ are equal, so diagonal $MB_1 = M'A_1$ and since triangles A_1MM' , $B_1M'M$ are equal, then angle $A_1MM' = B_1M'M$ and since their sum is 180 °, therefore angle $< A_1MM' = MM'B_1 = M'B_1A_1 = B_1A_1M = 90$ °
- **5.** Since angle $A_1CC' = B_1CC' = 90^\circ$, then quadrilaterals $A_1CC'M$, $B_1CC'M'$ are rectangles and for the three rectangles MA₁CC', CB₁M'C', MA₁B₁M' exists MA₁ = M'B₁ = C'C
- 6.. The right-angled triangles MCA₁, MCC' are equal , so angle < A₁CM = C'MC and since the sum of angles < A₁CM + MCB₁ = 180 ° then also C'MC + MCB₁ = 180 ° →

which is the second to show, as this problem has been set at first by Euclid.

All above is a Proof of the Parallel postulate due to the fact that the parallel postulate is dependent of the other four axioms (*now is proved as a theorem from the other four*).

Since line segment AB is common to ∞ Planes and only one Plane is passing through point M (Plane ABM from the three points A, B, M, then the Parallel Postulate is valid for all Spaces which have this common Plane, as Spherical, n-dimensional geometry Spaces. It was proved that it is a necessary logical consequence of the others axioms, agree also with the Properties of physical objects, d + 0 = d, d * 0 = 0, now is possible to decide through mathematical reasoning, that the geometry of the physical universe is Euclidean. Since the essential difference between Euclidean geometry and the two non-Euclidean geometries, Spherical and hyperbolic geometry, is the nature of parallel line, i.e. the parallel postulate so,

<< The consistent System of the – Non - Euclidean geometry - have to decide the direction

of the existing mathematical logic >>.

The above consistency proof is applicable to any line Segment AB on line AB,(segment AB is the first dimensional unit, as $AB = 0 \rightarrow \infty$), from any point M not on line AB, [MA + MB > AB for three points only which consist the Plane. For any point M between points A, B is holding MA+MB = AB i.e. from two points M, A or M, B passes the only one line AB. A line is also continuous (P1) with points and discontinuous with segment AB [14], which is the metric defined by non-Euclidean geometries, and it is 155
A Line Contains at Least Two Points, is Not an Axiom Because is Proved as Theorem

Definition D2 states that for any point M on line AB is holding MA+MB = AB which is equal to < segment MA + segment MB is equal to segment AB > i.e. the two lines MA , MB coincide on line AB and thus this postulate is proved also from the other axioms, thus D2 is not an axiom, which form a system self consistent with its intrinsic real-world meaning. F.12-13.

4.6. Conclusions.

Parallel line.

A line (*two points only*) is not a great circle (*more than three points being in circle's Plane*) so anything built on this logic is a mislead false.

The fact that the sum of angles on any triangle is 180° is springing for the first time, in article

(Rational Figured numbers or Figures) [9].

This admission of two or more than two parallel lines, instead of one of Euclid's, does not proof the truth of the admission. The same to Euclid's also, until the present proved method. Euclidean geometry does not distinguish, Space from time because time exists only in its deviation - Plank's length level - , neither Space from Energy - because Energy exists as quanta on any first dimensional Unit AB, which as above connects the only two fundamental elements of Universe, that of points or Sector = Segment = Monad = Quaternion, and that of Energy. [23]-[39].

The proposed Method in articles, based on the prior four axioms only, proofs, (not using any other admission but a pure geometric logic under the restrictions imposed to seek the solution) that, through point M on any Plane ABM (three points only that are not coinciding and which consist the Plane), passes only one line of which all points equidistant from AB as point M,

i.e. the right is to Euclid Geometry.

The what is needed for conceiving the alterations from Points which are nothing, to segments,

i.e. quantization of points as , *the discreteting = monads = quaternion* , to lines , plane and volume ,

is the acquiring and having Extrema knowledge.

In Euclidean geometry the inner transformations exist as *pure* Points, segments, lines, Planes, Volumes, etc. as the Absolute geometry is (*The Continuity of Points*), automatically transformed through the three basic Moulds (*the three Master moulds and Linear transformations exist as one Quantization*) to Relative external transformations, which exist as the , *material*, Physical world of matter and energy (*Discrete of Monads*). [43-44]

The new Perception connecting the Relativistic Time and Einstein's Energy - is Now Refining Time and Dark –matter Force - clearly proves That Big -Bang have Never been existed.

In [17-45-46] is shown the most important *Extrema Geometrical Mechanism in this Cosmos* which is that of STPL lines, that produces and composite, All the opposite space Points from Spaces to Anti-Spaces and to Sub-Spaces as this is in a Common Circle, *this is the Sub-Space*, to lines into a Cylinder.

This extrema mould is a Transformation, *i.e. a Geometrical Quantization Mechanism*, \rightarrow for the Quantization of Euclidean geometry, *points*,

to the Physical world, to Physics, and is based on the following geometrical logic,

Since Primary point ,A, is nothing and without direction and it is the only Space , and this point to exist , *to be* , at any other point ,B, which is not coinciding with point ,A, then on this couple exists the Principle of Virtual Displacements $W = \int_{A}^{B} P. ds = 0$ or $[ds.(P_A + P_B) = 0]$, i.e. for any ds > 0 Impulse $P = (P_A + P_B) = 0$ and Work [ds . $(P_A + P_B) = 0$], *Therefore*, Each Unit AB = ds > 0, exists by this Inner Impulse (P) where $P_A + P_B = 0$.

The Position and Dimension of all Points which are connected across the Universe and that of Spaces, exists, because of this equilibrium Static Inner Impulse and thus show the Energy-Space continuum.



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

Applying the above logic on any monad = *quaternion* ($s + \overline{v}.\nabla i$), *where*, s = the real part and ($\overline{v}.\nabla i$) the imaginary part of quaternion so,

Thrust of two equal and opposite quaternion is the , Action of these quaternions which is ,

 $\begin{array}{ll} (s+\overline{v}.\nabla i) \cdot (s+\overline{v}.\nabla i) = [s+\overline{v}.\nabla i]^2 = s^2 + |\overline{v}|^2 \cdot \nabla i^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{v}| \cdot \nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{r} \therefore |\cdot\nabla i = s^2 - |\overline{v}|^2 + 2|s|x|\overline{w}.\overline{v}$

of the new quaternion which is , the positive Scalar product , of Space from the same scalar product ,s,s with $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$,, spin and this because of ,w, and which represents the massive , Space , part of quaternion \rightarrow monad .

 $[-s^2] \rightarrow - |\overline{v}|^2 = - |\overline{w}.\overline{r}|^2 = - [|\overline{w}|.|\overline{r}|]^2 = - (w.r)^2 \rightarrow \text{is the always}$, the negative Scalar product , of Antispace from the dot product of $\overline{w}, \overline{r}$ vectors , with $-\frac{1}{2}$, $-\frac{3}{2}$, spin and this because of , - w, and which represents the massive, Anti-Space, part of quaternion \rightarrow monad.

 $[\nabla i] \rightarrow 2.|s| \ge |\overline{w}.\overline{r}| \therefore |.\nabla i| = 2|wr|.|(wr)|.\nabla i = 2.(w.r)^2 \rightarrow is a vector of , the velocity vector product , from the cross product of <math>\overline{w}, \overline{r}$ vectors with double angular velocity term giving 1,3,5, spin and this because of , $\pm w$, in inner structure of monads , and represents the , Energy Quanta , of the Unification of the Space and Anti-Space through the Energy (*Work*) part of quaternion .

A wider analysis is given in articles [40-43].

When a point ,A, is quantized to point ,B, then becomes the line segment $AB = \text{vector } AB = \text{quaternion } [AB] \rightarrow \text{monad}$, and is the closed system ,A B, **and since** also from the law of conservation of energy, *it is the first law of thermodynamics*, which states that the energy of a closed system remains constant, therefore *neither increases nor decreases without interference from outside*, and so the total amount of energy in this closed system , AB, in existence has always been the same, **Then** the Forms that this energy takes are constantly changing, i.e.

The conservation of energy is realized when stored in monads and following the physical laws in E-geometry where then are Material \rightarrow Points, monads, etc \leftarrow This is the unification of this Physical world of, what is called matter and Energy, and that of Euclidean Geometry which are, Points, Segments, Planes and Volumes.

For more in [48].

The three Moulds (i.e. The three Geometrical Mechanism) of Euclidean Geometry which create the METERS of monads and which are, *Linear* for a perpendicular Segment, *Plane* for the Square equal to the circle on Segment, *Space* for the Double Volume of initial volume of the Segment, *(the volume of the sphere is related to Plane which is related to line and which is related to segment)*, **Exist on Segments** in Spaces, Anti-spaces and Sub-spaces.

This is the Euclidean Geometry Quantization to its constituents (i.e. Geometry in its moulds). The analogous happens when E-Geometry is Quantized to Space and Energy monads [48].

METER of Points A is the Point A, the

METER of line is the Segment ds = AB = monad = constant and equal to monad, or to the perpendicular distance of this segment to the set of two parallel lines between points A,B, the METER of Plane is that of circle on Segment = monad and which is that Square equal to the circle, number $,\pi$, the

METER of Volume, $\sqrt[3]{2}$, is that of Cube, on Segment = monad which is equal to the Double Cube of the Segment and Measures all the Spaces, the Anti-spaces and the Subspaces in this cosmos.

Generally is more referred,

- a). There is *not any Paradoxes of the infinite* because is clearly defined what is a Point a cave and what is a Segment .
- b). The Algebra of constructible numbers and number Fields is an Absurd theory, based on

157

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons groundless Axioms as the fields are , and with direction the non-Euclid orientations purposes which must be properly revised .

c). *The Algebra of Transcental numbers has been devised to postpone the Pure geometrical thought*, which is the base of all sciences, by changing the base-field of solutions to Algebra as base. Pythagorians discovered the existence of the incommensurable of the diagonal of a square in relation to its side without giving up the base, which is the geometrical logic.

d). All theories concerning *the Unsolvability of the Special Greek problems are based on Cantor's shady proof*, < *that the totality of All algebraic numbers is denumerable* > and not edifyed on the geometrical basic logic which is the foundations of all Algebra.

The problem of Doubling the cube F.4-A, as that of the Trisection of any angle F.11-A, is a Mechanical problem and could not be seen differently and the proposed Geometrical solutions is clearly exposed to the critic of all readers.

All trials for Squaring the circle are shown in [44] and the set questions will be answerd on the Changeable System of the two Expanding squares *,Translation* [T] *and Rotation* [R].

The solution of Squaring the circle using the Plane Procedure method is now presented in F-1,2, and consists an, *Overthrow*, to all existing theories in Geometry, Physics and Philosophy.

e). Geometry is the base of all sciences and it is the reflective logic from the objective reality, which is nature, to our mind.





F.2-A → A Presentation of the Quadrature Method on Dr. Geo-Machine Macro - constructions. The Inscribed Square CBAO, with Pole-line AOP, rotates through Pole P, to the → Circle-Square CMNH with Pole-line NHP, and to the → Circumscribed Square CAC`P, with Pole-line C`PP = C`P, of the circle E, EO = EC and at position Be, A_eNHP Pole-line formulates square CMNH = π . EO² which is the Squaring of the circle. Number $\pi = \frac{CM^2}{EO^2}$ as in [Fig.2-A]



F.4-A. \rightarrow A Presentation of the Dublication Method on Dr.Geo - Machine Macro - constructions

BCDA Is the In-between Quadrilateral, on (K,KZ) Extrema-circle, and on K_0Z - K_0B Extrema – lines of common poles Z, P, mechanism . *The Initial Quadrilateral* $BC_0D_0A_0$, *with Pole-lines* D_0A_0P , $D_0C_0Z^{\}$, *rotates through Pole* P *and the moveable Pole* $Z^{\}$ *on* $Z^{\}Z$ *arc*, *to the* \rightarrow *Extreme Quadrilateral* BCDA *through Pole-lines* DAP - DCZ *with point* Do, *sliding on* B K_0D_0 *Pole-line*, and then at point D, KD³ = 2.KoA³ which is the Dublication of the Cube .

For any initial segment K_0X issues $(K_0X^{3}) = 2 \cdot (K_0X)^{3}$ where $K_0X^{3} = K_0D \cdot (\frac{K_0X}{K_0A})$ and

$$^{3}\sqrt{2} = (\frac{\text{KoD}}{\text{KoA}}) \cdot (\frac{\text{KoX}}{\text{KoX}}) = [\frac{\text{KoD}}{\text{KoA}}]^{2} = \frac{\text{KoD}^{2}}{\text{KoA}^{2}} \rightarrow \text{as in [Fig7-2]}, \text{ since } (\frac{\text{KoD}}{\text{KoA}}) = (\frac{\text{KoX}}{\text{KoX}})$$



F.11-A. \rightarrow Presentation of the Trisection Method on Dr. Geo - Machine Macro – constructions.

From Initial position of triangle AOC, where angle $AOB = 90^{\circ}$ and Segment $A_1C = OA$, to the Final position of triangle, where angle $AOB = BOB = 0^{\circ}$ and $AOB = B'OB = 180^{\circ}$, through Extrema position between edge- cases of triangle ZOD where $AOB = \varphi^{\circ}$ and at common point P, $PG = OA = GP = G G_1 = G_1O$ and at point G, then $G_1G = G_1O = OA$ which is the Trisection

of angle AOB, and Angle $< AGB = (\frac{1}{3}). AOB$.

The Presentation of the Parallel Method.

the



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

- a.. The three Points A , B , M consist a Plane and so this Theorem exist only in plane .
- b.. Points A, B consist a Line and this because exists postulate [P1].
- c.. Point M is not on A B line and this because when segment MA+MB > AB then point M is not on line AB and $MA_1 = M^B_1$.
- d.. When Point M is on A B line, and this because segment MA+MB = AB then point M being on line AB is an Extrema case, and then formulates infinite Parallel lines coinciding with AB line in the Infinite (∞) Planes through AB.



F.13-A. \rightarrow Presentation of the Parallel Method on Dr. Geo - Machine Macro – Constructions

5.. THE REGULAR POLYGONS :

5.1. THE ALGEBRAIC SOLUTION :

It has been proved by De Moivre's, that the n-th roots on the unit circle AB are represented by the vertices of the Regular n-sided Polygon inscribed in the circle.

It has been proved that the Resemblance Ratio of Areas, of the circumscribed to the inscribed

161

squares (Regular quadrilateral) which is equal to 2, leads to the squaring of the circle. It has been also proved that, Projecting the vertices of the Regular n-Polygon on any tangent of the circle, then the Sum of the heights y_n is equal to n * R.

This is a linear relation between Heights, h, and the radius of the circle, *the monad*.

This property on the circle yields to the Geometrical construction (As Resemblance Ratio of Areas is now controlled), and the Algebraic measuring of the Regular Polygons as follows :

when : R = The radius of the circle, with a random diameter AB.

- a = The side of the Regular **n** -Polygon inscribed in the circle
- n = Number of sides , a , of the n Polygon , then exists :

 $n \cdot R = 2 \cdot R + 2 \cdot y_1 + 2 \cdot y_2 + 2 \cdot y_3 + \dots 2 \cdot y_n$ (n)

the heights y_n are as follows :



THE ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS OF THE REGULAR n-POLYGONS

(a) REGULAR TRIANGLE ③ :

The Equation of the vertices of the Regular Triangle is :

$$3.R = 2.R + \left[\frac{4.R^2 - a^2}{R}\right] >>> R^2 = 4 \cdot R^2 - a^2 >>> a^2 = 3 \cdot R^2$$

The side $a_3 = \mathbf{R} \cdot \sqrt{3}$ (1).

(b) REGULAR QUADRILATERAL (b) (SQUARE) :



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry The Equation of the vertices of the Regular Square gives :

$$4.R = 2.R + \left[\frac{4.R^2 - a^2}{R} \right] \qquad >>> \qquad a^2 = 2 \cdot R^2$$

The side
$$a_4 = R \cdot \sqrt{2}$$
(2)

(c) REGULAR PENTAGON O :

The Equation of the vertices of the Regular Pentagon is :

$$5.R = 2.R + \left[\frac{4.R^2 - a^2}{R}\right] + \left[4.R^4. - 4.R^2.a^2 + a^4.\right] >>> a^4 - 5.R^2.a^2 + 5.R^4 = 0$$

Solving the equation gives :

$$R^{2} = 5. R^{2} - \sqrt{25. R^{4}} -20. R^{4} = 5. R^{2} - R^{2} \cdot \sqrt{5} = [\{5. R^{2} - R^{2} \cdot \sqrt{5}\}/2] = \frac{R^{2}}{2} (5 - \sqrt{5})$$

$$a^{2} = \{R^{2}\} \cdot [10 - 2\sqrt{5}] \implies \qquad \text{The side} \qquad \mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{5} = |\frac{R}{2}|\sqrt{10 - 2.\sqrt{5}} -4^{-1} - \frac{R^{2}}{2} \cdot (10 - 2\sqrt{5}) -4^{-1}$$

(d) REGULAR HEXAGON O :

The Equation of the vertices of the Regular Hexagon is :

$$6.R = 2.R + [4.R^{2} - a^{2}] + [4.R^{4} - 4.R^{2}.a^{2} + a^{4}] >> a^{4} - 5.R^{2}.a^{2} + 4.R^{4} = 0$$

Solving the equation gives :

$$a^{2} = 5. R^{2} - \sqrt{25. R^{4} - 16. R^{4}} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 - 3 \\ -2^{--} \end{bmatrix} R^{2} = R^{2}$$
 The side $a_{6} = R$ (4)
(e) REGULAR HEPTAGON \textcircled{O} :

The Equation of the vertices of the Regular Xeptagon is :

7.
$$R = 2. R + [\frac{4. R^{2} - a^{2}}{R}] + [\frac{4. R^{4} - 4. R^{2} \cdot a^{2} + a^{4}}{R^{3}}] + [\frac{8. R^{6} - 10. R^{4} \cdot a^{2} + 6. R^{2} \cdot a^{4} \cdot a^{-} - a^{6}]_{-}$$

 a^{2}
 $[\frac{----}{2. R^{5}}] \cdot \sqrt{64 \cdot R^{8} - 96 \cdot R^{6} \cdot a^{2} + 52 \cdot R^{4} \cdot a^{4} - 12 \cdot R^{2} \cdot a^{6} + a^{8}}$

Rearranging the terms and solving the equation in the quantity **a**, obtaining :

$$R^{2} \cdot a^{10} - 13 \cdot R^{4} \cdot a^{8} + 63 \cdot R^{6} \cdot a^{6} - 140 \cdot R^{8} \cdot R^{4} + 140 , R^{10} \cdot a^{2} - 49 \cdot R^{12} = 0 \quad \text{for } a^{2} = x$$

163

 $x^{5} - 13 \cdot R^{2} \cdot x^{4} + 63 \cdot R^{4} \cdot x^{3} - 140 \cdot R^{6} \cdot x^{2} + 140 \cdot R^{8} \cdot x^{1} - 49 \cdot R^{10} = 0$ (7)

Solving the 5 nth degree equation the Real roots are the following two :

$$x_1 = R^2 \cdot [3 - \sqrt{2}]$$
, $x_2 = R^2 \cdot [3 + \sqrt{2}]$ which satisfy equation (7)

Having the two roots , the Sum of roots be equal to 13, their combination taken 2,3, 4 at time be equal to 63, -140, 140, the product of roots be equal to -49, then equation (7) is reduced to the third degree equation as :

$$z^{3} - 7. z^{2} + 14. z - 7 = 0$$
(7a)

by setting $\psi = z - (-7/3)$ into (7a), then gives $\psi^3 + \rho \cdot \psi + q = 0$ (7b) where,

Substituting ρ, q then $\psi^3 - (7/3) \cdot \psi + (7/27) = 0 \dots (7b)$

The solution of this third degree equation (7b) is as follows : $\rho = -7/3 \\ q = -7/27$

Discriminant
$$D = q^2 / 4 + \rho^3 / 27 = (49 / 729 . 4) - (343 / 27.27) = - [49 / 108] < 0$$

 $D = -40 / 108 = -i2 (2.212 / 4.272) = -i2 (21 - \sqrt{2} / 2.27) 2 = -i2 (21 - \sqrt{2} / 54) 2$

$$D = -49/108 = 1^{2} (3.21^{2}/4.27^{2}) = 1^{2} (21.\sqrt{3}/2.27)^{2} = 1^{2} (21.\sqrt{3}/54)$$

D =
$$[7 . \sqrt{3} / 18]^2 . i^2$$
 also ${}^2\sqrt{D} = [7 . \sqrt{3}] . i$

Therefore the equation has three real roots :

Substituting $\psi = w - \rho / 3.w = w + 7 / 9.w$ > $\psi^2 = w^2 + 49 / 81.w^2 + 14 / 9$ > $\psi^3 = w^3 + 343/729w^3 + 49 / 27w + 7w / 3$ to (7b) then becomes $w^3 + 343 / 729 w^3 + 7 / 27 = 0$ and for $z = w^3$ z + 343 / 729 z + 7 / 27 = 0

$$z^{2} + 7. z / 27 + 343 / 729 = 0$$
 ...(7c)

The Determinant D < 0 therefore the two quadratic complex roots are as follows :

$$Z_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -7/27 - \sqrt{49/27.27 - 4.343/729} \end{bmatrix} / 2 = \begin{bmatrix} -7/27 - \sqrt{49/27.27.4 - 49.7.4/27.27.4} \end{bmatrix} / 2$$



_

International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science

ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

$$= [-7/27 - \sqrt{(49 - 49.28)/27.27.4}] / 2 = [-7 - 7.\sqrt{-27}] / 27.2$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -7 - 21 \cdot \sqrt{-3} \end{bmatrix} / 3^{3} \cdot 2 \qquad = \begin{bmatrix} -7 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (1 - 3 \cdot i \cdot \sqrt{3}) / 27 = (-7 / 54) \cdot [1 - 3 \cdot i \cdot \sqrt{3}]$$

Z2 = $\begin{bmatrix} -7/2 \cdot (1 - 3 \cdot i \sqrt{3}) \end{bmatrix} / 27 \qquad = (-7 / 54) \cdot [1 + 3 \cdot i \cdot \sqrt{3}]$

The Process is beginning from the last denoting quantities to the first ones :

Root
$$X = \psi - \rho/3 = \psi + 7/3 = \frac{7}{3} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{7}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{$$

The root a_7 of equation (7) equal to the side of the regular Heptagon is $a_7 = \sqrt{X}$

$$\mathbf{a}_{7} = /1 | \frac{3}{2} - \frac{7 \pm 21. \mathbf{i}_{1} \sqrt{3}}{2} + / \frac{7 \pm 21. \mathbf{i}_{2} \sqrt{3} + 7}{2} |$$

$$\frac{7. \sqrt{2}}{2} - \sqrt{2} - \frac{7. \sqrt{3} \pm 21. \mathbf{i}_{2} \sqrt{3} + 7}{2} |$$

$$\frac{7. \sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{7. \sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{7. \sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{7. \sqrt{3} \pm 21. \mathbf{i}_{2} \sqrt{3}}{2} |$$

$$\frac{7. \sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{7. \sqrt{$$

Instead of substituting $\psi = w - \rho / 3.w$ into (7.b), is substituted $\psi = u + v$ and then gives the equation of second degree as $z^2 + 7.z / 27 + 343 / 729 = 0$ which has the two complex roots as follows :

4

$$Z_{1,2} = --- .[-1 \pm 3.i.\sqrt{3}] = --- .[(-7 \pm 21.i.\sqrt{3})/2] \text{ and the side } a_7 \text{ is as :}$$

165

By using the formula of the **real** root of equation (7a) then:

 $a.x^3 + b.x^2 + c.x + d = 0 \implies for a = 1$, b = -7, c = 14, d = -7 then $x^3 - 7$. $x^2 + 14$. x - 7 = 0

$$x = -\frac{b}{3} - \frac{2\frac{1}{3}.(-b^{2} + 3.c)}{[-2b^{3} + 9bc - 27d + \sqrt{4}(-b^{2} + 3c)^{3} + (-2b^{3} + 9bc - 27d)^{2}]} + \frac{[-2b^{3} + 9bc - 27d + \sqrt{4}(-b^{2} + 3c)^{3} + (-2b^{3} + 9bc - 27d)^{2}]}{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{32\frac{1}{3}}{32}$$

Substituting the coefficients to the upper equation becomes :

 $\begin{aligned} -b^{2} + 3.c &= -(-7)^{2} + 3.14 = -49 + 42 = -7 - 2.b^{3} + 9.b.c - 27.d = -2.(-7)^{3} + 9.(-7).14 - 27.(-7) = \\ 686 - 882 + 189 &= -7 \\ 4.(-b^{2} + 3.c)^{3} &= 4(-7)^{3} = -1372(-2.b^{3} + 9.b.c - 27.d)^{2} = (-7)^{2} = 4932^{\frac{1}{3}} = \sqrt[3]{8.4} = 2^{-\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{4} \end{aligned}$

and

$$X = \frac{7}{3} - \frac{\sqrt[3]{2} \cdot (-7)}{3 \frac{3}{\sqrt{-7} + 21. i \cdot \sqrt{3}}} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{-7} + 21. i \cdot \sqrt{3}}{2 \cdot \sqrt{4}}$$

 $a_{7} = \sqrt{X} = /\frac{7}{3} + \frac{7 \cdot \sqrt[3]{2}}{3 \cdot \sqrt[3]{-7 + 21.i} \cdot \sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{-7 + 21.i} \cdot \sqrt{3}}{3 \cdot \sqrt[3]{-7 + 21.i} \cdot \sqrt{3}}$ The Side of the Regular Heptagon (4.a) Further Analysis to the Reader



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

(f) REGULAR OCTAGON (c) :

The equation of vertices of the Regular Octagon is

$$8.R = 2.R + (a^{2}) + (4.R^{2}.a^{2} - a^{4}) + 10.R^{4}.a^{2} - 6.R^{2}.a^{4} + a^{6} + a^{2}.\sqrt{64.R^{8} - 96.R^{6}a^{2} + 52.R^{4}.a^{4} - 12.R^{2}.a^{6} + a^{8}.R^{6} - R^{6}.$$

Rearranging the terms and solving the equation in the quantity **a**, is a 10th degree equation, and by reduction $(x = a^2)$ is find the 5th degree equation as follows:

$$a^{10} - 13.R^2 \cdot a^8 + 62.R^4 \cdot a^6 - 132.R^6 \cdot a^4 + 120.R^8 \cdot a^2 - 36.R^{10} = 0$$

 $x^5 - 13.R^2 \cdot x^4 + 62 \cdot R^4 \cdot x^3 - 132.R^6 \cdot x^2 + 120.R^8 \cdot x^1 - 36 \cdot R^{10} = 0 \dots (a)$

Solving the 5th degree equation is find the known algebraic root of Octagon of side \mathbf{a} as :

The roots are

$$x_{1} = R^{2} \cdot [2 - \sqrt{2}], x_{2} = R^{2} \cdot [3 - \sqrt{3}]$$

$$a_{8} = \sqrt{x} = R \cdot \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}} \qquad(b)$$
Verification :

$$x = a^{2} = R^{2} (2 - \sqrt{2}) \qquad x^{2} = R^{4} \cdot (6 - 4\sqrt{2}) \qquad x^{3} = R^{6} \cdot (20 - 14 \cdot \sqrt{2})$$

$$x^{4} = R^{8} \cdot (68 - 48\sqrt{2}) \qquad x^{5} = R^{10} \cdot (232 - 164\sqrt{2}) \qquad(c)$$
by substitution (c) in (a) becomes :

$$R^{10} \cdot [232 - 164 \cdot \sqrt{2}] = R^{10} \cdot [232 - 164 \cdot \sqrt{2} 2]$$

$$R^{10} \cdot [1240 - 868 \cdot \sqrt{2}] = R^{10} \cdot [1240 - 868 \cdot \sqrt{2}]$$

$$R^{10} \cdot [792 - 528 \cdot \sqrt{2}] = R^{10} \cdot [-792 + 528 \cdot \sqrt{2}]$$

$$R^{10} \cdot [240 - 120 \cdot \sqrt{2}] = R^{10} \cdot [240 - 120 \cdot \sqrt{2}]$$

$$R^{10} \cdot [1712 - 1712 + (1152 - 1152) \cdot \sqrt{2}] = 0$$

$$R^{10} \cdot [0 + 0] = 0 \qquad \text{therefore Side} \qquad a_{8} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}} \dots (b)$$

Markos Georgallides, "The Geometrical solution, of the Regular n-Polygons and the Unsolved Ancient Greek Special Problems," International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science, Volume 2, Issue 3, pp. 120-203, 2017

By summation the heights **y** on any tangent in a circle ,which hold for every **Regular** *n*-sided **Polygon** inscribed in the circle as the next is :

 $n.R = 2.R + 2. y_1 + 2. y_2 + 2. y_3 + \dots 2. y_n$ (n)

the sides a_n of all these Regular n-sided Polygons are Algebraically expressed.

The Geometrical Construction of all Regular Polygons has been proved to be based on the solution of the moving Segment ZD of the figure of page 8 and it is the Master Key of Geometry , because so , the nth degree equations are the vertices of the n-polygon .

In this way, all Regular p - gon are constructible and measureable.

The mathematical reasoning is based on Geometrical logic exclusively alone. As the Resemblance Ratio of Areas on the 4 - gone is equal to 2, the problem of squaring the circle has been approached and solved by extending Euclid logic of Units (*under the restrictions imposed to seek the solution*, *with a ruler and a compass*,) on the unit circle AB, to unknown and now the Geometrical elements. (*the settled age-old question for all these problems is not valid*).

The Regular Heptagon:

According to Heron , the regular Heptagon is equal to six times the equilateral triangle with the same side and is the approximate value of $\sqrt{3}$. R / 2

According to Archimedes, given a straight line AB we mark upon it two points C, D such that $AD.CD = DB^2$ and $CB.DB = AC^2$, without giving the way of marking the two points. According to the Contemporary Method, the side of the Regular Heptagon is the root of a third degree equation with three real roots, one of which is that of the regular Heptagon as analytically presented.

5.2. THE GEOMETRICAL SOLUTION :

a.. The Even and Odd n-Polygons :





ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

 $F.14 \rightarrow$ An Even and an Odd n-Polygon in circle O,OA with diameters , $A_k A_{2k}$, passing from A_{2k} , as vertex (apex) of the Polygone, and diameters , $A_{k+2} M_1$ perpendicular to side A_1A_2 .

Let be the n-Polygon A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , A_k , A_{k+1} , A_{k+2} , A_{2k} , in circle (O, OA₁),

(e) a straight line not intersecting the circle

 $d_1\,$, $d_2\,$, $d_{2k}\,$, $\,$ The heights of the vertices to (e) line ,

 $h_1\,$, $h_2\,$, $h_{2k+1}\,$, The heights of the midpoints $\,M_k\,M_{k+1}\,$ of the sides to (e) line and

OK = h, The height from the center O to (e) line.

To proof :

In any n - Polygon, The Sum, $\Sigma = \Sigma$ (h), of the Heights, d_1 , d_2 , d_{2k} , of the Vertices A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , A_k , A_{k+1} , A_{k+2} , A_{2k} , where n = 2k, from any straight line (e) is equal to

$$\Sigma = \Sigma$$
 (h) = **n** · OK = **n** · h

Proof F.14:

From any vertex A_k , of the n-Polygon draw the diameter ($A_k O A_{2k}$)

a.. When n = 2.k \rightarrow then Vertex A_{2k} belongs to the Polygon b.. When n = 2.k + 1 \rightarrow then line A_kO, is mid-perpendicular to one of the sides.

Case a.. n = 2.k F.14 –(1)

Exists $\frac{n}{2} = \frac{2 k}{2} = k$, and are the pairs of vertices in opposite diameters as in A₁, A_{k+1}, and the, k, Trapezium which has bases the heights of the vertices in opposite diameters from (e) line, and which have height OK = h, as Common Height from their Diameter, i.e.

From trapezium A_1 , A_1 , A_{k+1} , A_{k+1} exists $d_1 + d_{k+1} = 2.h$ and analogically, $d_2 + d_{k+2} = 2.h$ $d_3 + d_{k+3} = 2.h$ $d_k + d_{k+1} = 2.h$

And by Summation,

$$d_1 + d_2 + \dots d_k + d_{2k} = 2.h$$
 or $\Sigma = (2k) \cdot h = n \cdot h = n \cdot OK$ (1)

 $A_1 A_2, A_2 A_3, \dots, A_{2k+1} A_1$, the sides of the Polygon. M_1, M_2, M_{2k+1} , are the midpoints of sides from line (e) $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{2k+1}$ the corresponding heights of midpoints from (e). The diameter from vertex A_1 is perpendicular to side $A_{k+1} A_{k+2}$ which has the midpoint M_{k+1} , while $A_1 M_{k+1} = A_1 O + OM_{k+1} = R + r_n$

In trapezium $A_1 A_1 M_{k+1} M_{k+1}$ with Bases $A_1 A_1$ and $M_{k+1} M_{k+1}$, both perpendicular to (e) line is parallel to height OK = h and bisects $A_1 O = R$ and $OM_{k+1} = r_n$ and from figure, exists

OK = h =
$$\frac{R h_{k+1} + r_n \cdot d_1}{R + r_n}$$
(2)

i.e. Height OK is common to all 2k+1 trapezium which are formed as $A_1 A_1 M_{k+1} M_{k+1}$ and OK Height divides also the corresponding to $A_1 M_{k+1}$ side with the same analogy as $\frac{R}{r_n}$. By summation of 2k+1 parts of (2) which are all equal to OK = h, then from the 2k+1 different Between them trapezium referred exists,

$$(2k+1).h = \frac{R\{h_{k+1}+h_{k+2}+h_{k+1+h_{1}}+\dots+h_{k}\}+r_{n}.\{d_{1+}d_{2}+\dots+d_{2k+1}\}}{R+r_{n}} = n.h = \frac{R.S+r_{n}\Sigma}{R+r_{n}}....(3)$$
where $S = h_{1} + h_{2} + \dots + h_{k} + d_{2k+1}$. Since h_{1} , h_{2} , $\dots + h_{k}$, d_{2k+1} are the diameters of trapezium with bases d_{1} , d_{2} to h_{1} , d_{2} , d_{3} to h_{2} and so on and also d_{2k+1} , d_{1} to h_{2k+1} then $S = \frac{d_{1}+d_{2}}{2} + \frac{d_{2}+d_{3}}{2} + \dots + \frac{d_{2k}+d_{1}}{2} = \frac{2\{d_{1}+d_{2}+\dots+d_{2k+1}\}}{2} = d_{1}+d_{2}+\dots + d_{k}+d_{2k}=\Sigma$ and (3) is $n.h = \frac{R.S+r_{n}\Sigma}{R+r_{n}} = [\frac{R+r_{n}}{R+r_{n}}].\Sigma = \Sigma$ *i.e.* $\Sigma = n.h$ *for all Even and Odd n-Polygons*.

A relation between Heights and the Number of the Regular Polygons.

Case c.. Line (e) is Extrema as Tangential to circle F.14 - (3)

In this case height , h, is equal to radius R and OK = h = R.

Since the Sum of Heights of the vertices in any n-Polygon is $\Sigma = n \cdot h = n \cdot OK$ then $\Sigma = n \cdot R$ This remark helps to construct Geometrically, *i.e. with a Ruler and a Compass*, all the Regular n-Polygons because gives the relation of the Apothem, the radius r_n of the inscribed circle which is related to the Interior angle $w = \{\frac{n-2}{n}\}$. 180°.

i.e. Angles, w, in a circle of radius, R, define the n-Sides, $A_1 A_2$, of the Regular Polygon which in turn define the Sum, Σ , of their heights equal to $\Sigma = n \cdot R$

Since also the relation of radius ,R, between the Circle and ,r, of the Inscribed circle is extended to Heights , this helps Extrema - Method to be applicable on the solution which follows .

b.. The Theory of Means :



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

It was known from Pappus the how to exhibit in a semicircle all three means , namely , The Arithmetic , The Geometric , and The Harmonic mean .

In Fig.15 –(1a) \rightarrow On the diameter AC of circle (O, OA = OC), C is any Pont on OC. Draw BD at right angles to AC meeting the semi - circle in D. Join OD and draw BE perpendicular to OD. Show that DE is the Harmonic - Mean between AB, BC

Proof :

For, since ODB is a right – angled triangle, and BE is perpendicular to OD then,

 $DE: BD = BD: DO \text{ or } DE \text{ . } DO = BD^2 = AB \text{ . } BC$

But DO = $\frac{1}{2}$ (AB + BC) therefore DE .(AB+BC) = 2 . AB.BC . By rearranging

is $AB \cdot (DE - BC) = BC \cdot (AB - DE)$ or AB : BC = (AB - DE) : (DE - BE),

that is, DE is the Harmonic Mean between AB and BC.

In Fig.15 –(1b) → Is given only Segment AB and is defined Harmonic mean AM between AB,MB Draw BC at right angles to AB meeting center C of circle (C, CB = AB/2). Join AC intersecting circle (C, CB) at points D, E where DE = 2.DC = AB. Draw circle (A, AD) intersecting AB at point M. Show that AM is the Harmonic - Mean between AB, MB.

The Proof:

For , since ABC is a right – angled triangle , and DE = AB then , AB² = AD . AE = AD . (AD + DE) = AD . (AD + AB) = AD² + AD . AB therefore , AD² = AB² - AD . AB = AB . (AB – AD) or AD² = AB . MB

That is, AM is the Harmonic Mean in AB Segment, or between AB and MB.

6.. Markos Theory, on Segments and Angles Relation :

In Fig.15 –(2) \rightarrow Two Even ,n, and ,n+2, Regular Polygons on the same circle (O, OA) where ,

n, n+2 are the number of sides differing by an Even number

$$\lambda_a$$
 = The length of a side of $a - [n - Polygon]$.

- λ_b = The length of a side of b [n+2 Polygon].
- $r_a =$ The Apothem (the radius of the inscribed circle of a Polygon).
- $r_{b} =$ The Apothem (the radius of the inscribed circle of b Polygon).
- h_A = The Height of KA_1 side of a Polygon.
- h_{B} = The Height of K B₁ side of b Polygon.
- $\Delta h = h_A h_B$, the difference of heights.
- $\Delta \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_{a} \mathbf{r}_{b}$, the difference of apothems.

S = The sum of interior angles equal to
$$(n-2).180^\circ = (n-2).\pi$$

$$\frac{h_A}{\lambda_a} = \sin \varphi_a$$
, $\frac{h_B}{\lambda_b} = \sin \varphi_b$, $\frac{h}{\lambda} = \varphi$,

$$w_a = \left[\frac{2}{n}\right].180 = \left[\frac{2}{n}\right]\pi$$
, The Interior angle of the $[n - Polygon]$.

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons $w_{b} = \left[\frac{2}{n+2}\right] \cdot 180 = \left[\frac{2}{n+2}\right] \cdot \pi , \text{ The Interior angle of the [n+2 Polygon]}.$ $w_{o} = \text{ An Extrema-angle between } w_{a}, w_{b} \text{ which is related to Heights }.$ $\varphi_{a} = \left[\frac{n-2}{2n}\right] \pi , \text{ The angle of side } \lambda_{a} \text{ to (e) line for Even , n-Polygon.}$ $\varphi_{b} = \left[\frac{n}{2(n+2)}\right] \pi , \text{ The angle of side } \lambda_{b} \text{ to (e) line for Even , n+2 Polygon.}$ $\varphi_{o} = \left[\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}\right] \pi , \text{ The angle of side } \lambda_{o} \text{ to (e) line for Odd - Polygon }.$

Show that, the Extrema-angle, w_0 , and the complementary angle, ϕ_0 , define the In-between Odd-Regular n-Polygons on the same circle (O, OA), by Scanning the, Δh , difference Height, on Circles - Heights - System, and following the Harmonic – Mean of Heights. Proof : Fig.15 – (2,3)

a.. Draw on OK circle, the Tangent at point K, and from K any two Chords KA and KB. From Points A, B draw the Perpendiculars AA`,BB` and the Parallels AA_1,BB_1 , to Tangent (e).

b.. Draw the circle of Heights ($\rm A_1$, $\rm A_1B_1$)

In right angles triangles KAA[`], KBB[`], ratios $\frac{AA^{`}}{KA} = \frac{h_{A}}{\lambda_{a}} = \sin \varphi_{a}$ and $\frac{BB^{`}}{KB} = \frac{h_{B}}{\lambda_{b}} = \sin \varphi_{b}$, where $h_{A} = \lambda_{a} \cdot \sin \varphi_{a}$ and $h_{B} = \lambda_{b} \cdot \sin \varphi_{b}$ and the difference $\Delta h = h_{A} - h_{B}$, or $\Delta h = h_{A} - h_{B} = \lambda_{a} \cdot \sin \varphi_{a} - \lambda_{b} \cdot \sin \varphi_{b}$ (1)

Since between the two sequent Even-Regular-Polygons, n, n+2, exists the Geometric logic of AB



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

Monads , i.e. In a Segment the whole is equal to the parts , and to the two halves , and for angle φ_a to become φ_b is needed to pass through another one angle φ_o , which is between the two , *therefore* ,

- a.. Between the two sequence Even -Regular-Polygons exists another one Regular-Polygon .
- **b..** According to Pappus theory of Proportion and Means , between the three terms $\,h\,,\lambda\,,\phi\,$ exists one of the three means .
- **c.** For since the Sum { it is algebraically n + (n+2) = 2n + 2 = 2.(n+1) } must be an Integer which can be divided by 2.
- d.. Between the two Even -Regular-Polygons exists the only one (n+1) Odd-Regular-Polygon .

For the commonly divergence angle , φ , equation (1) becomes h_{φ} ,

$$\Delta h = h_A - h_B = (\lambda_a - \lambda_b) \cdot \sin \varphi = \Delta \lambda \cdot \sin \varphi \quad \dots \dots \quad (2)$$

or, $h_A - h_B = (2 \cdot r_a \cdot \sin \varphi - 2 \cdot r_b \cdot \sin \varphi) \cdot \sin \varphi = 2 \cdot (r_a - r_b) \cdot \sin^2 \varphi \qquad \text{i.e.}$
$$h_A - h_B = 2 \cdot (r_a - r_b) \cdot \sin^2 \varphi \quad \text{or} \qquad \frac{h_A - h_B}{\sin \varphi} = \frac{\sin \varphi}{1/2(r_a - r_b)} \quad \dots \dots \quad (3)$$

That is,
$$\sin \varphi = \left(\frac{h_{\varphi}}{\lambda_{\varphi}}\right)$$
, is the Harmonic - Mean between $\left[h_{A} - h_{B}\right]$, $\left[\frac{1}{2(r_{a} - r_{b})}\right]$

From (1) $\Delta h = \lambda_{a} \cdot \sin \varphi_{a} - \lambda_{b} \cdot \sin \varphi_{b} = \frac{\lambda_{a}^{2}}{4R^{2}} - \frac{\lambda_{b}^{2}}{4R^{2}} = \frac{1}{4R^{2}} (\lambda_{a}^{2} - \lambda_{a}^{2}) \text{ or}$ $2.R \cdot \Delta h = (\lambda_{a}^{2} - \lambda_{b}^{2}) = [\lambda_{a} - \lambda_{b}] \cdot [\lambda_{a} + \lambda_{b}] \cdot \dots \dots \dots (4)$

Show that, the Extrema-angle, w_0 , formulates the complementary angle, φ , defining the In-between Odd - Regular n-Polygons on the same circle (O, OK), using the Extreme cases of this System { $\Delta h = h_A - h_B = A_1B_1$ }, on the Circles of difference of Height. Analysis :

1. From above relation of Heights and circle radius for two Sequent – Even - Polygons then,

 Σ h_n = n · R = n · OK (a) and Σ h_{n+2} = (n+2) · R = (n+2) · OK (b)

By Subtraction (a), (b)

$$\Sigma h_{n+2} - \Sigma h_n = (n+2) R - n R = 2.R \longrightarrow \text{constant}$$

By Summation (a), (b)

 $\Sigma h_{n+2} + \Sigma h_n = (n+2) R + n R = (n+1) .2.R \rightarrow \text{constant}$

i.e. in the System of Regular - Polygons the , *Interior angles* (w) and Gradient (ϕ),

 $\begin{array}{l} Heights (h) and their differences, \Delta h, -Summation and Subtraction of Heights are \\ Interconnected and Intertwined at the Common Circle [A, \Delta h = h_A - h_B] producing \\ the Common (n+1), Odd - Regular - Polygon . \end{array}$

2.. In Fig.15 - (2-3) \rightarrow For, KA, KB, chords exists $\lambda_a = 2R.\sin \varphi_a$, $\lambda_b = 2R.\sin \varphi_b$,

and their product [POP] = $(\lambda_a \cdot \lambda_a) = 4R^2 [\sin \varphi_a \cdot \sin \varphi_b]$ (5)

The sum of heights for the n and n+2 Even Regular Polygon is $\Sigma h_A = n.R$ and $\Sigma h_B = (n + 2).R$ and the In-between Odd Regular Polygon $\Sigma h_o = (n + 1).R$. The corresponding Interior angles

$$w_{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{n} \end{bmatrix} \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-2}{2.n} \end{bmatrix} \pi$$
$$w_{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{n+2} \end{bmatrix} \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2.(n+2)} \end{bmatrix} \pi$$
$$w_{o} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{o} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2.(n+1)} \end{bmatrix} \pi$$

The Power of point O to circle of diameter Δh is for $\lambda_o = 2R \cdot \sin \varphi_o$, $\lambda'_o = 2R \cdot \sin \varphi_o$, $[POP] = [\lambda_o \cdot \lambda'_o] = 4R^2 \cdot \sin^2 \varphi_o$ (6) and equal to (5) therefore

 $\sin \varphi_{a} \cdot \sin \varphi_{b} = \sin^{2} \varphi_{o} \text{ or } \frac{\sin \varphi_{a}}{\sin \varphi_{o}} = \frac{\sin \varphi_{o}}{\sin \varphi_{b}}$ (7)

i.e. Angle ϕ_0 follows the Harmonic-Mean between angles ϕ_a , ϕ_b on Δh Difference of Heights.

3. Since Product of magnitudes $\lambda_a \cdot \lambda_b = \text{constant}$ and also $(\lambda_a - \lambda_b) \cdot (\lambda_a + \lambda_b) = \text{constant}$, *therefore*, *the Power of any point IN and OUT of the circle of Heights is Constant*, meaning that exists another one Regular – Polygon, between the two Even - Sequence i.e.

The Outer are the two Even-Regular N and N+2 Polygons, and The Inner is the N+1 Odd – Regular Polygon.



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{F.15} \rightarrow \text{ In (1) are shown the two ways for constructing the three Means on One or Two Segments .} \\ \text{ In (2) is shown the Divergency of Sides to Heights of Two n, and (n+2) Even Polygons .} \\ \text{ In (3) is shown the locus of the Two - Circles of Heights (A_1, A_1B_1) and the parallels to (e) .} \\ \text{ to be Extrema case for the two segments KA, and KB} \end{array}$



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry 6.1. Analysis of the Geometrical Construction. Fig. 16 - (3)

The construction of all the *Even* - *Regular* - *Polygons* is possible by dividing the circle (O, OK) in 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14...2n parts as $w_a = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{n} \end{bmatrix} \pi$ and $\varphi_a = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-2}{2n} \end{bmatrix} \pi$, n = 1, 2, 3, ...The construction of all the *Odd* - *Regular* - *Polygons* is possible by Applying the Circles on Heights between the chords of the Even-Sequence of Polygons on $\begin{bmatrix} 2, 4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 4, 6 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 6, 8 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 8, 10 \end{bmatrix} ...$ [(2n) - (2n+2)] as formulas $w_o = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \pi$ and $\varphi_o = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} \end{bmatrix} \pi$ founded from point K.

Case $A \rightarrow Digone$.

Step 1:

Draw from point K, *of any circle* (O, OK), Tangent (e) at K and Chord KA which is the diameter (because diameter of the circle is the Side of the Regular - Digone) and any KB, corresponding to the Even (n) and (n+2) Regular Polygon.

Step 2:

Draw from points A, B, the perpendiculars to (e) and define the difference $\Delta h = h_A - h_B = AB_1$ on diameter KA and Draw circle (A, AB₁) with radius Δh , and line KA intersecting circle at point A₀.

Step 3:

Draw tangents KC , KC $_1$ and chord CC $_1$ intersecting circle (O, OA) at point C.

Step 4:

Draw Chord KC which is the Side of the Regular Odd – (n + 1) - Regular - Polygon on angle ϕ_c



 $F.16 \rightarrow In (1)$ is shown the Rolling of a circle on a straight line and forming the Cycloid . In (2) is shown the Inner - Outer Power of Points, K, O, on circle of AB diameter . In (3) is shown the How and Why KM Segment is the Harmonic-Mean between KA, KB₁.

Proof:

1.. Because triangle A C K is rightangled then AC is perpendicular to KC therefore Segment KC is perpendicular to AC and it is Tangential to circle (A, AB₁).

The same also for KC_1 , which is also tangent to circle (A, AB_1) .

2.. From relations $KA_{o} = KA + AA_{o} = KA + AB_{1}$

$$KB_{1} = KA - AB_{1} = KA - (KA_{o} - KA) = 2. KA - KA_{o}$$
 or,

$$2. KA = KA_{o} + KB_{1} = (h_{A} + \Delta h) + h_{B}$$
 (1) therefore

$$KA = \frac{h_{A} + \Delta h + h_{B}}{2}$$
 (2) The Arithmetic – Mean.

3.. From the Power of point K to circle (A, AB₁) exists [KC]² = [KB₁].[KA₀] therefore

$$\text{KC} = \sqrt{\text{K B}_{1} \cdot \text{K A}_{0}} = \sqrt{[\text{h}_{A} + \Delta\text{h}] \cdot \text{h}_{B}} \dots (3)$$
 The Geometric – Mean

4.. From the right angled triangle A C M exists KM . $KA = KC^2 = (KB_1) \cdot (KA_0)$ or

$$KM = \frac{KA_0.KB_1}{KA} = \left[\frac{KA_0.KB_1}{KA_0 + KB_1} \right] \cdot 2 = \left[\frac{2}{\frac{1}{KA_0} + \frac{1}{KB_1}} \right] \quad \dots \dots (4) \text{ i.e.}$$

KM is the Harmonic - Mean between KA_0 and KB_1 or $(h_A + \Delta h)$, h_B .

For n = 2, then KA is the Side of the Regular - Digone and equal to the diameter of the circle. For n = n+2 = 4, then KB is the Side of the Regular - Pentagon sided on the perpendicular to KA side. Exist $h_A = KA$, $h_B = KO = KB_1$, $\Delta h = AB_1$, and A_3 point coincides with A_2 , and consequence with C point. Parallel line DA₄ coincides with the parallel C C ` line and KC is the Side of the n+1=3, Regular – Trigon on KM = KO + $\frac{\Delta h}{2} = 1,5$. OK.

- Point A is the Vertex and KA is the Side of the Regular Digone.
- Point C is the Vertex and KC is the Side of the Regular Trigon (Triangle).

Point B is the Vertex and KB is the Side of the Regular Tetragon.

In addition, from formula $\Sigma = n \cdot R = 3R = 3.0K$, and since every half is $\frac{3}{2} \cdot OK = 1,5$. OK then Point C is on half Δh , or height $h = KO + \frac{OA}{2}$.

For n = 4, then KA is the Side of the Regular - Tetragon and equal $KX = OK.\sqrt{2}$ chord. For n = n+2 = 6, then KB is the Side of the Regular - Hexagon sided on circle (O, OA). For n = n+1 = 5 then it is the side of the Regular-Pentagon.

The How this is Geometrically achieved follows by the following three methods .

- a.. The [Antiphon Archimedes] Ancient Greek Polygons method .
- b.. The [Euler Savary] Coupler-Curves curvature centers method .

c.. The [Markos] Geometrical, Three-Circles-Method, in Polygons.

6.2. *The Geometrical Construction of ALL Regular Polygons* . Preliminaries : The Coupler Curves .



Geometry :

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

Let A be a point on a Plane System ,S, rolling on the fixed system ,So, as in Fig-17.1

 K_A is the center of curvature, the Instaneous center on the fix system.

P⁻ is the Instaneous center of curvature on the fix curve So (the pole P), (p), (π) are the coupler curves on , S , So

 \vec{u} = The translational velocity of pole P equal to ds/dt = AA`/dt

w = Angular velocity of pole P equal to dr/dt = d(APA)/dt and for d = u/w then,

Euler-Savary equation is $Ex = [1/r_p - 1/R_p] \sin \varphi = 1/d$ (a)

When point P lies on the radius of curvature of Polar path ($\phi = 90$) then $\sin \phi = 1$ and from Fig - 17.2 holds $\rightarrow [1/r_D - 1/R_D] = 1/d$ and issues $r = r_D \cdot \sin \phi$ and $R = R_D \cdot \sin \phi$

i.e. The trajectories of points A on the circumference of circle radius r_{D} , have their center of curvature on circumference of circle of radius R_D .

Motion :

The motion of curves (p), (π) is in Fig -17.3 Let $\overline{v_A}$, $\overline{v_P}$, $\overline{v_{KA}}$, be the velocities of points A, P, K_A to their systems. For system S the curvature center K_A, the Instaneous center, is found from the intersection of A'P' and AP. For system ,So, the curvature center K_{AA}, the Instaneous center of K_A on fixed system (π) is found from the intersection of P'K_{AA}, and PK_A. From the above similar triangle K_AAA', K_APP' exists,

 $(K_AA/PA) = (K_AA^{PA}) = (K_{AA^{A}}A^{PA}) = K_A K_{AA}/P K_{AA} \text{ or } \{K_AA/PA\} = \{K_A K_{AA}/K_{AA}P\}...(b)$

i.e. The Points A , K_{AA} are harmonically divided by the points $\,P$, $K_{A}\,$ and exists ,

 $1/PA + 1/PK_{AA} = 2/PK_{A}$

Inversing the two Systems by considering fixed system ,So, rolling on ,S, as in Fig-17.4 then,

Ex = $\left[\frac{1}{r_A} - \frac{1}{R_A}\right] \sin \varphi_A = 1/d$ and $\left[\frac{1}{r_A} - \frac{1}{R_A}\right] \sin \varphi_A = 1/d$ where in both cases issues, $(PK_A - PA)/(PK_A - PA) = -(PK_A - PA)/(PK_A - PA)$ or $Ex = (1/PA - 1/PK_A) = (1/PK_A - PA) = 1 / d ... (c)$

The Path of the Instaneous-center of curvature , O_A , on (k), (π) coupler envelope curves is proved that, During the rolling of curve (k) of system , S, and the fixed to it envelope (π), then the Instaneous-center of curvature and those of the constant envelope (π), *coincides* to the Instaneouscenter of curvature K_A of (k) as in Fig-17.1

The center D, of a Rolling circle (p) on another circle (π), executes a circular motion with K_D as center which coincides with the center of curvature of the second circle. Because angle φ =90°, then for every point A on (p) exists a center of curvature K_A on AP and C K_p as in Fig-17.2

During the rolling of a circle (p) on (\pi) line, then the corresponding Instaneous-center of curvature K_A of any point A is the common point of intersection of AP produced and the parallel to DP from point C and the Instaneous-center of curvature K_D for point D is in infinite and $\hat{KD} = \infty$. The Euler-Savary equation involves the four points A , P , K_A , K_{AA} lying on the path normal. Equation (b) may be written in the form $PA / AK_{AA} = A K_{AA} / AK_A$ and is recognized that AK_{AA} is the mean proportional between PA and $K_A A$.

The Cubic of Stationary curvature :

Euler-Savary formula apply to the analysis of a mechanism in a given position and vicinity. It gives also the radius of curvature and the center of curvature of a couple-curve. Because couple-curve (Path \leftrightarrow Evolute) is the equilibrium of any moving system , then Complex-plane is involved and the E-S geometrical equations ,

Ex = $(1/PA - 1/PK_A)$ i.e^{i ϕ} = h [1/PA - 1/PK_A] = h. $(\frac{d\phi}{ds})$ and for the homothetic motion (h = 1) then,

Ex =
$$\frac{1}{PA} - \frac{1}{PK_A} = \frac{1}{PK_{AA}} \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds}\right)$$
(d)

Equation (d) is that of *Rhodonea Hypocycloid curves*.

The Inflation circle, Κύκλος Καμπής και Αντίστροφων Κέντρων, extrema case,

shows the location of coupler points whose curves have an infinite radius of curvature,

i.e. on inflection circle lie all centers of curvature of System curves and which , these are rolling on inflection point on the envelope .(Envelope here are the two or more surfaces in direct contact).The Cubic of Stationary curvature [COSC] indicates the location of coupler points that will trace segments of approximate circular arcs . In Geometry , the rolling of a circle , on a circle and or on a line is likewise to Mechanism as , Space Rolling on Anti=space , a Negative particle , Electron , on a Positive particle , Proton , or on many Protons , so the Wheel-Rims represent the , COSC in Mechanics .



- $F.17 \rightarrow In (1)$ A point A on Coupler-curves (p), (π) define the point of curvature KA, the Instaneous point P, the pole on (π).
 - **In (2)** is the case of point A lying on radius of curvature of polar path (point D) where then the paths of points A in , S , system have the Instaneous center of curvature KA on the fixed system So .
 - In (3) The Velocity Instaneous center, for curvature point K_A , in S_o system is point K_{AA} .
 - In (4) The two points A, K_A , of Coupler-curves (p), (π), follow the inversed motion where Poles of rotation, A and K_A , are inverted.

Above F.17 is the Master-key for the solution to inscribe in a circle a regular polygon with any given number of sides .



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

From above analysis all Mechanical - Solutions of the Regular – n.Polygons, in [63].

Η Μέθοδος αφιερώνεται στην Σύγχρονη - Ελλάδα, για να Μη Ξεχνά τους Προγόνους της.

6.3. Αι Μέθοδοι :

Προκαταρκτικά : Το Θέμα , F.16(3).

Ο τυχόν κύκλος (Ο, ΟΚ) είναι δυνατόν να χωριστεί σε,

- α.. Δύο ίσα μέρη από την διάμετρο KA [Είναι το Δίπολο AK] με γωνία < AOK = 180 °.
- **β..** Τέσσερα ίσα μέρη από την Διχοτόμο των 180 ° πού είναι η Κάθετη δεύτερη Διάμετρος X ` X
- γ.. Οκτώ ίσα μέρη από την Διχοτόμο των τεσσάρων γωνιών πού είναι 90 ° .
- δ.. Δεκαέζει ίσα μέρη από την Διχοτόμο των Οκτώ γωνιών πού είναι 45° και ούτω καθ` εξής.

ε.. Ο κύκλος έχων 360 ° = 2π ακτίνια δύναται να χωριστεί σε ,

Τρία ίσα μέρη $360^{\circ}/3 = 120^{\circ}$ πού είναι δυνατό [Το Ισόπλευρο τρίγωνο],

 $E\xi\eta$ ίσα μέρη 360° / 6 = 60° πού είναι δυνατό με τις διχοτόμους του τριγώνου

[Το Κανονικό Εξάγωνο],

 $\Delta \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \kappa a$ ίσα μέρη 360° / 12 = 30° πού είναι δυνατό με τις διχοτόμους του Εξαγώνου

[Το Κανονικό Δωδεκάγωνο], και ούτω καθ` εξής σε 15°, 7,5°

Παρατήρηση.

α... Η σειρά των Ζυγών αριθμών είναι 2, 4,6, 8,10,12,14,16,18,20,..... Η σειρά των Μονών αριθμών είναι 1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19,21,.... προερχομένη από το ημι-άθροισμα του Προηγούμενου και του Επόμενου Ζυγού αριθμού π.χ. Ο αριθμός $5 = \frac{4+6}{2} = \frac{10}{2} = 5$. Η Λογική της Πρόσθεσης ισχύει και στην Γεωμετρία αλλά στα δικά της πλαίσια πού είναι η Λογική του Υλικού – Σημείου, δηλαδή το Μηδέν (0 = Tίποτα) Υπάρχει ως άθροισμα του Θετικού + Αρνητικού [ίδε, Υλική Γεωμετρία 58-60-61]

β... Στην άνω παράγραφο 5.5(Case c) απεδείχθη η σχέση (1) Σ (h) = (2k) . h = n .h = n .OK , όπου Σ = Το άθροισμα των Υψών , των Κορυφών του Κανονικού (n) – Πολυγώνου ,

από των Κορυφών K_n , μέχρι της εφαπτομένης (e) στο σημείο K,

 $h=\ OK$, To úyoc tou kéntrou $\ O$ apó thn (e) ,

n = Ο αριθμός των Πλευρών του Κανονικού – Πολυγώνου, και πού Μετατρέπει το Άθροισμα των Υψών από της Εφαπτομένης (e) σε πολλαπλάσιο αριθμό

της ακτίνας του κύκλου, πού σχετίζεται άμεσα με τις γωνίες $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n$, και τις κορυφές των πλευρών, KK_n.

γ... Εις τυχούσα Χορδή KK_1 του κύκλου (O, OK), η Κεντρική γωνία < KOK₁, είναι διπλάσια της Εγγεγραμμένης της και η γωνία < KO_KK₁ = KOM₁. Η Μεσοκάθετος OM₁ είναι παράλληλος της Καθέτου O_KK₁, άρα τέμνονται στο άπειρο (∞). Επειδή δε αι δύο Κάθετοι περνούν από τα σημεία Ο και O_K, αυτά αποτελούν τους Πόλους περιστροφής των.

Εις το Σχήμα F.18 – A , το τυχόν Σημείο K_2 , επί του κύκλου, σχηματίζει την δεύτερη Χορδή KK_2



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry η δε Κάθετος $O_K K_2$ προεκτεινόμενη κόβει την OM_1 , παράλληλο της $O_K K_1$, σε ένα σημείο P_1 πού είναι ο Πόλος -Σχηματισμού των δύο Χορδών, ή, γωνιών.

To giatí eívai dióti to shmeío P₂ kiveítai epí th
ς OM₁ apó to ápeiro mécri th
ς diamétrou KP₁ Epí th
ς diamétrou KP₂ tou kúklou (O₂, O₂P₂ = O₂K), kai me kévtro to O₂,
 $\Sigma chmatizontai$ oi ídiec gwiec ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 apó tic Cordéc P₁M₁, P₂K₂, ώστε η gwia < M₁P₁K₂ = K₁KK₂ = OP₁O_k

 $\Delta \eta \lambda \alpha \delta \eta , \Sigma \varepsilon \delta \delta \sigma X o \rho \delta \varepsilon \varsigma , KK_1, KK_2 , \kappa \delta \kappa \lambda o v (O, OK), \kappa o v \eta \varsigma \kappa o \rho v \phi \eta \varsigma K , \eta$ $M \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \kappa \delta \theta \varepsilon \tau o \varsigma OM_1 \tau \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \delta \tau \eta \varsigma , \kappa \alpha i \eta K \delta \theta \varepsilon \tau o \varsigma O_K K_2 \tau \eta \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \delta \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \varsigma , \kappa \delta \beta \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha i \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon i \sigma P_1 \pi \sigma \delta \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \varepsilon i \tau o v \kappa \delta \kappa \lambda o (O_1, O_1P_1) \pi \sigma \delta \varepsilon i v \alpha i \sigma \Sigma v \zeta v \gamma \eta \varsigma \tau o v K \delta \kappa \lambda o \varsigma , \{ \varepsilon i v \alpha i o \kappa \delta \kappa \lambda o \varsigma \tau \omega v T \sigma \omega v \Gamma \omega v \omega v \mu \varepsilon \tau o v \kappa \delta \kappa \lambda o (O, OK) \}.$

Ο Κύκλος των Υψομετρικών - Διαφορών (K_1 , K_1K_1) αλληλοσχετίζεται με τις Χορδές, [KK_1 , KK_2], [O_KK_1 , O_KK_2] τού κύκλου (O, OK) μέσω των αντίστοιχων κορυφών K, O_K και με τον Κύκλο – Τσων Γωνιών (O_1 , O_1P_1) μέσω της Μεσοκαθέτου OM₁ της πρώτης Χορδής K K₁, και της Καθέτου O_KK₂ της δεύτερης Χορδής KK₂.

Αυτός ο Αλληλοσχηματισμός των Τεσσάρων κύκλων,

 $\{ (O, OK) \cdot (K_1, K_1K_1) \cdot (O_1, O_1P_1) \cdot (O_2, O_2P_2) \}$

καθέτων προς την εφαπτομένη (e), επιτρέπει, **Στον οποιονδήποτε κύκλο** (O, OK), να καθορίσει μέσω των Δύο Χορδών K K₁, K K₂, και γωνιών $φ_1$, $φ_2$, την μεταξύ των κίνηση, ήτοι Από την σχέση αθροίσματος των Υψών Σ = (2k). h = n. h = n. OK, προκύπτει ότι το Άθροισμα των Υψών δύο συνεχομένων Κανονικών - Πολυγώνων n, n+2 είναι $\rightarrow \frac{\Sigma 2(h1)}{2} + \frac{\Sigma 2(h2)}{2} = [\frac{n_1}{2} + \frac{n_2}{2}]$.OK = $[\frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}]$.OK = n_3 .OK, όπου $n_3 = [\frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}]$ είναι ο Αριθμός των Κορυφών του μεταξύ των δύο Ζυγών n_1 , n_2 , *Μονού* – *Αριθμού* - *Κορυφών* του Κανονικού-Πολυγώνου. Επί της Υψομετρικής –Διαφοράς Δh = O₁K ^{*}₁ καθέτου της (e) διατηρούνται οι ιδιότητες Άθροισης. *Από την ταυτόχρονο θέση* των γωνιών $φ_1$, $φ_2$, στους δύο κύκλους ορίζονται και οι χορδές. ε... Επειδή αι K K₁, K K₂, είναι κάθετοι των OP₁, $O_{K}P_1$, *άρα το σημείο* K είναι το Ορθόκεντρο όλων των καθέτων των τριγώνων από τούτου, καθώς και της κοινής χορδής των δύο κύκλων (O₂, O₂P₂), (O, OK). Επειδή δε ο *Γεωμετρικός -Τόπος* των Χορδών K K₁, K K₂, του Κοινού *Ορθοκέντρου* K είναι \rightarrow για τον κύκλο (O, O, K) το τόξο K₁K₂, για τον κύκλο (O₂, O₂K=O₂P₂) το τόξο M₁K₂, και για τον κύκλο (O₁, O₁P^{*}₁) το τόξο (1)-(2) με τα σημεία τομής των χορδών, APA τα σημεία (1), M₁ είναι τα Ακραία σημεία των κύκλων τούτων ώστε να είναι K M₁ \downarrow P₁M₁. Aι ανωτέρω δύο λογικές καταλήγουν στη *Μηχανική και Γεωμετρική λόση* πού ακολουθεί.

Η κατά προσέγγιση Μηχανική Απόδειξη :

Εις το σχήμα F. 18 - A., έστω κύκλος (O, OK) με την ευθεία (e) εφαπτομένη στο σημείο, K, και την Κ Ο_κ διάμετρο του κύκλου.

Ορίζουμε επί του κύκλου και από της αρχής, K, τις Κορυφές K_1 , K_2 να αντιστοιχούν σε άκρα πλευρών Ζυγών - Κανονικών - Πολυγώνων και τις αντίστοιχες γωνίες των , $φ_1$, $φ_2$, μεταξύ twy pleurón K K1 , K K2 , kai the equatoménte (e) .

Φέρομεν από των σημείων K1, K2, τας παραλλήλους προς την (e) από δε της Κορυφής K_1 κάθετο προς την (e) πού να τέμνει την παράλληλο από του σημείου K_2 , στο σημείο K_1 , και εν συνεχεία φέρομεν την κάθετο $K_1K_1^{(1)}$ ως ακτίνα τού Κύκλου $(K_1, K_1K_1^{(1)})$.

Φέρομεν την $O_K K_1$ πού προεκτεινόμενη τέμνει την OK_2 προεκτεινόμενη (από το σημείο O) στο σημείο P_2 από δε του O_2 (μέσου της διαμέτρου $K P_2$), φέρομεν τον κύκλο (O_2 , $O_2 K = O_2 P_2$).

Προεκτείνομεν τις πλευρές $0_k K_1$, $0_k K_2$, ώστε να κόβουν τον κύκλο (0_1 , $0_1 K`_1$) στα σημεία 1, 1', και 2, 2', αντίστοιχα και εν συνεχεία φέρομεν τις εναλλάξ χορδές 1 - 2' και 2 -1'.

Ορίζουμε το κοινό σημείο , T , των χορδών 1 - 2 και 2 - 1και προεκτείνουμε την , 0_k T, ώστε να κόβει τον κύκλο (O, OK) στο σημείο K_5 . Ή, με τον Αρμονικό - Μέσο

Φέρομεν από τού σημείου K_1 κάθετο, $K_1A = (K_1K_1)/2$ και τον κύκλο (A, AK_1) ώστε να κόβει την

χορδή O_1A στο σημείο B. Φέρομεν από το K_1 τον κύκλο (K_1 , K_1B) ώστε να κόβει την κάθετο K_1K_1 στο σημείο, C, από δε του σημείου C παράλληλο της (e) ώστε να κόβει τον κύκλο (O, OK) στο σημείο K_5 . Η χορδή $K K_5$ είναι η πλευρά του Μονού – Κανονικού - Πολυγώνου, διότι.

Ο κύκλος (0_4 , 0_4 K = 0_4 O) είναι ο κύκλος των μέσον των χορδών KK₁, KK₂ Άρα και της KK₅. $O_{1} \gamma_{\text{GUVies}} < KM_{1}O_{2} = KM_{2}O_{1}^{*} = 90^{\circ} , < KM_{1}P_{1} = KM_{1}O = 90^{\circ} , < KK_{2}P_{1} = KK_{2}O_{\kappa} = 90^{\circ} ,$

Άρα το σημείο Κ είναι το Ορθόκεντρο των τριγώνων KOM_2 , KOP_1 , KO_kP_2 , KO_kO_1 .

Oi govies $< K_1 K K_2$, $K_1 O_k K_2$, $OP_1 O_k$, $OP_2 O_k$, $P_2 OP_1$ eínai íses metažú ton,

Διότι Είναι

a) Eggegramménes sto ídio tózo , K_1K_2 , toú kúklou (O, OK) ,

- β) Οι πλευρές των P_1M_1 , P_1K_2 , κάθετες των KK_1 , KK_2 ευρίσκονται εντός του κύκλου (0_1^{*} , 0_1^{*} K = 0_1^{*} P₁),
- γ) Εντός εναλλάξ μεταξύ των δύο παραλλήλων, OP_1 , και O_kP_2 των κύκλων (0_4 , 0_4 K = 0_4 O), (0_2 , 0_2 K = 0_2 P₂).

Οι Χορδές $O_k K_1$, OM_1 είναι κάθετοι της χορδής KK_1 , *Άρα* είναι παράλληλοι,

Oi Cordés $O_k K_2$, OM_2 eínai kábetoi th
ς cordís KK_2 , Ara eínai parállhloi,

Ο Γεωμετρικός Τόπος του σημείου K_1 , από του Σημείου K_1 προς K_2 , στο κύκλο (O, OK) είναι το τόξο K_1K_2 του κύκλου, *ενώ* επί του κύκλου $(0_1, 0_1K_1)$ το τόξο 1, 2' του κύκλου. Ο Γεωμετρικός Τόπος του σημείου K_2 , από του Σημείου K_2 προς K_1 , στο κύκλο (O, OK) είναι το τόξο K_2K_1 του κύκλου, ενώ επί του κύκλου $(0_1, 0_1K_1)$ το τόξο 2, 1 του κύκλου. Ο Γεωμετρικός Τόπος από του σημείου, Ο, των παραλλήλων της Χορδής Ο_kO₁, είναι οι Χορδές OP_1 , O_4O_1 , and de toú nólou, O_k , η tom η , T, two cordén 1, 2' kai 2, 1' antístoica.

Epeidí de η govía $\langle 0_k 0_1 K = 0_k K_2 K = 90^\circ$, Ara η tomá, T, kineítai parállyla the $0_1 K$, και είναι το κοινό σημείο των δύο Γεωμετρικών Τόπων.

Επειδή τα σημεία K₁, K₂ είναι οι Διαδοχικές Κορυφές των Χορδών - Ζυγών - Κανονικών -Πολυγώνων του κύκλου (O, OK), και συνάμα τα σημεία O_1 , P_2 , οι αντίστοιχοι Ακραίοι πόλοι



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

επί των κύκλων $(0_1, 0_1 K_1), (0_2, 0_2 K), πού ακολουθούν την KOINH δέσμευση του σημείου K,$ να είναι Ορθόκεντρο και Αρχή των Πολυγώνων και το σημείο, Τ, ο σταθερός κοινός πόλος του $συστήματος, ΑΡΑ η ευθεία <math>0_k$ T, είναι σταθερά και κόβει τον (0, 0K), στο σημείο K_5 πού είναι η Κορυφή του Ενδιάμεσου Μονού –Κανονικού –Πολυγώνου ??, Ή Επειδή, από την Αρμονική σχέση (1) και (4) $(K_1 K_1)^2 = (K_1 C). (K_1 C + K_1 K_1)$ ορίζεται το Αρμονικό ύψος $K_1 C$ και με την παράλληλο χορδή CK_5 , το σημείο K_5 επί τού κύκλου, (0, 0K) ώστε να αντιστοιχεί η ανωτέρω Αρμονική σχέση, ΑΡΑ και η χορδή $K K_5$ είναι επίσης του Ενδιάμεσου Μονού –Κανονικού –Πολυγώνου.

Μάρκος , 5/5/2017



F.18 – **A** → Στον κύκλο (O, OK), για **n** = **4**, η Χορδή K K₁ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού-Κανονικού Τετραγώνου ενώ για, **n** = **n** + **2** = **6**, η K K₂ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Εξαγώνου η δε Χορδή **K K**₅ του *Κανονικού – Μονού - Πενταγώνου*.

Ο κύκλος $(0_1, 0_1 K_1)$ είναι ο , κύκλος Καμπής , των Υψομετρικών Διαφορών με Δh = h_{K1}-h_{K2} = K₁ K₁, ο δε κύκλος $(0_2, 0_2 P_2)$ είναι ο , κύκλος Ανακάμψεως, [Euler-Savary]. Ο κύκλος $(0_4, 0_4 K=0_4 O)$ είναι ο , κύκλος των Μέσων των Χορδών ,από του σημείου K.

Οι χορδές 1, 2' και 2, 1' κόβονται στο σημείο C, πού είναι το Σταθερό σημείο στις Περιβάλλουσες επί της παραλλήλου της KO₁ από του σημείου, C, και με κέντρο Καμπυλότητας το άπειρο, ∞ . Επειδή δε ο κύκλος των Υψομετρικών Διαφορών [K₁,K₁K^{*}₁] είναι και Προβολή τού Κύκλου Ταχυτήτων [K₁, K₁K₂] πού είναι και κύκλος Καμπής, με κοινό το σημείο K₁ κέντρου Καμπυλότητας στο άπειρο, ∞ , Άρα όλες οι Γεωμετρικές - Ιδιότητες των δύο Κύκλων είναι Κοινές.

Πρώτη Προσεγγιστική Γεωμετρική Απόδειξη

183

Epeidý oi pleudés P_1O_k , P_1O_k eívai kábetoi twu, KK_2 , KK_1 antístoica, Ara y gunía $< OP_1O_k = K_1KK_2$, kai epeidý y P_2O_k , eívai cordý metažú twu parallýlwu P_1O_1 , P_2O_k , Ara kai oi guníes $< OP_1O_k$, OP_2O_k , eívai íses, tóson epí twu Staberán pólwu, korugán, O_1O_k , of O_2O_k , eívai íses, tóson epí twu Staberán pólwu, korugán, O_1O_k , of O_2O_k , eívai íses, tóson epí twu Staberán pólwu, korugán, O_1O_k , of O_1O_k , P_2O_k , eívai íses, tóson epí twu Staberán pólwu, korugán, O_1O_k , of O_2O_k , eívai íses, tóson epí twu Staberán pólwu, korugán poly second poly elements effektive.

Epeidý oi gwníeg OP_1O_k , OP_2O_k , eínai íseg $A\rho a$ baínoun epí kúklou cordýc OO_k . Epeidý de epí tou idíou kúklou baínoun oi póloi, O_k , O, P_1 , P_2 , $A\rho a$ to kéntro tou kúklou toútou eurísketai wg tomý tyc Mesokabétou twu cordún autún, OO_k kai OP_2 , kai poú eínai to symelío O_3 .

To shmeio K, the eubeiae (e) eínai koinó twn Apeirwn (∞) Kanonikón - Poluywnwn twn kúklwn kéntrou O kai me aktína KO = 0 $\rightarrow \infty$, Ara to Apeiro - Kanonikó - Polúywno eínai h eubeia (e) to Kanonikó - Polúywno tou kúklou (O, OK) eínai to zhtoúmeno, to de Mhdenikó – Kanonikó – Polúywno to shmeio K.

Επειδή δε οι κινούμενοι πόλοι P_1 , P_2 , των δύο Ζυγών Κανονικών Πολυγώνων, ευρίσκονται επί του κύκλου [O_3 , O_3 O], κύκλος του Αντιχώρου, [12], Άρα ο ενδιάμεσος Κινούμενος πόλος του Μονού - Κανονικού – Πολυγώνου, περνά από το, ∞ , πού είναι η τομή της ευθείας (e) και του κύκλου τούτου, πού είναι το κοινό σημείο P_5 . Το ίδιο παρουσιάζεται και με την γωνία των 90 ° πού συμβαίνει με δύο κάθετες ευθείες οι οποίες περνούν από το άπειρο.

Η cordí OP₅ αντιστοιχεί στην Ανακαμπτομένη cordí των κύκλων Ανακάμψεως [O_2 , O_2P_2] στο άπειρο πού είναι το σημείο P₅. Τα Δύο - ζεύγη των τομών P₄, P₄ και P₆, P₆, συγκλίνουν στο Ένα- Ζεύγος με ένα σημείο P₅ = P₅, όπου τα δύο σημεία συμπίπτουν.

Παρατήρηση.

Η ανωτέρω Γεωμετρική Απόδειξη επιλύει μερικώς το πρόβλημα των Κανονικών – Πολυγώνων παρακάμπτοντας τούς μέχρι σήμερα περιορισμούς στην Αλγεβρική - θεωρία των Πρώτων προς αλλήλους αριθμούς. Στο σχήμα F16.(3) είναι OX \perp OA δηλαδή η γωνία < XOK = 90°. Τυχούσα γωνία XOC < XOA < 90° ισούται με την συμμετρική της X $^{\circ}$ OC $_{1}$, εφόσον περάσει από την θέση OA όπου η γωνία < XOA = X $^{\circ}$ OA = 90° και η πλευρά OC περνά από το άπειρο.

Στο σχήμα 18-B, λόγω του ότι οι χορδές $O_k K_1$, $O_k K_2$, είναι κάθετες των KK_1 , KK_2 , άρα και η γωνία $< K_1 O_k K_2 = K_1 K K_2$. Η αλλαγή της θέσης των καθέτων από του νέου κέντρου O, σχηματίζει την Αντισυμμετρική γωνία $OP_a O_k$ ίση με τις άλλες εφόσον περάσει μία κάθετος παράλληλος της KK_2 από το άπειρο. Επειδή η Αντισυμμετρική γωνία βαίνει στη χορδή OO_k των δύο σταθερών κορυφών σχηματισμού των γωνιών, οι κύκλοι πού περνούν από τα σημεία K, K_2, P_a , είναι οι *Κύκλοι Ανάκαμψης*, λόγω του ότι οι σταθερές περιβάλλουσες KK_1, KK_2, KK_i όλων των πλευρών αυτού του Συστήματος των γωνιών Ανακάμπτονται στα σημεία συνάντησης των με κοινό το K_1 τού κύκλου, οι δε κύκλοι από τα σημεία K, K_1, P_k , είναι οι , *Κύκλοι Καμπής*, πού αντιστρέφουν τις γωνίες των κύκλων Ανάκαμψης σε, *Εντός-Εναλλάξ ίσες γωνίες όπως είναι* $< OP_aO_k = OP_kO_k$ επί των παραλλήλων O_k , OP_a .

Έτσι προκύπτει η Ακριβής Γεωμετρική Επίλυση των Κανονικών - Μονών - Πολυγώνων.



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry Η ΓΕΩΜΕΤΡΙΚΗ ΚΑΤΑΣΚΕΥΗ ΤΟΥ ΚΑΝΟΝΙΚΟΥ ΠΕΝΤΑΓΩΝΟΥ



F.18 – **B** \rightarrow Στον κύκλο (O, OK), για **n** = 4, η Χορδή KK₁ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Τετραγώνου ενώ για , $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{2} = \mathbf{6}$, η K K₂ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Εξαγώνου η δε Χορδή ΚΚ₅ του Κανονικού – Μονού - Πενταγώνου. Ο κύκλος $(0_1, 0_1 K_1)$ είναι ένας, κύκλος Καμπής, των Υψομετρικών Διαφορών με Δh = $h_{K_1} - h_{K_2} = K_1 K_1^{*}$, δε κύκλος ($O_a, O_a P_a$) είναι ο , κύκλος Ανακάμψεως, [Euler-Savary], και ο κύκλος $[PO_1, PO_1P_k = PO_1M_1]$ είναι ο , *Κύκλος Καμπής*, από του σημείου O_k . Η γωνία $< P_k O_k P_a$ είναι μία Περιβάλλουσα επί των κύκλων - Καμπής, η δε γωνία $< 0 P_a O_k$ μία αντίστοιχη Περιβάλλουσα επί των κύκλων Ανάκαμψης. To eggegramméno schima $P_k K_1 M_1 P_{a1}$, entry tou Kúklou Kamphz $\,$, eínai orbogánio dióti η yωνία $< P_k K_1 M_1 = K_1 M_1 P_{a1} = 90$ °, Άρα και η χορδή $P_k P_{a1} / / K_1 M_1$. Επειδή δε η γωνία $< OP_kO_k$ έχει την πλευρά OP_k , μεταξύ των παραλλήλων πλευρών O_kP_k , OP_a , άρα είναι ίση με την Εντός - Εναλλάξ $< OP_aO_k$. Η γωνία $< P_kO_kP_{k5} = OP_{\infty}O_k$ στην θέση O_kP_{∞} όπου το σημείο P_{∞} ευρίσκεται επί της παραλλήλου OP_a . $\Delta \eta \lambda \alpha \delta \eta$, Στο σημείο Ρ ... γίνεται η Αντιστροφή των γωνιών σε Εντός - Εναλλάξ μεταξύ των σημείων P_k του Κύκλου - Καμπής , και P_a του Κύκλου – Ανάκαμψης , αλλά Πού ?? Να δειχθεί ότι ο κύκλος [Κ₁, Κ₁Κ₂] Ταχυτήτων, διέρχεται διά του Κύκλου-Καμπής.

Φέρομεν τον κύκλο [K₁, K₁K₂] πού τον ονομάζουμε , *Κύκλο - Ταχυτήτων*, του σημείου K₁, και τούτο διότι το σημείο K₁ κινούμενο επί του κύκλου [O,OK] κατευθύνεται ακαριαία στο σημείο K₂ με ταχύτητα το μέγεθος , K₁K₂. Από την θεωρία του Κέντρου Καμπυλότητας (Euler–Savary) η ταχύτης V₁ του σημείου K₁, στρεφομένου πέριξ του σημείου O_k είναι ίση με $\overline{V_1} = K_1K_2$ και κάθετος της O_kK₁, του δε σημείου K₂ στρεφομένου πέριξ του ιδίου πόλου O_k είναι $\overline{V_2} = K_1K_2$ και κάθετος της O_kK₂, δηλαδή ,

Οι τροχιές των σημείων του κύκλου [K_1 , K_1K_2] έχουν τα κέντρα καμπυλότητας των επί του κύκλου διαμέτρου KO_k , η δε κατεύθυνση των ταχυτήτων των σημείων K_1 , K_2 του κύκλου [K_1 , K_1K_2] ευρίσκονται επί των καθέτων χορδών KK_1 , KK_2 αντίστοιχα.

Όταν όμως το σημείο K_1 κινείται επί της χορδής K_1K , τότε το Κέντρο καμπυλότητας αρχίζει από το σημείο P_k , κινείται επί της O_kP_k και κατευθύνεται προς το άπειρο ∞ σχηματίζοντας έτσι την Περιβάλλουσα των Κύκλων - Καμπής, όπου και Αντιστρέφεται η κίνηση προς τα πίσω όπως τούτο συμβαίνει σε γωνίες 90° μεταξύ δύο καθέτων.

Για να φτάσει το σημείο K_1 στη θέση του σημείου M_1 , από το άπειρο της ευθείας OP_a στο σημείο P_a , σχηματίζοντας έτσι την Περιβάλλουσα των Κύκλων – Ανάκαμψης περνά και από ένα Κοινό σημείο των δύο κύκλων το , R_{k-a} , πού είναι τέτοιο ώστε οι Εντός – Εναλλάζ γωνίες πού είναι ίσες, να είναι και επί των πόλων K, O_k , και πού είναι στην θέση K_5 .

Επειδή η Διάμετρος από τες Κορυφές K_1 , K_2 περνά από Κορυφές των, n, και, n+2, Ζυγών Κανονικών Πολυγώνων, η δε Διάμετρος από την Κορυφή τού $K_{7=n+1}$ περνά από το μέσο τής έναντι Χορδής Άρα είναι και Μεσοκάθετος της, Δηλαδή περνά από Σημεία Καμπής σε Σημείο Ανάκαμψης όπως τούτο συμβαίνει και στους τρείς ανάλογους Κύκλους.

O κύκλος (PO₁, PO₁ K₁ = PO₁ P_k = PO₁ M₁) είναι ο Οριακός – Κύκλος - Καμπής πού περνά από τα σημεία K₁, M₁, P_k, ο δε κύκλος (O_a, O_aK₁ = O_aP_a = O_a M₁) είναι ο Οριακός - κύκλος - Ανάκαμψης πού περνά από τα σημεία K₁, M₁, P_a . Το σημείο K₁ με ταχύτητα V₁ επί τού κύκλου ταχυτήτων κινείται επί του κύκλου ταχυτήτων μέχρι του σημείου K₂ και με ταχύτητα V₁ → V₂. Επειδή η καμπύλη Κίνησης, η *Τροχιά*, του σημείου K₁ είναι η ευθεία, KK₁ μέχρι το Άπειρο, πού είναι και η Σταθερά περιβάλλουσα, Άρα το σημείο K₁ είναι ο κύκλος των ταχυτήτων των, έχουν το αντίστοιχο κέντρο καμπυλότητας στο άπειρο.

Το άκρο του Βέλους V_1 (η αιχμή του V_1), διαγράφει κατά την στιγμήν αυτήν τροχιά παρουσιάζουσα Καμπή , Άρα η Αιχμή του V_1 διέρχεται διά του Κύκλου - Καμπής . ο.ε.δ. Το ίδιο συμβαίνει και με την Αιχμή του V_2 του σημείου K_2 .

Επειδή δε ισχύει η σχέση των Υψών, $\Sigma = n.OK$, και στα Μονά, n+1, Κανονικά Πολύγωνα η Διάμετρος από την Κορυφή, Κ, είναι κάθετος της έναντι πλευράς, Άρα πρέπει να υπάρχει ένα τέτοιο *Κοινό σημείο και στις Περιβάλλουσες*, πού είναι πράγματι το σημείο **R**_{k-a}

Eiς την περίπτωση πού , ο Οριακός - Κύκλος - Καμπής (PO_1 , PO_{1-} K_1 = $PO_{1-}P_k$ = $PO_{1-}M_1$) τέμνει τον άξονα OO_k τότε το σημείο ${\bf R}_{k-a}$, Αντιστρέφεται και κινείται επί του άξονος OP_k .

Η ΓΕΩΜΕΤΡΙΚΗ ΚΑΤΑΣΚΕΥΗ ΤΟΥ ΚΑΝΟΝΙΚΟΥ ΤΡΙΓΩΝΟΥ



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry



F.19 → Στον κύκλο (O, OK), για **n** = 2, η Χορδή KK₁, είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Διγώνου ενώ για, **n** = **n**+2 = 4, η K K₂ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού – Τετραγώνου, η δε Χορδή **K K**₃ του *Κανονικού – Μονού – Τριγώνου*. **For n** = 2, then K K₁ is the Side of the Regular - Digone and equal to 2.OK.. For n = n+2 = 4, then K K₂ is the Side of the Regular – Tetragon and equal to OK.√2, the point K₂ on (O, OK) circle. Exist Δh = h_{K1} - h_{K2} = 0_kO. The Circle of Heights is (K₁, K₁O). The Coupler - Circle is (O₂, O₂P), Points P₁, P₂ are the intersections of Sides K K₁, K K₂ produced. Point K₃ is the intersection of P₂O_k Segment, and the circle (O, OK).

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons H $\Gamma E\Omega METPIKH$ KATA $\Sigma KEYH$ TOY KANONIKOY EIITA $\Gamma \Omega NOY$



F.20 \rightarrow Στον κύκλο (O, OK), για $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{6}$, η Χορδή KK₁ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού -Κανονικού Εξαγώνου ενώ για, $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{2} = \mathbf{8}$, η χορδή KK₂ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού -Κανονικού Οκταγώνου, η δε Χορδή KK₇ του *Κανονικού – Μονού – Επταγώνου*. \rightarrow

Οι Διάμετροι $K_1OK_1^{*}, K_2OK_2^{*}$, των Κανονικών, Εξαγώνων – Οκταγώνων διέρχονται από τις έναντι κορυφές των K_1^{*}, K_2^{*} , ΕΝΩ η Διάμετρος K_7OM_7 διέρχεται του μέσου της έναντι Πλευράς και είναι Μεσοκάθετος της. Στο σημείο K_7 γίνεται η Αναστροφή της Διαμέτρου κατά γωνία 90°.



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry Η ΓΕΩΜΕΤΡΙΚΗ ΚΑΤΑΣΚΕΥΗ ΤΟΥ ΚΑΝΟΝΙΚΟΥ ΕΝΙΑΓΩΝΟΥ



F.21 → Στον κύκλο (O, OK), για $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{8}$, η Χορδή KK₁ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Οκταγώνου ενώ για, $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{2} = \mathbf{10}$, η χορδή KK₂ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Δεκαγώνου, η δε Χορδή **KK₉** του *Κανονικού – Μονού - Ενιαγώνου*. →

To eggegrammévo schma $P_{k1}K_1M_1P_a$, evtós tou Kúklou Avákamyhs, eívai orbogówio parallandógramma dióti η gwvía $< P_{k1}K_1M_1 = K_1M_1P_a = 90$ °, Ara kai η cordí $P_{k1}P_a$ // K_1M_1 η de gwvía $< P_{k1}P_aP_{k9} = K_1KK_2$ dióti écouv tic pleurés twu parállandes metazú twu apó twu shift P_{k1} , K. H gwvía $< P_{k1}P_aP_{k9} = P_aP_{k1}P_\infty = K_1KK_2$, dióti eívai Evtós - Evalláz sth cordí $P_{k1}P_a$ epí tou Kúklou Kampás. O kúklos Tacuthtwu [K_1 , K_1K_2] apedeíc R_{k-a} kóbei tou kúkloi Avákamyhs Avtistrégovtai , η de eubeía K R_{k-a} kóbei tou kúkloi .

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons H $\Gamma E\Omega METPIKH$ KATA $\Sigma KEYH$ TOY KANONIKOY EN $\Delta EKA\Gamma\Omega NOY$



F.22 $\rightarrow \Sigma$ τον κύκλο (O, OK), για **n** = **10**, η Χορδή KK₁ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Δεκαγώνου, ενώ για, **n** = **n** + **2** = **12**, η χορδή KK₂ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Δωδεκαγώνου η δε Χορδή **KK**₁₁ του *Κανονικού – Μονού - Εντεκαγώνου*. \rightarrow

To eggegrammévo schma $P_{k1}K_1M_1P_a$, evtós tou Kúklou Avákamung , eívai orðogóvio parallandogramma Sidti η guvía $< P_{k1}K_1M_1 = K_1M_1P_a = 90$ °, Ara kai η cord $P_{k1}P_a/K_1M_1$ η de guvía $< P_{k1}P_aP_{k11} = K_1KK_2$ disti écouv tic pleurés twu parállandes metazú twu apó twu schurés $P_{k1}P_aP_{k11} = K_1KK_2$ disti écouv tic pleurés twu parállandes metazú twu apó twu schurés P_{k1} , K. H guvía $< P_{k1}P_a$ $P_{k11} = P_aP_{k1}P_{\infty} = K_1KK_2$, disti eívai Eutázú twu apó twu schurés $P_{k1}P_a$ epi tou Kúklou Kampáz. O kúklos Tacuthtwu [K_1 , K_1K_2] apedeíc R_{k-a} kai tou kúklos Kampáz andó kóbei tou Oriakó ážova Avákamung OP_k sto shiel R_{k-a} kobei tou kúkloi K_{11} poú η cord K_{11} eívai η pleuré tou Kauvikoú Eutekayúvou .

Η ΓΕΩΜΕΤΡΙΚΗ ΚΑΤΑΣΚΕΥΗ ΤΟΥ ΚΑΝΟΝΙΚΟΥ ΔΕΚΑΤΡΙΑΓΩΝΟΥ



F.23 $\rightarrow \Sigma$ τον κύκλο (O, OK), για **n** = **12**, η Χορδή K K₁ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Δωδεκαγώνου, ενώ για, **n** = **n**+**2** = **14**, η χορδή K K₁₃ είναι η πλευρά του Ζυγού - Κανονικού Δεκατετραγώνου η δε Χορδή **KK**₁₃ του *Κανονικού – Μονού - Δεκατριαγώνου*.

To eggegrammévo schma $P_{k1}K_1M_1P_a$, evtós tou Kúklou Avákamyhs, eívai orðogóvio parallandogramma dióti h γ wula $< P_{k1}K_1M_1 = K_1M_1P_a = 90°$, Ara kai h cordh $P_{k1}P_a$ // K_1M_1 h de gwula $< P_{k1}P_aP_{k13} = K_1KK_2$ dióti écouv tis pleurés twu parállandes metaéú twu apó tuv schurés P_{k1} , K. H γ wula $< P_{k1}P_aP_{k13} = P_aP_{k1}P_{\infty} = K_1KK_2$, dióti eívai Evtós - Evallát schur P_{k1} , K. H γ wula $< P_{k1}P_aP_{k13} = P_aP_{k1}P_{\infty} = K_1KK_2$, dióti eívai Evtós - Evallát schurás $P_{k1}P_a$ epi tou Kúklou Kampás. O kúklos Tacuthtwe [K_1 , K_1K_2] apéric R_{k-a} kai tou kúklos Kamás, dísei tou Oriakó ážova Avákamyhs OP_k sto shiel R_{k-a} kóbei tou kúkloi K_{13} poú h cordh K_{13} eívai h pleurá tou Kauvikoú Lekatriagóvou.

Η Αναστροφή των κύκλων Καμπής $P_k K_1 M_1$ γίνεται διότι η Διάμετρος $K_1 OM_{13}$ του Κανονικού Δεκατριαγώνου είναι Μεσοκάθετος της έναντι πλευράς του στο μέσο σημείο M_{13} , εν αντιθέσει με την Διάμετρο $K_2 OM_2 \equiv OK_2 \rightarrow P_k$ πού διέρχεται από την κορυφή του Κανονικού Δεκατετραγώνου.

Η ΓΕΩΜΕΤΡΙΚΗ ΚΑΤΑΣΚΕΥΗ ΟΛΩΝ , ΤΩΝ ΚΑΝΟΝΙΚΩΝ – ΜΟΝΩΝ – ΠΟΛΥΓΩΝΩΝ

Η ανωτέρω Γεωμετρική Απόδειξη επιλύει γενικά το πρόβλημα των Κανονικών – Πολυγώνων παρακάμπτοντας τούς μέχρι σήμερα περιορισμούς στην Αλγεβρική-θεωρία των Πρώτων προς αλλήλους αριθμούς. Στο σχήμα F16.(3) είναι OX – OA δηλαδή η γωνία < XOK = X`OK = 90°. Τυχούσα γωνία XOC < XOA < 90° ισούται με την συμμετρική της X `OC₁, εφόσον περάσει από την θέση OA

191
The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons ópou XOA = X $OA = 90^{\circ}$ (*Anastroqú*) και η πλευρά OC περνά από το άπειρο . Στο σχήμα F-20 To Σύστημα των Κύκλων - Καμπής – Ανάκαμψης σχηματίζεται επί του μεγαλυτέρου κύκλου ,

και είναι το Ορθογώνιο Παραλληλόγραμμο $K_1M_1P_aP_{k1}$ είτε το $K_1M_1P_{a1}P_k$. Ο Οριακός Κύκλος – Καμπής επί του τριγώνου $M_1K_1P_k$ έχει την κορυφή P_k επί της O_kP_k , ενώ ο Οριακός Κύκλος – Ανάκαμψης επί του τριγώνου $K_1M_1P_a$, έχει την κορυφή P_a επί της OM_1 παραλλήλου της O_kP_k . Επειδή δε οι χορδές O_kP_k , OP_a είναι κάθετοι της KK_1 , *άρα είναι και παράλληλοι*, και επειδή οι χορδές OP_k , O_kP_a , είναι μεταξύ των παραλλήλων, *άρα και οι Εντός Εναλλάζ γωνίες των* < $P_aOP_k = OP_kO_k$ και < $P_aO_kP_k = OP_aO_k = K_1KK_2 = \Delta \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$. Οι χορδές O_kP_k , OP_a είναι παράλληλοι, APA, το Τετράπλευρο $OO_kP_kP_a$ είναι Τραπέζιο με Υψος K_1M_1 με τα Ανάστροφα τρίγωνα $P_kK_1M_1$, $P_aM_1K_1$. Οι κύκλοι επί των διαμέτρων P_kM_1 , P_aK_1 είναι ο Ακραίος Κύκλος – Καμπής και Ανάκαμψης αντίστοιχα.

- H Anastrogή των κύκλων γίνεται διότι η Διάμετρος K_7OM_7 είναι Μεσοκάθετος της έναντι πλευράς στο μέσο σημείο M_7 , εν αντιθέσει με την Διάμετρο $K_2OM_2 \equiv OK_2 \rightarrow P_k$ πού διέρχεται από κορυφή. Για να καταστούν οι γωνίες $< P_k O_k P_a$, $OP_a O_k$, Εντός - Εναλλάζ, πρέπει η ευθεία $O_k P_k$ να περιστρέφεται πέριξ του Πόλου O_k από το άπειρο (∞) μέχρι τη χορδή $O_k P_a$. Αυτή η περιστροφική κίνηση της ευθείας είναι Ισοδύναμη με την κίνηση του σημείου K_1 προς το σημείο K_2 επί του κύκλου [O, OK], με τα κάτωθι επακόλουθα :
- 1.. Με την περιστροφή της χορδής $O_k P_k$ πέριξ του πόλου O_k , η χορδή $O_k K_1$ έχει την κάθετο ταχύτητα $K_1 V_1$ επί της επέκτασης της KK_1 . Το ίδιο συμβαίνει και διά την χορδή $O_k K_2$ πού έχει την κάθετο ταχύτητα $K_2 V_2$ επί της επέκτασης της KK_2 , Δηλαδή, έκαστο σημείο K_7 μεταξύ των σημείων K_1 , K_2 έχει μίαν κάθετο ταχύτητα, έστω την $K_7 V_7$, επί του κύκλου ταχυτήτων [K_1 , $K_1 K_2$] και με κατεύθυνση την Ο K_7 , στην εκάστοτε θέση του σημείου. Απεδείχθη προηγουμένως ότι η Αιχμή του Βέλους V_1 , διέρχεται διά Κύκλου Καμπής, όπως και κάθε άλλου βέλους V_7 έχοντας σχέση με την Θέση Αναστροφής της Διαμέτρου.
- **2..** Με την περιστροφή της χορδής $O_k P_k$ πέριξ του πόλου O_k , Άπειροι Κύκλοι Καμπής από τα ορθογώνια τρίγωνα $P_k K_1 M_7$ σχηματίζονται με διάμετρο την $P_k M_7$, (*όπου* M_7 είναι η τομή της $O_k K_7$ και της K K₁), με Οριακό Κύκλο – Καμπής τον επί της διαμέτρου $P_k M_1$, ταυτόχρονα δε, Άπειροι Κύκλοι – Ανάκαμψης σχηματίζονται από τα ορθογώνια τρίγωνα P_a , M_1 , M_7 με διάμετρο την $P_a M_7$ και με Οριακό Κύκλο – Ανάκαμψης τον επί της διαμέτρου $P_a K_1$ ευρισκόμενο.
- **3..** Απεδείχθη ότι η εξίσωση , Σ (h) = n .OK , δηλαδή το άθροισμα των Υψών , h , των κορυφών των Κανονικών (n) Πολυγώνων από τυχούσα ευθεία (e) εφαπτομένη σε μίαν κορυφή του , είναι , n , φορές την ακτίνα του κύκλου . Όταν δε , n , n +2 , είναι οι Αριθμοί των Κορυφών δύο διαδοχικών Ζυγών Πολυγώνων , τότε μεταξύ των υπάρχει και το , n +1 , Μονό Πολύγωνο . Η θέση του Μονού Πολυγώνου είναι κοινή του Κύκλου Καμπής και του Κύκλου Ανάκαμψης. Επίσης απεδείχθη ότι , η Αιχμή του Βέλους επί του Κύκλου των Ταχυτήτων [K₁, K₁K₂] διέρχεται διά της Περιβάλλουσας των Κύκλων-Καμπής ,οπότε και η τομή των δύο κύκλων στο σημείο R._{k-a} καθορίζει την κατεύθυνση K₁V₇, πού είναι τού n+1 Μονού –Κανονικού –Πολυγώνου . Δηλαδή , η ευθεία KV₇ κόβοντας τον κύκλο [O, OK] στο σημείο K₇, καθορίζει την χορδή K K₇ πού είναι η Πλευρά του Ενδιάμεσου Μονού - Πολυγώνου . ο.ε.δ. Μάρκος 16/06/2017.

THE GEOMETRICAL CONSTRUCTION OF ALL THE ODD - REGULAR - POLYGONS



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

The above Geometric Proof, solves the problem of the Odd-Regular - Polygons by surpassing the limitations to the theory of Algebraic numbers and to the Unsolvability of the Greek problems using the Wrong Theory of Constructible Numbers.

In figure F16 (3) is holding OX \perp OA, i.e. angle < XOK = X $^{\circ}$ OK = 90 $^{\circ}$. Any other angle XOC <90° is equal to the symmetric $X OC_1$, when it passes from OA line, *Inversion of angle through OA*, where angle $< XOA = X^OA = 90^\circ$ and where OC side passes through infinite . In Figure F.20 – A, The system of Coupler curves, the Inflection and the Inverted Reflection circles, is formatted in the rightangled Parallelograms $K_1M_1P_aP_{k1}$ or $K_1M_1P_{a1}P_k$. The circumscribed Inflection circle lying on $M_1K_1P_k$ triangle, defines vertices P_k on O_kP_k line, while the circumscribed Reflection circle on $M_1P_aK_1$ triangle, defines vertices P_a on OM_1 line parallel to O_kP_k forming. Segments $O_k P_k$, OP_a are parallel therefore, **Quadrilateral** $OO_k P_k P_a$ is **Trapezium** of height $K_1 M_1$. Because chords $O_k P_k$, OP_a are perpendicular to $K K_1$ chord, so these are parallels, and because chords, OP_k , O_kP_a , are *in cross* between the parallels, *therefore* the two Alternate Interior angles $\langle P_a O P_k = O P_k O_k$ and angle $\langle P_a O_k P_k = O P_a O_k = K_1 K K_2 = \Delta \phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$. Presupposition for these *Alternate Interior angles*, is the Rotation of line $O_k P_k$ through pole O_k , by starting from Infinite (∞) and limiting to chord $O_k P_a$. This type of Rotation is equivalent to the motion of point K_1 to point K_2 on circle [O, OK], with the followings, 1... During Rotation of chord $O_k P_k$ through pole O_k , establishes the velocity direction $K_1 V_1$ to chord K K₁ extended, or on KV₁ line. The same happens for chord O_kK₂ which establishes the velocity direction K_2V_2 perpendicular to chord KK_2 extended also. Generally for, Any point K_7 between the points K_1 , K_2 occupies a perpendicular to chord $O_k K_7$ velocity, say the Velocity K₇V₇, on the Inflection -Velocity - Circle [K₁, K₁K₂] directed on OK₇ line for every Position of point V_7 . It was proved before, that the edge of arrow V_1 , passes through an Inflection circle, Inversion, and the same is happening for any other arrow V_7 . **2..** The Rotation of line $O_k P_k$ through pole O_k , formulates *Infinite Inflection - Circles* circumscribed in the rightangled triangles $P_kK_1M_7$ with diameter P_kM_7 , (where M_7 is the *intersection of line* $O_k K_7$ and line $K K_1$), limiting to the Inflection – circle of $P_k M_1$ diameter, But Simultaneously, are formulated Infinite Reflection - Circles circumscribed in the rightangled triangles $P_aM_1M_7$ with diameter P_aM_7 , limiting to the Reflection – circle of P_aK_1 diameter. Inversion of the circles happens because Diameter K₇OM₇ is Mid-perpendicular to the opposite Side in the middle point M_7 in contradiction to Diameter K_2OM_2 which passes through vertices. **3.** It was proved the equation Σ (h) = n .OK , the Summation of heights h, of the vertices of any (n) Polygon from any (e) line tangential to any vertices, is equal to , n, times the radius OK. When n, n+2, are the numbers of the vertices of any two sequent and Even Polygons, then exists the In-between , n+1, Odd -Polygon. The position of this Odd-Polygon is common to the Inflection and Reflection circles . It was proved also, that the edge of arrow V₁ passes through the Inflection circle [K₁, K₁K₂] and through the Envelope of Inflection circles where then, the point of intersection, R.k-a, defines the direction K_1V_7 , which belongs to the n+1 Odd – Regular – Polygon . i.e. line KV_7 intersecting the circle [O, OK] at point K_7 defines chord KK₇ which is the Side of the intermediate Odd – Regular – Polygon. (q.e.d).

Markos Georgallides, "The Geometrical solution, of the Regular n-Polygons and the Unsolved Ancient Greek Special Problems," International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science, Volume 2, Issue 3, pp. 120-203, 2017

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons

6.3. The Methods :

Preliminaries : The Subject, F.16(3).

Any circle (O, OK) can be divided into,

- **a.** *Two* equal parts by the diameter KA [It is the Dipole AK] with angle < AOK = 180 °.
- b.. Four equal parts by the Bisector of 180° which is the perpendicular and second diameter X `X .
- c.. Eight equal parts by the Bisector of the four angles which are 90°.
- d.. Sixteen equal parts by the Bisector of the Eight angles which are 45 °, and so on .

e.. The circle having $360^{\circ} = 2\pi$ radians , can be divided into ,

Three equal parts as $360^{\circ}/3 = 120^{\circ}$ and which is possible [The Equilateral triangle],

Six equal parts as $360^{\circ}/6 = 60^{\circ}$ and which is possible by the bisectors of the triangle [The Regular Hexagon],

Twelve equal parts as $360^{\circ} / 12 = 30^{\circ}$ and which is possible by the bisectors of the Hexagon [The Regular Dodecagon], and so on , to 15° , 7,5°

Remark :

a... The series of Even Numbers is 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20,

The series of Odd Numbers is 1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19,21,

Becoming from the Arithmetic - mean between two Adjoined - Even numbers , as for example , Number five $5 = \frac{4+6}{2} = \frac{10}{2} = 5$. The logic of addition issues in Geometry in its moulds which is the logic of Material – Point , which is Zero (0 =Nothing) and exists as the Addition of Positive + Negative ($\rightarrow + \leftarrow$). [See , Material Geometry 58 – 60 – 61] **b...** In previous paragraph 5.5(Case c) was proved (1) $\Sigma(h) = (2k) \cdot h = n \cdot h = n \cdot OK$, where

 Σ = The Summation of Heights , h , of the Vertices (n) – in the Regular Polygon

from the vertices K_n , projected to tangential (e) at the initial point K,

h = OK, The height of center, O, measured on (e) tangent,

n = The number of Sides of the Regular Polygon

..... and which

Changes the Sum of heights from the Tangential line (e) to a Linear and Integer number of the radius of the circle, *and which is directly related to angles*, ϕ_n , *and vertices of sides*, KK_n .

c... On any Chord KK₁ of circle (O,OK), the central angle < KOK₁, is twice the Inscribed and equal to < K $O_K K_1 = KOM_1$. The mid - perpendicular OM_1 , is parallel to the Perpendicular line $O_K K_1$, therefore cut each other to infinite (∞). Because the two perpendiculars pass from O and O_K points, these consist the Poles of their rotation.



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

In F.18 - A , any Point K_2 on circle, formulates the second chord KK_2 , while the perpendicular O_KK_2 projected cuts OM_1 , the parallel to O_KK_1 at a point P_1 , which is the Pole of rotation of the two chords, or angles, and this because point P_2 is moving on OM_1 from infinite to KP_1 diameter. On diameter KP_2 of circle (O_2 , $O_2P_2 = O_2K$), and center O_2 , are formulated the same angles φ_1 , φ_2 by chords P_1M_1 , P_2K_2 , such that angles are equal $< M_1P_1K_2 = K_1KK_2 = OP_1O_k$, That is, on any two chords KK_1 , KK_2 , of circle (O, OK), with common vertices K, the Mid - Perpendicular OM_1 of the first, and the Perpendicular O_KK_2 of the second, cut each other at a point P_1 , which defines its conjugate circle ($O_2, O_2P_2 = O_2K$).

d... From relation $\Sigma = (2k) \cdot h = n \cdot h = n \cdot OK$, For n = 2 then $\Sigma = 2.h = 2.OK$ that is diameter KO_K . For n = 3 then $\Sigma = 3.h = 3 \cdot OK$ and for n = 4 then $\Sigma = 4.h = 4 \cdot OK$. Because the Odd - numbers are the Arithmetic - mean between two Adjoined - Even numbers so for 3.OK is (2.OK + 4.OK)/2. The difference of heights is $\Delta h = h_{K1} - h_{K2} = K_1 K_1^{-1}$ and it is between the parallels through points K_1 , K_2 , and line (e). Circle (K_1 , $K_1 K_1^{-1}$) is the circle of *Hypsometric differences* of the chords K K_1, K K_2, and changes according to point K_1^{-1} or the same with point K_2 . That is,

The circle of the Hypsometric differences (K_1, K_1K_1) is correlated with chords $[KK_1, KK_2]$, $[O_KK_1, O_KK_2]$ of circle (O, OK) through the corresponding vertices K, O_K and with that of Equal angles circle (O_1, O_1P_1) through the mid - perpendicular OM_1 of the first chord KK_1 , and the mid - perpendicular O_KK_2 of the second chord KK_2 .

This corelation of this Formation between these four circles,

 $\{ (0, 0K) \cdot (K_1, K_1K_1) \cdot (0_1, 0_1P_1) \cdot (0_2, 0_2P_2) \}$

and Perpendicular to line (e), Allows to Any circle (O, OK) to define their in between motion through the two chords K K₁, K K₂, or and angles φ_1 , φ_2 , that is, From the relation of Heights Σ (h) = (2k). h = n .h = n .OK, becomes that the Summation of heights of any two Adjoined - Even Regular Polygons, n, n+2 is $\rightarrow \frac{\Sigma 2(h1)}{2} + \frac{\Sigma 2(h2)}{2} = [\frac{n_1}{2} + \frac{n_2}{2}].OK = [\frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}].OK = n_3.OK$, where $n_3 = [\frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}]$ is the number of vertices between the two Even n_1 , n_2 ,

The Odd – Number - Vertices Regular – Polygon .

On the Hypsometric difference $\Delta h = O_1 K_1^*$ and on the perpendicular to line (e) are kept all properties of the addition .From the Instaneous position of angles φ_1, φ_2 , to the two circles the chords are defined. e... Because chords $K K_1$, $K K_2$, are perpendicular to OP_1 , $O_K P_1$, lines , *Therefore point* K *is the Orthocenter* of all perpendicular and rightangled triangles, as well as their common chord $K_1 M_1$, of the two circles ($O_2, O_2 P_2$), (O, OK). Because the Geometric locus of chords $K K_1$, $K K_2$, *of the Common Orthocenter* K *is* \rightarrow for circle (O, OK) the arc $K_1 K_2$, and for circle ($O_2, O_2 K = O_2 P_2$) arc $M_1 K_2$, and for circle ($O_1, O_1 P_1^*$) arc (1)-(2) with the points of the chords intersection, *Therefore* points (1), M_1 are limit points of these circles such that exists $K M_1 \perp P_1 M_1$. The above logics result to the , *Mechanical and Geometrical solution*, which follows.

The new Mechanical Approach :

In F. 18 - A. is the circle (O,OK) with the tangential line (e) at point K, and the diameter KO_K .

195

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons

Define on the circle from vertices, K, The vertices K_1 , K_2 corresponding to the edges of sides of two *Adjoined Even* - *Regular Polygons* and the corresponding angles φ_1 , φ_2 , between sides K K₁, K K₂, and the tangent line (e).

Draw the parallels from vertices K_1 , K_2 , to (e) line and from vertices K_1 perpendicular to (e), such that cuts the parallel from point K_2 , at point K_1 , and draw the perpendicular K_1K_1 as the radius the circle (K_1 , K_1K_1).

Draw $O_K K_1$ produced which cuts OK_2 extended (from point O) at point P_2 and from point O_2 (the middle of diameter $K P_2$) draw the circle (O_2 , $O_2 K = O_2 P_2$).

Extend sides O_kK_1 , O_kK_2 , so that they cut circle (O_1, O_1K_1) at points 1,1', and 2, 2', and draw chords 1-2' kau 2-1' respectively.

Define the common point, T, of chords 1 - 2` $\kappa \alpha t 2 - 1$ ` and produce, $O_k T$, such that cuts circle (O, OK) at point K₅. **OR**, with the Harmonic Mean,

Draw from point K_1 the perpendicular, $K_1A = (K_1K_1)/2$ and the circle (A, AK_1) cutting the chord O_1A at point B.

Draw from point K_1 the circle (K_1, K_1B) such that intersects the perpendicular $K_1K_1^{*}$ at point, C, and from this point C the parallel to (e) so that cuts circle (O, OK) at point K_5 .

The chord KK5 is the side of the Regular - Odd - Polygon , and this because

The circle (O_4 , O_4 K = O_4 O) is the circle of the middle of chords KK₁, KK₂ so and for KK₅. Angles $< KM_1O_2 = KM_2O_1 = 90^\circ$, $< KM_1P_1 = KM_1O = 90^\circ$, $< KK_2P_1 = KK_2O_\kappa = 90^\circ$, Therefore point K is the Orthocenter of the triangles KOM_2 , KOP_1 , KO_kP_2 , KO_kO_1 .

Angles $< K_1 K K_2$, $K_1 O_k K_2$, $OP_1 O_k$, $OP_2 O_k$, $P_2 OP_1$ are equal between them,

Because these are α) Inscribed to the same arc , K₁K₂, of circle (O, OK),

- β) Their sides P_1M_1 , P_1K_2 , and being perpendicular to KK_1 , KK_2 are in circle (O'_1 , $O'_1K = O'_1P_1$),
- γ) Alternate Interior angles between the parallels, OP_1 , and O_kP_2 of the circles (O_4 , O_4 K = O_4 O), (O_2 , O_2 K = O_2 P₂).

Chords $O_k K_1$, OM_1 are perpendicular to chord KK_1 , *Therefore* are parallels,

Chords O_kK₂, OM₂ are perpendicular to chord KK₂, *Therefore* are parallels,

The Geometrical locus of point K_1 , from Point K_1 to point K_2 , and on circle (O, OK)

is arc K_1K_2 of the circle, while on circle $(0_1, 0_1K_1)$ arc 1, 2 of the circle.

The Geometrical locus of point K_2 , from Point K_2 to point K_1 , and on circle (O, OK)

is arc K_2K_1 of the circle, while on circle $(0_1, 0_1K_1)$ arc 2, 1 of the circle.

The Geometrical locus from point, **O**, of the parallels to chord O_kO_1 , are the chords OP_1 , $O_4O_1^{\circ}$, *and from Pole*, O_k , section, T, between chords 1, 2' and 2, 1' respectively.

Because angle $< O_k O_1 K = O_k K_2 K = 90^\circ$, *Therefore* section, T, moves parallel to line $O_1 K$, and it is the common point of the two *Geometrical loci*.

Because points K_1 , K_2 are the two Adjoined - Even Regular Polygons of circle (O, OK) and simultaneously points O_1 , P_2 , the corresponding extreme Poles on circles $(O_1, O_1K_1), (O_2, O_2K)$, following the common joint for point K, to be the Orthocenter and the Pole of Polygons, and point, T, the constant and common Pole of the System, Therefore line O_kT , is constant and cuts circle (O, OK), at point K_5 which is the vertices of the intermediate Regular – Odd – Polygon ??



ISSN (Online): 2455-9024

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

OR, because of the Harmonic relation (1) and (4) as $(K_1K_1)^2 = (K_1C)$. $(K_1C + K_1K_1)$ is defined the harmonic height K_1C and from parallel chord CK_5 , point K_5 , on circle (O,OK) such that corresponds the above Harmonic relation, Therefore chord KK_5 is also of the inner and The between Odd –Regular-Polygon q.e.d

Μάρκος , 5 / 5 / 2017

The new Geometrical Approach :

In F. 18 - A. of circle (O,OK) since sides P_1O_k , P_1O are perpendicular to KK₂, KK₁ respectively *So* angle $\langle OP_1O_k = K_1KK_2$, and since also P_2O chord is between the parallel lines P_1O , P_2O_k , *Therefore* angles $\langle OP_1O_k, OP_2O_k$ are equal, either on the constant Poles of the vertices O, O_k , or on the movable Poles of vertices P_1 , P_2 . Since angles $\langle OP_1O_k, OP_2O_k$, are equal *So* lie on a circle of chord OO_k . Since also exist on the same circle the Poles O_k , OP_2O_k , are equal *So* on a circle of center the intersection of the mid-perpendicular of chords OO_k , OP_2 , and is point O_3 . The point K of line (e) is common to the infinite (∞) Regular – Polygons of the circles with center the point ,O, and radius $KO = 0 \rightarrow \infty$, *Therefore* the *Infinite* Regular Polygon becomes line (e), the *Regular Polygons* lie on circle (O, OK) and the *Zero* Regular Polygons lie on circle [O_3 , O_3O] *The Anti-Space circle* [12], *So* the inter and movable pole of the Odd – Regular – Polygon passes from the infinite, ∞ , and which is the intersection of line (e) and this circle and it is the common point P_5 . The same happens with angle of 90 ° with two lines passing from infinite .

Chord OP_5 corresponds to the Reflection chords of the **Reflection - circle** $[O_2, O_2P_2]$ with center in infinite and which is in point P₅. The two intersecting pairs P₄, P'₄ and P₆, P'₆, converge to the one pair such that P₅ = P'₅, where the two points coincide. *q.e.d.*

Remarks :

In F. 18 – B, chords O_kK_1 , O_kK_2 , are perpendicular to KK_1 , KK_2 , therefore angle $\langle K_1O_kK_2 = K_1KK_2$. Chord O_kK_1 is parallel to OM_1 , OP_a and since chord P_aO_k is between the two parallels then the *Alternate Interior angles* $\langle OP_aO_k, P_aO_kK_1$ are equal. In order that point P_k reaches to P_a , which means from *Inflection - Envelope* to the *Reflection - Envelope*, line O_kP_k must move from point K_1 to point M_1 perpendicularly. This motion presupposes that the point K_1 is lying on Inflection circle which happens because the perpendicular velocities of O_kK_1 chord are always directed on KK_1 chord. *i.e. the Velocity - circle* [K_1 , K_1K_2] *is an Inflection circle*.

Since the *End*-Inflection -Circle passes through K_1 , P_k points, and the *End*-Reflection -Circle passes through K_1 , P_a points, with point K_1 always common, then Passes also through the outer Common - Inflection - Reflection -Point which lies on the Velocity -circle, where for point K_1 the Pole of Rotation is in infinite and the Alternate Interior angles reversible.

Because the Diameters through the vertices K_1 , K_2 pass through the corresponding , n, and , n+2, Odd – Regular – Polygons, the Diameter through the vertices $K_{7=n+1}$ passes through the center of the Opposite Side, Therefore it is Mid-perpendicular between the Inflation and to the Reflation point.

The Exact Geometrical Solution of the Odd – Regular – Polygons follows :

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons THE GEOMETRICAL CONSTRUCTION OF THE REGULAR HEPTAGON



F.20 - A \rightarrow In circle (O, OK) For $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{6}$, then KK₁ is the Side of the Even - Regular – Hexagon while for $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{8}$, then KK₂ is the Side of the Even - Regular – Octagon.

 $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{K}_{1}$ is the Side of the Odd - Regular – Hexagon ,

KK₂ is the Side of the Odd - Regular – Octagon,

Exists Circle of Heights $\Delta h = h_{K_1} - h_{K_2} = K_1 K_1$ and Velocity Inflection circle $\Delta V = K_1 K_2$ Straight - Line { O_k , K_1 , P_k } is parallel to { O, M_1 , P_a } and the Alternate Interior angles equal, $< O P_a O_k = P_k O_k P_a = K_1 K K_2$. The same for angle $< O O_k P_k = P_k O_a$

The Inflection Circle [PO_k , PO_k - K₁] or the Reflection circle [O_a , O_a - K₁] cut the Inflection Velocity - Circle [K₁, $\Delta V = K_1K_2$] at Edge point, R_{k-a}.

Line K R_{k-a} intersects the circle (O,OK) at point K₇ which is the vertices of the n+1 = 7 Regular Odd Polygon, and which is the Regular –Heptagon.

KK₇ is the Side of the Odd - Regular - Heptagon,



The Geometrical Proof :

In circle (O, OK) of F.20-A, the points K_1, K_2 are the *Vertices* and KK_1 , KK_2 are the *Sides* of two *Adjoined - Even Regular Polygons*. Chords O_kK_1 , O_kK_2 are perpendicular to the sides KK_1 , KK_2 because lie on diameter KO_k . The mid-perpendicular OM_1 of KK_1 side, is parallel to O_kK_1 chord because both are perpendicular to KK_1 side. Line OK_2 produced intersects O_kK_1 line at point P_k and since Segment OP_k lies between the two parallels, the *Alternate - Interior angles* < OP_kO_k , P_kOP_a are equal.

Line $O_k K_2$ produced intersects OM_1 line at point P_a and since Segment $O_k P_a$ lies between the two parallels then the , *Alternate Interior angles* $< OP_aO_k$, $P_aO_kP_k$ are equal, and since angle $< K_1O_kK_2 = K_1KK_2$, then angle $< OP_aO_k = P_aO_kP_k = K_1KK_2$.

Segments $O_k P_k$, OP_a are parallel therefore, *Quadrilateral* $OO_k P_k P_a$ *is Trapezium* of height $K_1 M_1$. Since the right angle triangles, $P_k K_1 M_1$, $P_a M_1 K_1$ occupy the common segment $K_1 M_1 = M_1 K_1$ therefore are Inverted (*either Inflection or Reflection*) Triangles and their Hypotenuses $P_a K_1$, $P_k M_1$, formulate the *Reflection* [$P_a M_1 K_1$] and the *Inflection* [$P_k K_1 M_1$] *Circles* on $K_1 M_1 = M_1 K_1$ common segment. [*This terminology of*, Inflection and Reflection circle, *becomes from Mechanics*].

- Remark : *Trapezium* $OP_aP_kO_k$ *is a Geometrical mechanism with its Alternate Interior angles equal to the angle* $< K_1KK_2$ *of Sides*. When triangle OO_kK_1 changes from K_1 to K_2 position then, the right angled triangles KK_1O_k , KK_2O_k are directed on KK_1 , KK_2 , lines and in the (K_1, K_1K_2) circle as K_1V_1 , K_2V_2 , segments, because these lie on perpendicular Segments, while the *Inverted* (*Backing Formation*) *circles* $[O_a, O_aK_1 = O_aP_a]$, $[PO_k, PO_kM_1 = PO_kP_k]$ are constant. *Inversion of circles happens in infinite through the Trapezium*, in where,
- **a**.. Triangles $O_k P_k O$, $O_k P_k P_a$ are of equal area, because lie on the common Segment $O_k P_k$, and the common height $K_1 M_1$. Since triangle $O_k P_k K_2$ is common to both triangles therefore the remaining triangles $K_2 O_k O$, $K_2 P_a P_k$ are of equal area, and *point* K_2 is a *constant* point to this mechanism. Since also *triangles* $K_2 O_k O$, $K_2 P_a P_k$ lie on opposites of line $O_k K_2 P_a$ position then *are Inverted* on this line. (*the Alternate Inverted triangles*)

The Inversion of the circles happens because Diameter K_7OM_7 is the Mid - perpendicular to the opposite Side of the Odd in the middle point M_7 in contradiction to Diameter $K_2OM_2 \equiv OK_2 \rightarrow P_k$ which passes through the vertices of the Even-Regular-Polygon forming angle $\langle K_1OK_2 = 2, K_1KK_2 \rangle$

- **b**.. *Because* at point K_1 of chord $O_k K_1^{\perp} KK_1$, *infinite points* P_k exist on $O_k K_1$ for all points $K_2 \equiv K_1$ and circle of radius $K_1 K_2 = 0$, *Therefore separately must issue* and for chord $O_k K_2$. But since is $K_1 K_2 \neq 0$ then Chords KK_1 , KK_7 , KK_2 are *all projected on the* ($K_1, K_1 K_2$) *circle*, and Diameter $P_k M_1$ *is Inverted* to Diameter $P_a K_1$ with their circles. The edges of Segments $K_1 V_1$, $K_2 V_2$, are on KK_1 , KK_2 lines, so all triangles of Parallel sides of Trapezium, occupy the *point* K, as the same *Orthocenter* for all the Regularly-Revolving triangles $KO_k P_k$, $KO_k K_{\infty \to 7}$, $KO_k P_a$, with the Sides $O_k P_k \to O_k P_7 \to O_k P_a$, and the *Inverted* Circles [$O_a, O_a K_1 = O_a P_a$], [$PO_k, PO_k M_1 = PO_k P_k$].
- c.. That Inverted circle $[O_a, O_aK_1 = O_aP_a]$, $[PO_k, PO_kM_1 = PO_kP_k]$ intersecting the circle (K_1, K_1K_2) between the points V_1, V_2 defines the Inverted Position, i.e. that of the Odd - Regular - Polygon.
- In F.20 A , For n = 6 , then K K₁ is the Side of the Even Regular Hexagon

199

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons

For n = 8, then KK₂ is the Side of the Even - Regular – Octagon.

For n = 7, then KK_7 is the Side of the Even - Regular – Heptagon . q.e.d

THE REGULAR - POLYGONS

- In F.15 (Page 68), Is shown the Geometrical construction of the *Regular Triangle*, Through the Regular \rightarrow Digone and Tetragon.
- In F.18-B (Page 66), Is shown the Geometrical construction of the *Regular Pentagon*, Through the Regular \rightarrow Tetragon and Hexagon.
- In F.20 (Page 69), Is shown the Geometrical construction of the *Regular Heptagon*, Through the Regular \rightarrow Hexagon and Octagon.
- In F.21 (Page 70), Is shown the Geometrical construction of the *Regular Ninegone*, Through the Regular \rightarrow Octagon and Decagon.
- In F.22 (Page 71), Is shown the Geometrical construction of the *Regular Endekagone*, Through the Regular \rightarrow Decagon and Dodecagon.
- In F.23 (Page 72), Is shown the Geometrical construction of the *Regular Dekatriagone*, Through the Regular \rightarrow Dodecagon and Dekatriagone.





The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

F.20 - B \rightarrow In circle (O,OK)=(O,OO_k) and $[O_a, O_aK_1 = O_aP_a]$, $[PO_k, PO_kM_1 = PO_kP_k]$, (K_1, K_1K_2)

- For n = 6, then KK_1 is the Side of the Odd Regular Hexagon,
- For n = 8, then KK_2 is the Side of the Odd Regular Octagon ,
- For n = 7, then $K K_7$ is the Side of the Even Regular Heptagon. 5 / 8 / 2017

References

- [1] Matrix Structure of Analysis by J.L.MEEK library of Congress Catalog 1971.
- [2] Der Zweck im Rect by Rudolf V. Jhering 1935.
- [3] The great text of J. L.Heisenberg (1883-1886) English translation by Richard Fitzpatrick.
- [4] Elements Book 1.
- [5] Wikipedia.org, the free Encyclopedia.
- [6] Greek Mathematics, Sir Thomas L.Heath Dover Publications, Inc, New York. 63-3571.
- [7] [T] Theory of Vibrations by William T. Thomson (Fourth edition).
- [8] A Simplified Approach of Squaring the circle, http://www.scribd.com/mobile/doc/33887739
- [9] The Parallel Postulate is depended on the other axioms, http://vixra.org/abs/1103.0042
- [10] Measuring Regular Polygons and Heptagon in a circle, http://www.scribd.com/mobile/doc/33887268
- [11] The Trisection of any angle ,http://vixra.org/abs/1103.0119
- [12] The Euclidean philosophy of Universe, http://vixra.org/abs/1103.0043
- [12] Universe originated not with BIG BANG, http://www.vixra.org/pdf/1310.0146v1.pdf
- [14] Complex numbers Quantum mechanics spring from Euclidean Universe, http://www.scribd.com/mobile/doc/57533734
- [15] Zeno's Paradox, nature of points in quantized Euclidean geometry, http://www.scribd.com/mobile/doc/59304295
- [16] The decreasing tunnel, by Pr. Florentine Smarandashe, http://vixra.org/abs/111201.0047
- [17] The Six-Triple concurrency line points, http://vixra.org/abs/1203.0006
- [18] Energy laws follow Euclidean Moulds, http://vixra.org/abs/1203.006
- [19] Higgs particle and Euclidean geometry, http://www.scribd.com/mobile/doc/105109978
- [20] Higgs Boson and Euclidean geometry, http://vixra.org/abs/1209.0081
- [21] The outside relativity space energy universe, http://www.scribd.com/mobile/doc/223253928
- [22] Quantization of Points and of Energy, http://www.vixra.org/pdf/1303.015v21.pdf
- [23] Quantization of Points and Energy on Dipole Vectors and on Spin, http://www.vixra.org/abs/1303.0152
- [24] Quaternion's, Spaces and the Parallel Postulate, http://www.vixra.org/abs/1310.0146
- [25] Gravity as the Intrinsic Vorticity of Points, http://www.vixra.org/abs/1401.0062
- [26] The Beyond Gravity Forced fields, http://www.scribd.com/mobile/doc/203167317
- [27] The Wave nature of the geometry dipole, http://www.vixra.org/abs/1404.0023
- [28] Planks Length as Geometrical Exponential of Spaces, http://www.vixra.org/abs/1406.0063
- [29] The Outside Relativity Space Energy Universe,
- http://www.scribd.com/mobile/doc/223253928
- [30] Universe is built only from Geometry Dipole, Scribd : http://www.scribd.com/mobile/doc/122970530
- [31] Gravity and Planck's Length as the Exponential Geometry Base of Spaces, http://vixra.org/abs/1406.0063
- [32] The Parallel Postulate and Spaces (IN SciEP)
- [33] The fundamental Origin of particles in Planck's Confinement. On Scribd & Vixra (FUNDAPAR.doc)

The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry and the Regular - Polygons [34] The fundamental particles of Planck's Confinement. www.ijesi.com (IJPST14-082601)

- [35] The origin of The fundamental particles www.ethanpublishing.com(IJPST-E140620-01)
- [36] The nature of fundamental particles, (Fundapa.doc).www.ijesit.com–Paper ID:IJESIT ID: 1491
- [37] The Energy-Space Universe and Relativity IJISM, www.ijism.org-Paper ID: IJISM 294 [V2,I6,2347-9051]
- [38] The Parallel Postulate, the other four and Relativity (American Journal of modern Physics, Science PG – Publication group USA), 1800978 paper.
- [39] Space-time OR, Space-Energy Universe (American Journal of modern Physics, science PG Publication group USA) 1221001– Paper.
- [40] The Origin of ,Maxwell's-Gravity's, Displacement current. GJSFR (Journalofscience.org), Volume 15-A, Issue 3, Version 1.0
- [41] Young's double slit experiment [Vixra: 1505.0105] Scribd : https://www.scribd.com/doc/265195121/
- [42] The Creation Hypothesis of Nature without Big-Bang. Scribd : https://www.scribd.com/doc/267917624 /
- [43] The Expanding Universe without Big-Bang. (American Journal of modern Physics and Applications Special issue: http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/j / Science PG-Publication group USA - 622012001-Paper.
- [44] The Parallel Postulate and the other four, The Doubling of the Cube, The Special problems and Relativity. https://www.lap-publishing.com/. E-book. LAMBERT Academic Publication .
- [45] The Moulds for E-Geometry Quantization and Relativity, International Journal of Advances of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology IJIRSET : http://www.ijirset.com/..Markos Georgallides
- [46] [M] The Special Problems of E-geometry and Relativity http://viXra.org/abs/1510.0328
- [47] [M] The Ancient Greek Special Problems as the Quantization Moulds of Spaces. www.submission.arpweb.com(ID-44031-SR-015.0
- [48] [M] The Quantization of E-geometry as Energy monads and the Unification of www.ijera Space and Energy.com(ID-512080.0
- [49] [51] The Why Intrinsic SPIN (Angular Momentum) ¹/₂ -1, Into Particles. www.oalib.com(ID-1102480.0
- [50] [M] The Kinematic Geometrical solution of the Unsolved ancient –Greek Problems and their Physical nature <u>http://www.jiaats.com/paper/3068.ISO 9001</u>
- [51] [M] The Nature of Geometry the Unsolved Ancient-Greek Problems and their Geometrical solution www.oalib.com(paper. ID-1102605.0 http://www.oalib.com/Journal:paper/1102605
- [52] E-Geometry, Mechanics-Physics and Relativity, http:gpcpublishing.com/GPC : volume 4, number 2 journal homepage
- [53] [M] Material-Geometry and The Elements of the Periodic-Table. www.ijerm.com(ID-0306031.0)
- [54] The Material-Geometry Periodic Table of Particles and Chemistry . http://ijemcs.in/
- [54] The Material-Geometry A-Periodic Table of Particles and Chemistry. <u>www.iosrjournals.org</u>)
- [55] Material-Geometry, the Periodic Table of Particles, and Physics. http://ephjournal.com
- [56] Big-Bang or the Glue-Bond of Space, Anti-space ??. (www.TechnicalDean.org)
- [57] The Eternal Glue-Bond of Space, Anti-space, Chemistry and Physics www.globaljournals.org .
- [58]Big-Bang or the Rolling Glue-Bond of Space, Anti-space, book@scirp.org, http://www.scirp.org/
- [59] STPL Mechanism is the Energy Space Generator . http://viXra.org/abs/1612.0299
- [60] The Chaos becomes Discrete through the STPL mechanism which is Energy-Space Generator. (http://www.ijrdo.org/)



The Unsolved Ancient - Greek Problems of E-geometry

[61] The How Energy from Chaos, becomes Discrete Monads. <u>http://www.ephjournal.net/</u>)

[62-A] The Geometrical Solution of All Odd – Regular – Polygons, and the Special Greek problems http://www.irjaes.com/)

- [63] [M] The unification of Energy-monads, *Black Holes*, with Geometry-Monads, *Black Matter*, through the Material Geometry monads.
- [64] [M] Material Geometry and , The origin of Black-holes , Black-matter .
- [65] [M] The origin of SPIN of the fundamental Particles and their Eternal motion.
- [66] [M] The Doubling of the Cube . The Squaring of the circle.
- [67] [M] The origin of , Maxwell's Postulates.
- [68] [M] The Quantization of Points and Potential and the Unification of Space and Energy with the universal principle of Virtual work, on Geometry Primary dipole dynamic hologram.

by Markos Georgallides.

Markos Georgallides comes from Cyprus and currently resides in the city of Larnaca, after being expelled from his home town Famagusta by the Barbaric Turks in August 1974. He works as a consultant civil and architect engineer having his own business. He is also the author of numerous scholarly articles focusing on Euclidean and Material Geometry and mathematical to physics related subjects . He obtained his degree from the Athens , National Technical , Polytechnic University [NATUA] and subsequently studied in Germany , Math theory of Photoelasticity.